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Context-Setting...

A little about me...

- Assistant Professor and Course Coordinator since 2004.
- Research Methods lecturer on M.Sc. Health Services Management
- Dept Representative on FEC; Founding member of CHPM-CGH REC
  - Dr Declan Devane a key mentor in my ethics education

A little about our Centre and our Course

- Started off as an “inter-faculty unit”
- 2004 saw the introduction of compulsory ethics approval for all MSc students
Purpose of the paper...

“Making a Case and Space for Action Research in RECs”

Making a Case

Arguments put forward for AR

Who champions?

Making Space

Tolerance

Acceptance

Appreciation
Making a **Case** for AR... bread-and-butter of academic life

**Arguments**

Rational – Addresses the How question from stakeholder perspectives

Philosophical – Ethics of care

Appeals to Authority; Bolstering Credibility

**AActors and Champions**

Nursing Researchers

Qualitative Researchers (HSCP Disciplines)

Business School Researchers

Health Economists
Making **Space** for AR... much, much, much more difficult

**Level 1 Difficulty**  
Lack of Awareness  
→ Education  
...Wilful Ignorance  
Lack of Interest

**Level 2 Difficulty**  
Lack of Acceptance  
→ Champions  
...Arguing at Cross Purposes  
Lack of Familiarity *and* Credibility

**Level 3 Difficulty**  
Lack of Appreciation  
→ Demonstrated Benefits  
...Non-Committance  
Lack of Mutuality / Establishment-ization

...And on another level...  
... About making a space for myself and my own mixed disciplinary backgrounds
## Making Space for AR... Problems, Strategies, Outcomes...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulties ...</th>
<th>What’s missing</th>
<th>The usual solution...</th>
<th>The actual issue...</th>
<th>The learned adaptive responses...</th>
<th>Hoped-for / Actual Outcomes...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 Difficulty</td>
<td>Lack of Awareness</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Lack of Interest</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilful Ignorance...</td>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>“Climate of Recognisability”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2 Difficulty</td>
<td>Lack of Acceptance</td>
<td>Champions</td>
<td>Lack of Familiarity (“In”-Group Frames of Reference)</td>
<td>Boundary-Crossing Champions</td>
<td>Credibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arguing at Cross Purposes...</td>
<td>Isolation; Assimilation; or Integration?</td>
<td>“Climate of Acceptability”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3 Difficulty</td>
<td>Lack of Appreciation</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>Ideological Resistance</td>
<td>Demonstrated Benefits on Their Terms</td>
<td>Mutuality and/or “Establishment”-ization (Legitimisation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Committance...</td>
<td>Typology vs. Hierarchy</td>
<td>“Climate of Respectability”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Action Research and Ethics Committees: From Tolerance to Acceptance and Appreciation?

AR studies being rejected by REC

Iterative/cyclical nature of inquiry counter to precise pre-specification of all design phases in standard research

Participants as “Co-researchers” antithetical to paternalistic models of research and research ethics

AR studies being exempted for review by REC

AR seen as “audit” and thus fall outside of remit of REC

AR seen as “low risk” if only staff members involved
AR studies being approved through rigorous review by REC

Risks and benefits of research partnership between principal investigator and co-researchers being considered in light of their organisational relationships

“Quality of relationship” being a key parameter of judging quality of AR

Iterative nature of AR inquiry being assessed via standard progress reports

“Quality of process” being another key parameter of judging quality of AR

Chimes in with increasingly streamlined research governance models
The eggplant continues to struggle for mainstream acceptance.

...Get out!!

"If you find yourself constantly trying to prove your worth to someone, you have already forgotten your value."

By appreciation, we make excellence in others our own property.

VOLTAIRE

BrainyQuote
Thank You
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