Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a voltzialean conifer from the Triassic of Antarctica
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A B S T R A C T
Mycorrhizas are intimate mutualistic relationships between plants and fungi that represent an integral component of ancient and extant ecosystems. These symbioses have been established for at least 400 million years and are found in numerous fossil plant groups, including early land plants, seed ferns, and cordaitaleans. In modern ecosystems, it is common for different types of mycorrhizas to occur within a single plant; however, fossil evidence of this is exceedingly scarce. A vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza is described in Notophytum krauselii (voltzialean conifers) based on permineralizations from the Middle Triassic of Antarctica. This symbiosis occurs in young non-nodular roots and is characterized by fungal hyphae, vesicles, and arbuscules within a discrete zone of the root cortex. Together with the mycorrhizal root nodules described previously for N. krauselii, this discovery represents only the second record of multiple types of mycorrhizae occurring within the same fossil plant, and provides important information on the system of interactions that may have allowed voltzialean conifers to survive in an extreme paleoenvironment.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Belowground ecosystems incorporate highly integrated components that form complex and dynamic communities. For example, in forest ecosystems there are just as many abiotic and biotic interactions within the rhizosphere as there are above ground (Fitter et al., 2005; Wang and Qiu, 2006). Among these diverse rhizosphere interactions are mycorrhizal symbioses, the mutualistic relationship between a fungus and plant in which both partners benefit from the exchange of nutrients (Simard and Durall, 2004). This ancient intimate relationship has been suggested as a necessary preadaptation that made it possible for plants to colonize the terrestrial realm (Pirozynski and Malloch, 1975; Simon et al., 1993; Beimforde et al., 2011). Moreover, glomeromycotan fungi involved in endomycorrhizal associations were reported in the cycad Antarccticycas schopfii (Stubblefield et al., 1987a,b; Phipps and Taylor, 1996), and mycorrhizal root nodules in narrow rootlets of the voltzialean conifer Notophytum krauselii (Schwendemann et al., 2011). The latter taxon is among the most completely known anatomically preserved plants of the Triassic paleoecosystems in Antarctica (Bomfleur et al., 2013). It can be viewed as a model system for studies of paleoecology in Triassic Gondwanan ecosystems due to its abundance, distribution throughout Gondwana, and known descriptions of the morphology and anatomy of roots, stems, branches, trunks (i.e., N. krauselii; Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991, 1992), leaves (i.e., compressions: Heidiphyllum elongatum, permineralizations: Notophytum leaves; Anderson, 1978; Axsmith et al., 1998), reproductive structures, i.e., seed cones (Telemachus sp., Strullu-Derrien et al., 2009; Krings et al., 2011; Harper et al., 2013), and fossil representatives of living plant groups, including conifers and flowering plants (e.g., LePage et al., 1997; Stockey et al., 2001; Beimforde et al., 2011).

Triassic permineralized peats from the central Transantarctic Mountains of Antarctica have preserved an exceptionally diverse assemblage of fossil fungi, including a putative trichomycete (White and Taylor, 1989a), a basidiomycete (Osborn and Taylor, 1989), several examples of zygomycetous fungi (White and Taylor, 1989b; Krings et al., 2012), fungal “sporocarps” (White and Taylor, 1989c), and possible saprotrophic fungi in reproductive structures (Bergene et al., 2013).
and Parasciadopitys aequata; Yao et al., 1993; Escapa et al., 2010), pollen cones (Switzianthus sp.; Anderson and Anderson, 2003; Bomfleur et al., 2011), and bisaccate pollen of the Alisporites type (e.g., Yao et al., 1993), as well as microbial associations (e.g., Bomfleur et al., 2013).

In this study we report a vesicular–arbuscular endomycorrhiza (VAM or AM) that occurs in non-nodular roots of N. krauselii. The fungal partner spreads through the intercellular system of the outer and middle root cortex and consists of asceptate hyphae, thin-walled vesicles, and intracellular arbuscules within a discrete zone of the host cortex. The discovery of an AM in addition to the mycorrhizal root nodules in N. krauselii is important because it provides evidence that different types of endomycorrhizal associations occurred within Antarctic voltzialean conifers. The mycorrhizal systems (simultaneously or alternately) may have contributed to the system of adaptations that enabled these plants to survive in a high-latitude peat-forming environment as has been suggested of this part of the Antarctic continent during the Triassic.

2. Geological setting, material, and methods

2.1. Geological setting

The fossils occur in permineralized (silicified) peat from the Fremouw Formation in the central Transantarctic Mountains of Antarctica (Taylor et al., 1986; Cünéo et al., 2003; Faure and Mensing, 2010). The Fremouw Formation is a 620–750 m thick siliciclastic succession deposited by low sinuosity, braided streams (Faure and Mensing, 2010). The fossils occur within several allochthonous clasts that are at approximately the same stratigraphic level within a trough-crossbedded, medium-grained, greenish-gray volcanioclastic sandstone. Permineralized peat is found at a single level at the Fremouw Peak locality, approximately 30 m below the top of the formation. Blocks of peat were likely rafted into their current position during a flooding event that caused them to be stranded on sand bars prior to permineralization (Taylor et al., 1989) and isolated into individual lenses within the outcrop. The peat was silicified after burial in its current position and the age of the fossil plants within the peat is equivalent to the surrounding clastic sediments, i.e., fluvial sandstone, which also contains trunks of wood of equivalent age to the peat (Decombeix et al., 2014). The silica source for the permineralization is interpreted to be the result of the dissolution of siliceous, volcanic detritus that was abundant in the upper Fremouw Formation. The peat and surrounding material have been dated as early Middle Triassic (Anisian) based on palynomorphs and nearby vertebrate fossils (Farabee et al., 1990; Hammer, 1990; Sidor et al., 2008; Faure and Mensing, 2010).

2.2. Material

The peat blocks used in this study contain abundant components attributable to the voltzialean conifers, including axes of N. krauselii (Plate I, 1) characterized by eustelic woody stems, roots of N. krauselii characterized by a distinctive phi layer suggesting that the plants may have grown in a fluctuating water table environment (Millay et al., 1987; Taylor and Ryberg, 2007), permineralized N. krauselii leaves (Plate I, 2), numerous Alisporites pollen grains (Plate I, 3), and ovules of Parasciadopitys (Plate I, 4).

Fossil roots in general are difficult to identify at any systematic level because the characters used to define them are relatively uniform. However, N. krauselii roots and aerial axes/stems do share several anatomical features that make it possible to assign the roots with confidence to the stems, which in turn are correlated with other vegetative and reproductive organs (e.g., Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991; Escapa et al., 2011).

The thin sections prepared for this study are composed almost entirely of roots in various planes of section, which provide the opportunity to examine slightly different stages of development and to compare the anatomical features with those roots described previously and assigned to N. krauselii (Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991, 1992; Bomfleur et al., 2013). This also makes it possible to trace the distribution of the fungus within the roots and to analyze where it occurs within the tissue systems. Mature roots contain a distinct zone of primary xylem, well-defined endodermis, secondary xylem, cortical tissues, and a poorly preserved and locally difficult to discern epidermis (Plate I, 5). Mature roots, however, are rarely encountered in the peat blocks relative to the smaller, immature rootlets lacking secondary xylem. The smaller rootlets occur singly or in distinct clusters (Plate I, 6), vary from 0.5 to 5 mm in diameter with most between 1 and 2.2 mm; some are sectioned in a way to show well-defined apices. Generally, the stele of these rootlets is poorly preserved, but the endodermis is present; the cortex of smaller rootlets is approximately 4–6 cell layers thick, and appears similar to that in mature roots; the epidermis is difficult to discern.

2.3. Methods

Fossil specimens were initially prepared according to standard acetate peel techniques utilizing hydrofluoric acid (Joy et al., 1956; Galtier and Phillips, 1999) to survey the material for fungi, including roots that may show evidence of mycorrhizal colonization. For promising specimens standard thin sections were prepared (Hass and Rowe, 1999), but with the following modifications. Pieces of peat were mounted on microscope slides using Hilquist 2-part A-B epoxy compound and cut with a Buehler Petrothin® thin-sectioning machine to a thickness of ~250 μm. The wafer was then ground to a thickness of ~50–65 μm and analyzed using a Leica DM5000B transmitted-light compound microscope. Digital images were taken with a Leica DC500 digital camera attachment and minimally processed using Adobe Photoshop CS6 Version 13.0 x64 (©1990–2012, Adobe Systems). When suitable specimens were identified, multiple micrographs of the same specimen were recorded at different focal planes and compiled to produce composite images (e.g., Ber covici et al., 2009). The images were stacked in Adobe Photoshop CS6 and specific areas were modified to reveal the complete three-dimensional image as seen in the thin sections. Several images were also digitally focal stacked using Helicon Focus software (Method B, Radius 8, Smoothing 4) in order to study intricate areas of the specimens (e.g., Sauge and Selden, 2009). Measurements were taken using Image 1.48b software (Abramoff et al., 2004). Specimens and slides are deposited in the Paleobotanical Collections, Natural History Museum and Biodiversity Institute, University of Kansas (KUPB) under specimen accession numbers 26590, 30000–30007.

3. Results: fungi in N. krauselii

More than 50 young N. krauselii rootlets have been discovered that are characterized by a distinct, continuous zone of apparently more opaque cells positioned in the cortex approximately 3–4 cell layers below the epidermis. This zone is 2–5 cells thick and can readily be recognized in both transverse (Plate I, 7) and longitudinal sections (Plate I, 8) because of the concentration of fungi.

This zone within the root cortex appears more opaque because it is densely packed with multi-branched intracellular arbuscules attached to intercellular trunk hyphae, and sparsely dispersed vesicles positioned terminally on parental (branch) hyphae (Plate II, 8). Prominent hyphae (~7 μm in diameter) are perpendicular, septate, and ramify throughout the zone (Plate II, 1). It is interesting to note that evidence of this fungus has not been found in any cells of the stele, the cortical tissues outside of the distinct zone, epidermis, or in the surrounding matrix. However, a few rootlets host other hyphae that are generally larger (~15 μm diameter) (Plate II, 2). These hyphae have not been found attached to vesicles or arbuscules, and likely represent another fungus present in the rootlet or represent trunk hyphae from which smaller lateral hyphae branch to...
produce arbuscules. At this time we can only speculate on the ecological affinity of the larger hyphal type. It is possible that this may represent a saprotrophic or parasitic fungus; however, we have no direct evidence to support this, i.e., degradation of the cell walls or host responses. There are amorphous structures within cells (see Plate II, 14) that we have interpreted as preservational artifacts or biomimetic structures, but may also represent remnants of fungal decomposition. The smaller hyphae are more common and produce right-angle branches (Plate II, 3), possible T- or cruciform branching (Plate II, 4), Y-branching (Plate II, 5), and anastomoses to H-junctions (Plate II, 7); hyphae lack a septum at each division, but can produce septa after division or branching. In rare examples, the hyphae produce a swelling or an enlarged region with some of these structures separated by a septum (Plate II, 6).

The individual branched units that make up the arbuscule are difficult to distinguish because of their small size and three-dimensional organization; the structure of the arbuscule ranges from bulbous to narrowly tapering points of the branching hyphae. Although the composition and structure of the individual arbuscules is consistent, the point of attachment of the arbuscule is variable, with the majority occurring at the ends of hyphae (Plate II, 9). Other attachment points result from a right angle branch of vegetative hyphae (Plate II, 10) or, less commonly, arbuscules develop directly from an individual hypha (Plate II, 11).

Vesicles are attached to the same type of vegetative hypha that produces the arbuscules. Two distinct types of vesicles are present in the rootlets: (1) globose or spheroidal (Plate II, 12) and (2) oblong to elliptical (Plate II, 13–14). Vesicle development is initiated soon after arbuscules are formed and have been hypothesized to function as storage units that typically contain lipids and cytoplasm in mycorrhizal associations (Cooper and Lösel, 1978). In rare instances, however, vesicles develop thick walls and may function as propagules in older, mature roots (Biermann and Linderman, 1983). Globose, spheroidal vesicles are 25–30 μm in diameter with an average diameter of 28 μm. The oblong to elliptical vesicles are 65–78 μm in length with an average of 75 μm. At the narrowest portion of the vesicle, the width can range from 10 to 15 μm in diameter with an average width of 13 μm. The widest portion of the vesicle is 35–40 μm in diameter with an average diameter of 36 μm. Both globose and oblong vesicles are smooth-walled, opaque, and with a uniform wall approximately 0.5 μm thick. A single perpendicular septum occurs at the base of each vesicle. A right-angle branch from a vegetative hypha produces the globose or spheroidal vesicles. In contrast, oblong or elliptical vesicles are produced at the terminal end of an individual hypha. Vesicles lack contents and occur inside the lumen of individual cortical cells. Only mature, fully developed vesicles have been observed in the rootlets; immature or developing vesicles have not been identified. It is possible that the bulging or swollen areas in individual hyphae (e.g., Plate II, 6) represent a developmental stage in vesicle production.

4. Discussion

Voltzialean conifers are a group of transitional gymnosperms that is generally considered to be sister to the Cordaitales, primarily based on a shared suite of characters in the reproductive structures (Florin, 1951; Hernandez-Castillo et al., 2001; Rothwell et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2009). The first report of a mycorrhiza in voltzialean conifers was in the form of spheroidal mycorrhizal root nodules in *N. krauselii* from the Triassic of Antarctica (Schwendemann et al., 2011). These root nodules are specialized outgrowths that develop on young roots and function as the site of endomycorrhizal fungal development. In extant conifers producing mycorrhizal nodules, these structures typically form in rootlets that are close to the soil surface (Russell et al., 2002).

The fungi reported in this study occur in small secondary, young rootlets of *N. krauselii* that differ from nodule-producing roots; the anatomical features of the fungus are also different from those in the nodules. The mycorrhizal fungus reported here is characterized by distinctive vesicles and arbuscules that occur exclusively within a well-defined zone of the ontogenetically immature host rootlet cortical tissue. In contrast to the fungi described by Schwendemann et al. (2011), the fungus in this study differs by producing globose and oblong vesicles; fungi within the *N. krauselii* root nodules do not contain multiple types of vesicles (only spherical types were described). The fungus in this study also differs by having multiple types of arbuscule-to-vesicle attachment types and does not have associated extrarhizal spores. This complement of features corresponds with morphologies seen in many extant and several other fossil arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM-)fungi (e.g., Bonfante-Fasolo, 1984; Peterson and Farquhar, 1994; Brundrett, 2004; Dickson, 2004). The oldest fossil record of AM fungi comes from the Lower Devonian (~408 Ma) Rhynie chert, where the fungus occurs in a well-defined zone of the cortex of the prostrate and upright axes of the early land plant *Aglaophyton major* (Remy et al., 1994). A similar mycorrhizal association has recently also been suggested for the Rhynie chert land plant *Horneophyton lignieri* (Strullu-Derrien et al., 2014). Fossil AM fungi that produce arbuscules exclusively within a specialized zone of the host cortex have also been reported to occur in Rhodiculites-type cordaitalean rootlets from the Carboniferous of France (Strullu-Derrien et al., 2009), stigmalian appendages from the Carboniferous of Great Britain (Kring et al., 2011), and the Triassic cycad *A. schopfii* (Stubblefield et al., 1987ab; Phipps and Taylor, 1996). Based on the structural correspondences of the fossils described in this study to extant and other fossil AM associations, we interpret the fossil fungi in *N. krauselii* as representing the fungal partner in a vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza.

Arbuscule morphology in extant plants includes two principle morphological types, i.e., the Paris-type, characterized by coiled hyphae, arbuscules, and predominantly intracellular development, and the Arum-type, characterized by highly dichotomized arbuscules and predominantly intercellular development (Dickson, 2004). The combination of characters herein, such as the highly dichotomizing hyphae and various attachment points to vegetative hyphae, suggest that the arbuscules in *N. krauselii* are most like those of the Arum-type. The presence of an Arum-type endomycorrhizal morphology in *N. krauselii* is interesting because the vast majority of arbuscular mycorrhizas in modern gymnosperms, with the possible exception of *Gingko biloba*, is of the Paris-type (Breuninger et al., 2000).

Glomeromycotan systematics and taxonomy today are based primarily on molecular–phylogenetic evidence, and morphological characters when congruent with gene phylogenies. The exclusive use of morphological data for Glomeromycota taxonomy can be used in exceptional cases, such as fossil taxa, when supporting molecular data are ambiguous or unavailable. Based on the consensus classification incorporating molecular–phylogenetic and morphological data, there are ten families of the Glomeromycota that have been identified: Acaulosporaceae, Ambisporaceae, Archaeasporaceae, Paraglomeraceae, Claroideoglomeraceae, Diversisporaceae, Geosiphonaceae, Gigasporaceae, Pacisporaceae, and Glomeraceae (Schüßler and Walker, 2010; Redecker et al., 2013). Each of these families has distinct morphological features that allow for identification.
characters and in some cases, unique ecological niches that can be used to determine the closest systematic affinity of fossil endomycorrhizal fungi. The endomycorrhiza that occurs in the young rootlets of *N. krauselii* is characterized by hyphae that are straight or occasionally sinuous, and seldom produce septa. The vegetative hyphae branch dichotomously and produce Y-branched and H-junctions, with some larger hyphae that contain occasional swellings. The arbuscules are produced on small trunks of various attachment types, i.e., right angle or no trunk from a parental hypha, or develop terminally on the parental hypha, with bulbous secondary branching; vesicles are ellipsoidal to globose, lateral and terminal, and a septum is present at the base. Additionally, no asexual or extraradical spores, saccules, or auxiliary spores have been found associated with this fungus.

Many of the families of the Glomeromycota do not contain these characters and can be eliminated in considerations as to the affinity of the *N. krauselii* mycorrhizal fungal fungus: (1) the Acaulosporaceae produce endomycorrhizae with ellipsoidal to irregular or knobby vesicles (Morton and Benny, 1990); (2) members of the Ambisporaceae are characterized by the production and developmental processes of asexual spores (e.g., glomoid and/or aculosporid) and spore wall structure and saccules; (3,4) all members of the Archaeasporaceae and Paraglomaceae produce mycorrhizae that lack vesicles (Morton and Redeker, 2001); (5) the Claroideoglomaceae is also known as the phylogenetic Glomus Group B, and is typified by glomoid spores that form in substrate or rarely in decaying roots, and the development of an inner wall that is produced separately or an apparent 'endospore' (Schübler and Walker, 2010); (6) the Diversisporaceae produces arbuscular mycorrhizas with or without vesicles, with or without auxiliary cells, and are characterized by the formation of glomoid spores (Walker and Schübler, 2004); (7) the Geosiphonaceae are characterized by specific asexual spore wall characters and appear because one species produces an endocytosymbioses with cyanobacteria (Schübler, 2002); (8) the Gigasporaceae is primarily characterized by spore cell wall morphology, germination shield patterns and development, and auxiliary cell morphology. Additionally, Gigpora spp. form endomycorrhizas with arbuscules, coiling hyphae, and do not produce vesicles (Walker and Sanders, 1986); (9) the Paecilomycetaceae are similarly characterized by spore surface structure, spore wall ornamentation, spore color size, and germination shield development (Oehl and Sieverding, 2004).

(10) The Glomeraceae contains the largest number of species, i.e., *Glomus* spp., of the Glomeromycota. Morphological characters of the arbuscules formed by Glomeraceae include finely branched arbuscules with narrow trunks (<4 µm in diameter), intercellular, typically straight hyphal (1.5–5 µm in diameter) that can also produce diagnostic H-branching, intracellular hyphal (2–4 µm wide) that rarely coil, and vesicles that are generally elliptical to spheroidal (Pirozynski and Dalpé, 1989). The fossil fungus described in this study shares the most characters (i.e., finely branched arbuscules, H-branching, and elliptical and spheroidal vesicles) with the Glomeraceae, specifically with the genus *Glomus*. The presence of AM in *N. krauselii* indicates that mycorrhizal associations in this Triassic conifer did not exclusively occur in the form of root nodules, but that some also entered into a different type of mycorrhizal association (i.e., AM) within non-nodule-forming rootlets. It remains unresolved, however, as to whether these two different mycorrhizal associations occurred simultaneously in this plant, perhaps located in different parts of the root system (see below), or the plant was able to shift between different mycorrhizal associations. For example, it is possible that gerrmings and juvenile plants relied on AM, whereas the larger plants with more extensive root systems developed root nodules. Because nodules and AM have not been discovered together in the same roots or in different roots in organic connection, it is also possible that perhaps the two types of mycorrhizal association did not occur in the same individuals, but rather that there were some trees with root nodules and others that had AM.

Modern AM fungi differ from root nodule fungi not only with regard to structure and morphology, but also with regard to the part of the root system that they colonize, and the relative position they occupy in the soil column. For example, in podocarps (Podocarpaceae) the mycorrhizal root nodules occur in subsurface roots (Dickie and Holdaway, 2011), whereas AM fungi are typically found in young, developing roots, which can spread both laterally and vertically throughout the entire soil column (Vogt et al., 1981). The latter mycorrhizal association in the young rootlets is likely important in the initial establishment of the plants in nutrient-poor soils (Rillig and Mummey, 2006). It has also been suggested that root nodules increase the extent of mycorrhizal colonization, while minimizing the cost that would be associated with developing an extensive root system (McGee et al., 1999; Dickie and Holdaway, 2011). Nevertheless, the exact functions of mycorrhizal root nodules remain elusive. One important difference between the host cells containing AM fungi in the form of arbuscules and those cells containing them in nodules is that the cells of the latter atrophy after the arbuscules have ceased to function and the nodules are eventually abscised, whereas cortical cells invaded by typical AM fungi remain alive after arbuscules have collapsed, and these cells can subsequently host new arbuscules.

*N. krauselii* not only demonstrates the occurrence of different types of mycorrhizal associations, perhaps within a single fossil plant, but also provides some indirect evidence of the morphology, spatial distribution, and functioning of the root system in this Triassic volitzaellean conifer with the surrounding environment. The specimens of *N. krauselii* were collected from the Fremouw Peak locality, which has been described as a warm, temperate high-latitude peat forming environment (Bomfleur et al., 2014). Modern peat forming ecosystems are highly acidic, nutrient poor environments. Plants utilize multiple strategies to adapt to these nutrient deficient, typically anoxic, low pH environments such as increasing root surface area via mycorrhizal fungi (Marschner, 1991; Clark et al., 1999). Moreover, mycorrhizas do occur in anoxic to low oxygen environments and are beneficial in maintaining species richness and uptake of phosphorous in wetland and peat ecosystems (Cornwell et al., 2001). Fungal activity is crucial in nutrient poor peatland environments (Myers et al., 2012), and it is therefore plausible that the AM fungus in the rootlets of *N. krauselii* were equally important for volitzaellean conifers to survive within Triassic peat ecosystems. Additionally, the discovery suggests that in this plant, mutualistic relationships perhaps occurred at multiple soil horizons. This might have been important with regard to survival of *Nototphyton krauselii* in the
Antarctic high-latitude paleoecosystems. It has been suggested that the Middle Triassic climate of Antarctica was a warm temperate to temperate environment (Cúneo et al., 2003; Cantrell and Poole, 2012) with a high degree of seasonality as indicated by fossil tree-ring studies (Taylor and Ryberg, 2007; Gulbranson and Ryberg, 2013). Additionally, all of the necessary factors required for arborescent growth in high-latitude ecosystems (e.g., photoperiodic ecotypes, high rates of cambial activity during the growing season, appropriate crown architecture) are within the scope of adaptations to trees in polar forests (Creber, 1990; Osborne et al., 2004). One of the most significant abiotic stresses of these very high-latitude forests was the extreme light regime, i.e., four months of 24-hour darkness, four months of 24-hour daylight, and four months of transition (Taylor and Ryberg, 2007; Gulbranson et al., 2012). Additionally, extinct studies and experiments on conifers show that arborescent organisms under extreme photoperiod regimes can retain the capacity to regenerate their photosynthetic pigments after time intervals of complete darkness, i.e., for up to 140 days ( Larson, 1964; Kostopoulou et al., 2011). Furthermore, it is a well-understood phenomenon that under extremely stressful situations, extant trees increase carbon allocation to roots and mycorrhiza ( McDowell et al., 2008; Evelin et al., 2009; Miransari, 2010; Swidrik et al., 2013). Perhaps the mycorrhizal fungi reported here were crucial to N. krauseli during periods of extreme light regimes. We cannot be sure if the plant was able to shift readily between different mycorrhizal associations during times of extreme biotic or abiotic stresses, the mycorrhizal types occurred with the same parent plant, or if each association occurred at different ontogenetic stages of N. krauseli during root development. In extant gymnosperms, there can be multiple types of mycorrhizal interactions co-occurring within the same plant (Berliner and Torrey, 1989; Peterson et al., 2004). Most extant gymnosperms are characterized by having AM associations, e.g., cycads, Ginkgo, Araucariaceae, Podocarpaceae, Cupressaceae, Sciadopityaceae (Fontana, 1985; Halling, 2001; Fisher and Vovides, 2004; Peterson et al., 2004; Wagg et al., 2008; Brundrett, 2009). The fungal partners in these symbioses are typically of the Glomus type, or less commonly of the Gigaspora or Scutellospora types, and form vesicles and arbuscules (Baylis et al., 1963; Bonfante-Fasolo and Fontana, 1985; Russell et al., 2002; Wubet et al., 2003). On the other hand, mycorrhizal associations between gymnosperms and members of the Endogonales (Mucoromycotina, zygomycetous fungi) are generally considered ectomycorrhizal (Walker, 1985; Warcup, 1990) but gymnosperms can also have AM fungi that co-occur with ectomycorrhizal associations (Harley and Harley, 1987; Brundrett et al., 1990; Cázare and Trappe, 1993; Onguene and Kuypers, 2001; Wagg et al., 2008). Overall, the mycorrhizal ecology of gymnosperms is complex and occurrence of multiple types of mycorrhizal associations, and other endophytes, within the same plant in a common phenomenon in extant ecosystems (Vogt et al., 1983; Molina et al., 1992; Cázare et al., 2005; Menkus et al., 2005; Öpik et al., 2008). Therefore, it is surprising that there is a paucity of information about multiple mycorrhizas within fossil gymnosperms. With the current report, there are now two fossil examples of different mycorrhizal associations occurring within the same plant from the Mesozic. The other example is the Triassic cycad A. schopfi, also from the Middle Triassic of Antarctica, which contains two glomromycoton fungi (Glomites and Gigasporites), each forming a morphologically distinct endomycorrhizal association within the same roots (Stubblefield et al., 1987a,b; Phipps and Taylor, 1996). Gigasporites myriamycées is characterized by wide intercellular and intracellular hyphae that form intracellular loops and coils in the cells, whereas hyphae of Glomites cyestr is are slightly narrower and produce thin-walled, elangate vesicles (Phipps and Taylor, 1996). Although there are two types of glomeromycoton fungi present within A. schopfi, this does not necessarily imply that each fungus was constantly in a mycorrhizal association. In extant plants that contain multiple mycorrhizal associations, these fungi may not necessarily form mycorrhizal symbioses at the same time (Helgason et al., 2002). The example in N. krauseli, however, demonstrates the presence of two endomycorrhizal associations; it remains to be demonstrated whether these interactions existed independently or functioned concurrently in the roots of this Triassic transitional conifer.

5. Conclusions

The presence of a vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza in a Triassic Voltzialean conifer, a member of a lineage considered intermediate between the Cordaitales and modern conifers, provides some interesting new insights into conifer evolution and paleobiology. We hypothesize that the progenitors of the conifers may have been predominately endomycorrhizal. Some support for this hypothesis comes from Carboniferous Radulicites-type cordaitalean rootlets showing evidence of AM colonization (Struﬄ-Derrien et al., 2009). However, more fossil evidence is needed to further resolve this hypothesis and the full extent of mycorrhizal evolution among conifers. We anticipate that future investigations of perminalerized Triassic, Jurassic, and Cretaceous plants, spanning an important interval in the evolution of gymnosperm–mycorrhizal relationships, will provide opportunities to not only find earlier representatives of AM fossils, but perhaps also examples of the intermediate forms between endo- and ectomycorrhizal associations, and perhaps the earliest representatives of ectomycorrhizal interactions with ancient gymnosperms.
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