## A Symmetric Alternative to Geary and John's Expression of Product Changes

JOHN E. SPENCER New University of Ulster, Coleraine

Geary and John in this issue of the *Review* prove the identity  $d(x_1 ldots x_k) = \sum f_i dx_i$ , where  $d(x_1 ldots x_k) = \pi x_i^2 - \pi x_i^1$ ,  $dx_i = x_i^2 - x_i^1$  and  $f_i$  is the symmetric function of the x's other than  $x_i$ , viz.:

$$f_{i} = \left\{ \Sigma_{(i)}^{0} / \binom{k-1}{0} + \Sigma_{(i)}^{1} / \binom{k-1}{1} + \ldots + \Sigma_{(i)}^{k-1} / \binom{k-1}{k-1} \right\} \right\} / k.$$

Here,  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum x_{1}^{t_{1}} \dots x_{i-1}^{t_{i-1}} x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}} \dots x_{k}^{t_{k}}$  with r of the (k-1) x's having the superscript 1 (i.e., the t's of those x's are set at 1) and the remaining (k-1-r) x's having superscript 2 (t's at 2) and the sum is over the  $\binom{k-1}{r}$  distinct ways of placing the superscripts in the k-1 positions available. To illustrate, for k=5,

$$\Sigma_{(1)}^{3} = x_{2}^{1} x_{3}^{1} x_{4}^{1} x_{5}^{2} + x_{2}^{1} x_{3}^{1} x_{4}^{2} x_{5}^{1} + x_{2}^{1} x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{1} x_{5}^{1} + x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{1} x_{4}^{1} x_{5}^{1}.$$

A different argument leading directly to the Geary-John identity is sketched below but, for interest, is organised to produce an alternative symmetric expression which is perhaps equally attractive. Using bars to indicate arithmetic means, it follows and is easily shown from the calculus of finite differences that  $d(x_1 \dots x_k) = \overline{x}_k d(x_1 \dots x_{k-1}) + (\overline{x_1 \dots x_{k-1}}) dx_k$ . A similar expression can be written for  $d(x_1 \dots x_{k-1})$ , and continuation of the expansion leads to a sum of k terms, the first involving  $dx_1$ , the second  $dx_2$ , etc.

This process can be carried out for all k! permuted orders of  $x_1 ldots x_k$ . Adding and averaging yields

$$d(x_1 \dots x_k) = \Sigma g_i dx_i \tag{1}$$

where

$$g_{1} = \left\{ \left[ \overline{(x_{2} \cdots x_{k})} \right] \middle/ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} k \cdot 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) + \left[ \overline{x}_{2} (\overline{x_{3} \cdots x_{k}}) + \overline{x}_{3} (\overline{x_{2} x_{4} \cdots x_{k}}) + \cdots \right] \middle/ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} k \cdot 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) + \left[ \overline{x}_{2} \overline{x}_{3} (\overline{x_{4} \cdots x_{k}}) + \overline{x}_{2} \overline{x}_{4} (\overline{x_{3} x_{5}} \cdots \overline{x_{k}}) + \cdots \right] \middle/ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} k \cdot 1 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) + \left[ \overline{x}_{2} \overline{x}_{3} \cdots \overline{x}_{k-1} (\overline{x}_{k}) + \overline{x}_{2} \overline{x}_{3} \cdots \overline{x}_{k-2} \overline{x}_{k} (\overline{x}_{k-1}) + \cdots \right] \middle/ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} k \cdot 1 \\ k \cdot 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) + \left[ \overline{x}_{2} \overline{x}_{3} \cdots \overline{x}_{k} \right] \middle/ \left( \begin{smallmatrix} k \cdot 1 \\ k \cdot 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right\} \middle/ k$$

$$(2)$$

with similar expressions for  $g_2, \ldots, g_k$ . The number of terms in the r'th square bracket is  $\binom{k-1}{r-1}$ , the number of ways of selecting r-1 variables (whose product is to be averaged) from k-1. Thus,  $g_i$  can be seen as an intuitively appealing kind of generalised average of the product of the k-1 x's excluding  $x_i$ .

From the Geary and John identity, it follows that  $\sum g_i dx_i = \sum f_i dx_i$ . From symmetry it is expected that  $g_i = f_i$ , all i, which is indeed the case, although term by term equality within  $g_i$  and  $f_i$  obviously fails. The relationship between the structure of  $g_i$  and that of  $f_i$  is complex but pleasingly elegant. Showing equality of  $g_i$  and  $f_i$  depends on showing that

$$H(p,m) + I(p,m) = 1, p = 0, ..., m,$$

where

$$H(p,m) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-p} {\binom{1}{2}}^{p+r+1} {\binom{p+r}{p}} \text{ and } I(p,m) = \sum_{r=0}^{p} {\binom{1}{2}}^{m-p+r+1} {\binom{m-p+r}{r}}$$

By noting that  $H(p,m) = H(p-1,m) - \binom{1}{2}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{p}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, m$  and that  $I(p,m) = I(p+1,m) - \binom{1}{2}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{p+1}$ ,  $p = 0, \ldots, m-1$  and using the easily calculated values for H(0,m) and I(0,m), the desired result follows. As an aside, if the index r runs to infinity in the H and I series, both series converge and sum to unity – a fact which allows the generation of further interesting combinatorial identities and yields further insights into the respective structures of  $g_i$  and  $f_i$ .

If a reader can simplify the above arguments or would wish to see them expressed in fuller detail, he is invited to contact the author.

158