Relationship between patients and family caregivers

BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care

Pre-publication version

Accepted for publication 11.01.2018

Geraldine Foley BSc.OT, MSc.OT, PhD

Assistant Professor

Affiliation: Trinity College Dublin, the University of Dublin

Department: Discipline of Occupational Therapy, School of Medicine

Email: foleyg3@tcd.ie

Tel: 353-1-8963215

Key words: patient, family caregiver, supportive relationship, caregiving

Family caregiving in palliative care is defined broadly as the process in which family members or loved ones provide unpaid care for a terminally-ill family member in need of assistance. The care recipient may be a member of the caregiver's family of origin, or his or her family of choice, such as a friend or life partner. Fiscal constraints in formal care provision means that patients in palliative care are now increasingly dependent on family members for care. Indeed, a growing expectation that family members be involved directly in end-of-life care, are likely to increase demands placed on family caregivers in palliative care.

Family caregivers in palliative care assume multiple caregiving roles. They may provide day-to-day physical care to their terminally-ill family member. Although the psychosocial and existential situation of family caregivers in palliative care are not routinely evaluated, family caregivers in palliative care also provide emotional, psychological and existential support to their family member. In palliative care, the patient and family are viewed as the unit of care. Family caregivers can be directly involved in the delivery of complex interventions to patients, effectively operating as an extension to formal services. Family caregivers also participate regularly in the decision-making process for palliative care interventions (e.g. symptom management, advance care planning, counselling) and can function as key advocators and care co-ordinators for the patient. Not surprisingly, perhaps, burden of care for family caregivers in end-of-life care has shown to be severe and can increase with greater intensity of caregiving.

Notwithstanding the pivotal roles of the family caregiver in caring for their terminally-ill family member, there has been less focus in palliative care on the dimensions of support rendered by the dying patient to their family caregiver. Commentary on conceptual challenges in the study of caregiver-care recipient relationships has highlighted that informal care-giving can involve caregivers who themselves are care recipients. Bodily decline for terminally-ill patients can render it

impossible for them to provide support to their family in the form of physical care. However, studies in palliative care have reported on caring roles enacted by dying patients towards family caregivers in non-physical domains. For example, patients have been shown to conceal their own needs from their family caregiver and have encouraged family caregivers to engage in activities that would give them respite from their caregiving role. Moreover, patients have steered the direction of their own care to alleviate family caregiver distress and have advised family caregivers on matters that relate to their (family caregivers') wellbeing. Indeed, in a study of patients' experiences of end-of-life care, 12,13 dying patients' preference for hospice end-of-life care was explained by their desire to alleviate family caregiver burden and reduce distress for family members.

The reciprocal nature or interdependence of the psychosocial and existential dimensions of caregiving among dying patients and their family caregivers has been reported. Terminally-ill patients have expressed concerns about the burden they impose on family caregivers whilst family caregivers have expressed concerns about patients' distress. ¹⁴ The burden that dying patients feel that they impose on their family caregivers and the obligation that family caregivers feel toward the terminally-ill family member can make them prioritise each other's needs over their own. ¹⁵
Sociological-based examination of the dying patient and family caregiver relationship have captured how remaining positive for one another can help both accommodate to advanced illness ¹⁶ and how mutual obligation to remain stoical in the face of adversity is a dimension of caring. ¹⁷ Studies in psychology fields have shown that reciprocity (in the form of mutual empathy) between patients with heart failure and their family caregivers was associated with patient confidence in self-care ¹⁸ and that positive dyadic coping strategies (e.g. supportive listening, sharing feelings) among patients and family caregivers in metastatic cancer resulted in greater dyadic adjustment. ¹⁹ Positive dyadic coping among cancer patients and their partners may also improve relationship functioning. ²⁰ However, there remains a dearth of palliative care research which has asked questions directly of

the bidirectional nature of caregiving between dying patients and their family caregivers. We still know far too little about the dimensions of support exchange between patients and their family caregivers in palliative care.

One possible explanation for our limited understanding of support exchange between patients and family caregivers in palliative care is that we have conceptualised dying patients predominantly as *recipients* of support from family caregivers rather than as *providers* of support to family caregivers. Have questions pertaining to informal caregiving in palliative care been shaped fundamentally by the assumption that the provision of support between the dying patient and their family caregiver is predominantly unidirectional i.e. from family caregiver to patient? What of the supportive roles of dying patients in the patient-family caregiver relationship? We know that people with terminal illness can maintain caring roles. Understanding the bidirectional nature of support provision between the patient and family caregiver in palliative care necessitates investigation of the underresearched supportive roles that patients enact in their relationship with family caregivers and the substantive domains in which dying patients and their family caregivers support *one another*.

Understanding the mechanisms (i.e. the 'what', 'how' and 'why') of support exchange between patients and their family caregivers in palliative care requires identification of the conditions, consequences and processes of that support exchange. In other words, what micro- (e.g. personal, family structure) and macro-level (e.g. service provision) conditions or factors drive terminally-ill patients and their family caregivers to support one another and through what actions or interactions do they render that support? For example, how might severity of patient illness or the intensity of palliative care intervention shape how patients and family caregivers support one another? Do patterns of support exchange differ between terminally-ill patients and their family caregivers across diagnostic groupings (e.g. advanced cancer, advanced neurological disease) in palliative care? What

of the influence of the wider family (i.e. family members who may not have direct caregiving duties)

on reciprocity between terminally-ill patients and their family caregivers? How do terminally-ill

patients' and their family caregivers' experiences of formal services impact on how they support one

another? Importantly, how do patients and family caregivers in palliative care demonstrate their

care and support for one another?

In practice, understanding the nuances of support exchange between terminally-ill patients and their

family caregivers is needed to help guide health and social care professionals on how they can best

enable supportive relationships between dying patients and their family caregivers. Identification of

key domains in which terminally-ill patients and their family caregivers support one another could

facilitate the development of interventions focused specifically on support exchange between

patients and family caregivers in palliative care. Moreover, key parameters of support exchange

between terminally-ill patients and family caregivers could be translated into instruments that

measure that support exchange and then tested among larger populations and/or different

diagnostic groups in palliative care. Support exchange between terminally-ill patients and family

caregivers could be judged as an outcome in palliative care and correlated with other variables or

outcomes in palliative care. Ultimately, a fuller understanding and measurement of support

exchange between dying patients and family caregivers would inform the direction of future

research on the dynamics of informal caregiving in palliative care.

Funding: No funding was received for this work.

Competing interests: None declared.

References

1. Hauser JM, Kramer BJ. Family caregivers in palliative care. Clin Geriatr Med 2004;20:671-688

5

- Pivodic L, Van den Block L, Pardon K, et al. Burden on family carers and care-related financial strain at the end of life: a cross-national population-based study. Eur J Pub Health 2014;24:819-826
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). End of Life Care for Adults. London:
 NICE, 2017
- 4. The Irish Hospice Foundation. Enabling more people to die at home: Making the case for quality indicators as drivers for change on place of care and place of death in Ireland. Dublin: The Irish Hospice Foundation, 2014
- 5. Reigada C, Pais-Ribeiro JL, Novella S, *et al*. The caregiver role in palliative care: a systematic review of the literature. *Health Care Current Reviews* 2015;3:2
- 6. Morris SM, King C, Turner M, *et al*. Family carers providing support to a person dying in the home setting: A narrative literature review. *Palliat Med* 2015;29:487-495
- 7. Hudson P & Payne S. Family caregivers and palliative care: current status and agenda for the future. *J Palliat Med* 2011;14:864-869
- Lee KC, Chang WC, Chou WC, et al. Longitudinal changes and predictors of caregiving burden while providing end-of-life care for terminally ill cancer patients. J Palliat Med 2013;16:632-637
- 9. Lingler JH, Sherwood PR, Crighton MH, et al. Conceptual challenges in the study of caregivercare recipient relationships. *Nurs Res* 2008;57:367-372
- 10. McPherson CJ, Wilson KG, Murray MA, et al. Feeling like a burden: exploring the perspectives of patients at the end of life. Soc Sci Med 2007;64:417-427
- 11. Proot IM, Abu-Saad HH, ter Meulen RH, et al. The needs of terminally ill patients at home: directing one's life, health and things related to beloved others. *Palliat Med* 2004;18:53-61
- 12. Broom A, Kirby E. The end of life and the family: hospice patients' views on dying as relational. *Soc Health Illn* 2013;35:499-513

- 13. MacArtney JI, Broom A, Kirby E, et al. Locating care at the end of life: burden, vulnerability, and the practical accomplishment of dying. Soc Health Illn 2016;38:479-492
- 14. Hauser JM, Change CH, Alpert H, et al. Who's caring for whom? Differing perspectives between seriously ill patients and their family caregivers. Am J Hosp Palliat Med 2006;23:105-112
- 15. Foley G, Hynes G. Decision-making among patients and their family in ALS care: a review.

 *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2017 Aug 11:1-21 [Epub ahead of print]
- 16. Gardner DS. Cancer in a dyadic context: Older couples' negotiation of ambiguity and search for meaning at the end of life. *J Soc Work End Life Palliat Care* 2008;4:135-159
- Broom A, Cavenagh J. Masculinity, moralities and being cared for: an exploration of experiences of living and dying in a hospice. Soc Sci Med 2010;71:869-876
- 18. Sebern M, Riegel B. Contributions of supportive relationships to heart failure self-care. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2009;8:97-104
- 19. Badr H, Carmack CL, Kashy DA, et al. Dyadic coping in metastatic breast cancer. *Health Psychol* 2010;29:169-180
- 20. Traa MJ, De Vries J, Bodenmann G, et al. Dyadic coping and relationship functioning in couples coping with cancer: A systematic review. *Br J Health Psychol* 2015;20:85-114