

1 Cite this paper as follows:

2 O’Kelly, B.C. (2022) Discussion of “Advancement in estimation of undrained shear strength
3 through fall cone tests” by Abhishek Ghosh Dastider, Santiram Chatterjee, and Prasenjit Basu.
4 *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 148(6): 07022005,
5 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0002807](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0002807)
6
7
8
9

10 **Discussion of “Advancement in estimation of undrained shear strength**
11 **through fall cone tests” by Abhishek Ghosh Dastider, Santiram Chatterjee,**
12 **and Prasenjit Basu**

13
14
15 **Brendan C. O’Kelly** PhD, FTCD

16 Associate Professor — Department of Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering,
17 Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, D02 PN40, Ireland; **Email:** bokelly@tcd.ie, **ORCID:** [0000-
18 0002-1343-4428](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1343-4428)
19
20
21

22 The original paper ([Dastider et al. 2021](#)) presents a valuable and welcome contribution towards
23 gaining a fuller understanding of the determination of the cone factor K used in calculations of
24 the laboratory fall-cone (FC) undrained shear strength s_u , with consideration of various cone
25 apex angles β , smooth and rough cone–soil interface conditions, and for fine-grained soils
26 having different strain-rate- dependent strength enhancement parameter μ .
27
28

29 In terms of the experimental determination of the FC s_u for fine-grained soil at lower liquidity
30 index, the final depth of cone penetration h_f (measured from an initial position of point contact
31 with the surface of the soil test-specimen) is smaller, and often so small it is difficult to measure
32 correctly for water contents at or near Atterberg’s plastic limit (PL). As stated by the authors,
33 “Potential alternatives to overcome the issue with small penetration depth might be (1) to use
34 a fall cone with enhanced mass (Wood and Wroth 1978), (2) to increase the drop height such
35 that the cone hits the soil surface with an impact velocity ..., or (3) a combination of both. (p.
36 1 and 2)”. While the authors cite the option (1) appropriately, it should be pointed out that both
37 options (2) and (3) were originally proposed in the context of FC s_u determination at low
38 liquidity index, along with a description of the test apparatus development, and presentation of
39 experimental validation for 10 very different fine-grained soils, in the research paper by
40 Sivakumar et al. ([2015](#)).
41
42

43 With the FC liquid limit (i.e., LL_{FC}) established using a smooth 80g–30° cone for $h_f = 20$ mm
44 (according to British Standard BS1377-2 ([BSI 1990](#))), and employing the same cone setup, the
45 PL_{100} parameter ([Harison 1988](#); [Stone and Phan 1995](#); [Stone and Kyambadde 2007](#); [Haigh et al. 2013](#);
46 [Sivakumar et al. 2016a](#); [O’Kelly 2021](#)), defined as the lower water content for a FC
47 s_u magnitude of one-hundred times greater than that mobilized at the LL_{FC} , would

48 corresponding to $h_f = 2$ mm, as predicted by Hansbo's equation (Hansbo 1957). Note, as
49 elaborated below, the PL_{100} is fundamentally different from Atterberg's PL. As well as
50 investigating a smooth 8kg–30° cone, whose tip initially just contacted the soil surface, and
51 with experimental PL_{100} set as determined for $h_f = 20$ mm, Sivakumar et al. (2015)
52 experimented with using 30° FCs having enhanced mass m , and also incorporating an initial
53 drop height h_d , such that the cone tip contacts the surface of the soil test specimen with an
54 impact velocity. Using an energy-based approach and with consideration of significantly higher
55 strain rates mobilized compared to the 8kg–30° contacting cone, Sivakumar et al. (2015) settled
56 on a modified FC test setup that employed a 0.727kg–30° cone, and incorporated a free-fall
57 height of $h_d = 200$ mm (i.e., at the start of cone penetration, initial (impact) velocity $v_i = \sqrt{2gh_d}$
58 ≈ 2.0 m/s; where g is the gravitational constant) for determination of the PL_{100} water content
59 corresponding to $h_f = 20$ mm. Of course, the PL_{100} of fine-grained soil can be determined using
60 the modified FC test setup employing any suitable combination of cone characteristics (m , β),
61 h_f and h_d . The simple calculation for the modified cone factor K_d from the conventional cone
62 factor K (for $h_d = 0$) (i.e., $K_d = K(1 + h_d/h_f)$), as given in Eq. (6) of Dastider et al. (2021),
63 allows FC s_u determination using the modified FC test for fine-grained soils in the plastic range.
64 In the Sivakumar et al. (2016b) discussion paper of the Sivakumar et al. (2015) investigation,
65 S. K. Haigh and P. J. Vardanega proposed dynamic analysis of the modified FC test, equating
66 the potential energy lost by the cone of weight Q when dropped from height h_d with the work
67 done by the force Q ($= s_u h_f^2 / K$ from rearrangement of Hansbo's equation) in bringing the
68 cone back to rest at a penetration h_f . Hence, for the contacting FC test setup, $mgh_f =$
69 $Qh_f = s_u h_f^3 / K$, whereas for the modified FC test setup, one gets $mg(h_f + h_d) = s_u h_f^3 / K$.
70 After some rearranging, and then comparing the two cases with the standard form of Hansbo's
71 equation $s_u = f_n(Q/h_f^2)$, one also obtains that for the modified FC test $K_d = K(1 + h_d/h_f)$.

72
73

74 Finally, as a minor but important clarification point, Dastider et al. (2021) state that “the depth
75 h_f of cone penetration at or near the PL is very small (≈ 0.1 times of h_f measured at LL) (p. 1)”,
76 where LL is the liquid limit. Here, one must distinguish between the strength-based PL_{100} and
77 the Atterberg PL, with the latter defining the water content at the plastic–brittle transition,
78 having its standard determination by the ‘rolling of threads’ method. It is well established
79 experimentally that the ratio of saturated remolded undrained shear strengths at the Atterberg
80 PL to LL water contents can vary over a wide range when considering a variety of different
81 fine-grained soils (Nagaraj et al. 2012; Haigh et al. 2013; O’Kelly 2013; O’Kelly et al. 2018).
82 As such, the mentioned statement by the authors that the depth h_f of cone penetration at (or
83 near) the ‘PL’ is ≈ 0.1 times of h_f measured at LL relates to PL_{100} determination, but generally
84 not to Atterberg's PL.

85
86
87
88

89 **Data Availability Statement**

90 All data, models, and code generated or used during the study appear in the submitted article.

91 **References**

- 92
- 93 BSI (British Standards Institution). 1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering*
- 94 *purposes (classification tests)*. BS1377-2: London: BSI.
- 95 Dastider, A. G., S. Chatterjee, and P. Basu. 2021. "Advancement in estimation of undrained
- 96 shear strength through fall cone tests." *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.* 147 (7): 04021047.
- 97 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0002535](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0002535).
- 98 Haigh, S. K., P. J. Vardanega, and M. D. Bolton. 2013. "The plastic limit of clays."
- 99 *Géotechnique* 63 (6): 435–440. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.11.P.123>.
- 100 Hansbo, S. 1957. "A new approach to the determination of the shear strength of clay by the fall
- 101 cone test." *Proc. R. Swed. Geotech. Inst.* 14: 1–48.
- 102 Harison, J. A. 1988. "Using the BS cone penetrometer for the determination of the plastic limits
- 103 of soils." *Géotechnique* 38 (3): 433–438. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1988.38.3.433>.
- 104 Nagaraj, H. B., A. Sridharan, and H. M. Mallikarjuna. 2012. "Re-examination of undrained
- 105 strength at Atterberg limits water contents." *Geotech. Geol. Eng.* 30 (4): 727–736.
- 106 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-011-9489-7>.
- 107 O’Kelly, B. C. 2013. "Atterberg limits and remolded shear strength–water content
- 108 relationships." *Geotech. Test. J.* 36 (6): 939–947. <https://doi.org/10.1520/GTJ20130012>.
- 109 O’Kelly, B. C. 2021. "Review of recent developments and understanding of Atterberg limits
- 110 determinations." *Geotechnics* 1 (1): 59–75. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geotechnics1010004>.
- 111 O’Kelly, B. C., P. J. Vardanega, and S. K. Haigh. 2018. "Use of fall cones to determine
- 112 Atterberg limits: A review." *Géotechnique* 68 (10): 843–856.
- 113 <https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeot.17.R.039>.
- 114 Sivakumar, V., B. C. O’Kelly, L. Henderson, C. Moorhead, and S. H. Chow. 2015. "Measuring
- 115 the plastic limit of fine soils: An experimental study." *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Geotech. Eng.*
- 116 168 (1): 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geng.14.00004>.
- 117 Sivakumar, V., B. C. O’Kelly, L. Henderson, C. Moorhead, S. H. Chow, and G. E. Barnes.
- 118 2016a. "Discussion: Measuring the plastic limit of fine soils: An experimental study."
- 119 *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Geotech. Eng.* 169 (1): 83–85.
- 120 <https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeen.15.00068>.
- 121 Sivakumar, V., B. C. O’Kelly, L. Henderson, C. Moorhead, S. H. Chow, S. K. Haigh, and P. J.
- 122 Vardanega. 2016b. "Discussion: Measuring the plastic limit of fine soils: An experimental
- 123 study." *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Geotech. Eng.* 169 (1): 86–89.
- 124 <https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeen.15.00090>.
- 125 Stone, K. J. L., and K.D. Phan. 1995. "Cone penetration tests near the plastic limit."
- 126 *Géotechnique* 45 (1): 155–158. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1995.45.1.155>.
- 127 Stone, K. J. L., and B. S. Kyambadde. 2007. "Determination of strength and index properties
- 128 of fine-grained soils using a soil minipenetrometer." *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.* 133 (6):
- 129 667–673. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(2007\)133:6\(667\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2007)133:6(667)).

130
131
132
133
134
135

Cite this paper as follows:

136 O’Kelly, B.C. (2022) Discussion of "Advancement in estimation of undrained shear strength

137 through fall cone tests" by Abhishek Ghosh Dastider, Santiram Chatterjee, and Prasenjit Basu.

138 *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 148(6): 07022005,

139 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0002807](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0002807)