



Reply: *De novo* mutations in *CLDN5*: alternating hemiplegia of childhood or not?

Isabelle Desguerre,¹ Melodie Aubart,¹ Yosuke Hashimoto,² Karine Poirier,³ Anna Kaminska,¹ Marianne Alison,⁴ Nathalie Boddaert,^{1,3,5} Arnold Munnich^{1,3,†} and  Matthew Campbell^{2,†}

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

- 1 Departments of Pediatric Neurology and Medical Genetics, Hospital Necker-Enfants Malades, Université Paris Cité, Paris F-75015, France
- 2 Smurfit Institute of Genetics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
- 3 INSERM UMR1163, Institut Imagine, Paris University, Paris 75015, France
- 4 Department of Pediatric Radiology, Hospital Robert Debré, Université Paris Cité, Paris F-75015, France
- 5 Department of Pediatric Radiology, Hospital Necker Enfants Malades, Université Paris Cité, Paris F-75015, France

Correspondence to: Arnold Munnich
Departments of Pediatric Neurology and Medical Genetics
Hospital Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris University, Paris F-75015, France
E-mail: arnold.munnich@inserm.fr

Correspondence may also be addressed to: Matthew Campbell
Smurfit Institute of Genetics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
E-mail: matthew.campbell@tcd.ie

We thank our colleagues Panagiotakaki *et al.*¹ for their interest in our recent work elucidating the functional relevance of the G60R mutation in the *CLDN5* gene in two unrelated cases of children presenting with alternating hemiplegia. Our study represents the first report of a dominant acting mutation in the coding sequence of the *CLDN5* gene and has, we believe, major implications for our understanding of blood–brain barrier (BBB) function and tight junction biology in general.²

In reference to queries from patients and patient groups, we would posit that mutations in *CLDN5* are most certainly not going to be a leading cause of alternating hemiplegia of childhood (AHC). However, while further research on BBB disruption and indeed the possibility of *CLDN5* variants being causative of hemiplegia is warranted, it would not be an arduous exercise to include *CLDN5* screening in any case of suspected hemiplegia or AHC in our opinion.

We appreciate that the on-going search for the genetic cause of AHC will present differential diagnoses that deviate from that of the 80% of cases attributed to the *ATP1A3* gene. In that regard, we would also stress that we certainly do not believe, nor have we stated in our study, that a large proportion of genetically undiagnosed patients will harbour the G60R mutation. We have no doubt that the remaining 20% of genetically undiagnosed cases likely represent multiple rare variants in a large cohort of genes similar to the two cases we described in our report.

In relation to the specific questions posed with regard to the clinical details of the patients reported in our study, both patients had recurrent episodes of alternating hemiplegia (different sides)

over a period of years. These episodes had a duration over 24 h without seizures prior to the episodes. Resolution with sleep was not easily determined due to the different use of medications. We did conduct EEG analysis, and EEG tracing during the hemiplegic episodes did not show evidence of seizures with slow waves only.

Brain imaging in both patients excluded stroke like episodes (SLE), which are frequently associated with mitochondrial disorders. After admission, patients eventually recovered their previous neurological status with ataxia and mild cognitive impairment. Based on our clinical evaluation, we do support the idea that the clinical presentation is compatible with the AHC criteria of Aicardi.³

We are always willing to share our experience and expertise and would be delighted to expand this discussion along with our future plans exploring the role of the BBB in hemiplegia and AHC. We look forward to continued engagement with our colleagues.

Data availability

This is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Competing interests

The authors report no competing interests.

Received January 31, 2023. Accepted February 09, 2023. Advance access publication February 24, 2023

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

References

1. Panagiotakaki E, Papadopoulou MT, Lesca G, Arzimanoglou A, Mikati MA. *De novo* mutations in *CLDN5*: alternating hemiplegia of childhood or not? *Brain*. 146:e57–e58.
2. Hashimoto Y, Poirier K, Boddaert N, et al. Recurrent *de novo* mutations in *CLDN5* induce an anion-selective blood-brain barrier and alternating hemiplegia. *Brain*. 2022;145:3374–3382.
3. Aicardi J. Alternating hemiplegia of childhood. *Int Pediatr*. 1987;2: 115–119.