Targeted synthesis of regioisomerically pure dodecasubstituted type I porphyrins through the exploitation of peri-interactions
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ABSTRACT: A targeted synthesis of dodecasubstituted type I porphyrins that utilizes the reaction of unsymmetrical 3,4-difunctionalized pyrroles and sterically demanding aldehydes was developed. This way, type I porphyrins could be obtained as the only type isomers, likely due to a minimization of the steric strain arising from peri-interactions. Uniquely, this method does not depend on lengthy precursor syntheses, the separation of isomers, or impractical limitations of the scale. In addition, single crystal X-ray analysis elucidated the structural features of the macrocycles.
Porphyryns are abundant in nature where they fulfill many important roles, for example, in the functioning of pigment protein complexes and metalloproteins.\textsuperscript{1,2} Today, they are frequently applied as model compounds to illustrate new achievements in multiple scientific areas, including biology, chemistry, medicine, physics, including dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) and beyond.\textsuperscript{3} Conclusively, research aims to synthesize complex porphyrin architectures with diverse substitution patterns that show tailored functional properties, including organocatalytic activity,\textsuperscript{4} singlet oxygen delivery,\textsuperscript{5} and innovative sensors.\textsuperscript{6} As such, short but efficient syntheses or new functionalization reactions are highly sought after.\textsuperscript{7} However, the choice of which synthetic route to use to synthesize any particular porphyrin depends upon the symmetry features of the product itself.

The synthesis of regioisomerically enriched or pure porphyrin type isomers usually depends on the preparation of special pyrrolic precursors or the design of particular condensation strategies. That is because conventional condensation reactions of, e.g., 3,4-disubstituted pyrroles would result in the formation of statistical mixtures of all possible type isomers. Moreover, due to the very similar physicochemical properties of a set of type isomers, it is not trivial to separate these on a preparative scale. Thus, for example, etioporphyrin I (1) and coproporphyrin I tetramethyl ester are accessible by the tetramerization of $\alpha$-functionalized pyrroles,\textsuperscript{8} and so-called opp-porphyrins, in which like pyrrole rings are regiochemically situated opposite to each other, were prepared in a similar fashion.\textsuperscript{9} On the other hand, dipyrromethenes have been utilized in the syntheses of 1 and coproporphyrin I (5) (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{10}
This methodology was later extended to the use of dipyrromethenes for the preparation of isomers other than type I. At the same time, where the separation of type isomers from statistical mixtures is attempted, time-consuming or small-scale purification methods are required, such as HPLC. Naturally, this is more challenging the more type isomers are present in a given sample. Type I and III isomers of penta-, hexa-, and heptacarboxyporphyrin as well as those of uro- (9 and 11), copro- (5 and 7), and isocoproporphyrin were separated via HPLC. The authors stated that this method would be suitable for the preparative isolation and for the detailed analysis of such isomers in clinical materials, e.g., urine and feces of patients. More recently, HPLC was also applied to separate coproporphyrin I and III (5 and 7) where tetrapyrroles were extracted from various types of yeast and bacteria and then analyzed by MS.

Porphyrin type isomers are important for medicinal and synthetic studies: In one report, tetrapyrroles excreted by patients with different types of porphyria were
analyzed. Therein, the type isomer composition was disclosed with regards to, for example, type I and III uro- (9 and 11) and coproporphyrin (5 and 7) presence depending on the type of porphyria.

This overview shows that methods for the facile synthesis of regioisomerically pure porphyrin type isomers are scarce. Moreover, the synthetic approaches that were shown are usually not broadly applicable and as such, a relatively small library of such tetrapyrroles is at hand. This was taken as an occasion to elaborate a concept where simple Lindsey condensation reactions would lead to the preferential formation of type I porphyrins (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1: Concept of the targeted synthesis of highly substituted type I porphyrins, rather than a statistical mixture, from unsymmetrical 3,4-difunctionalized pyrroles and sterically demanding aldehydes.

In this proposal, the pyrrolic precursors are designed in a way that a significant difference in steric demand between the groups carried at the 3- vs the 4-position is
generated (e.g., as in 14). When condensed with large aromatic aldehydes, type I porphyrins should be formed as the major products due to a minimization of the peri-interactions and the reduction of the overall steric strain. peri-Interactions are conformational effects that occur when meso residues are flanked by β substituents. This creates a steric clash, resulting in high energy steric strain and deformation of the molecule, which is particularly pronounced in dodecasubstituted porphyrins.

For proof of principle, 3-ethyl-4-isopropylpyrrole (14) was prepared by the reduction of 3-acetyl-4-isopropylpyrrole (13) with lithium aluminium hydride in 84% yield. And indeed, the following reaction of compound 14 with benzaldehyde in the presence of the Lewis acidic BF₃ catalyst yielded 15•2HCl as the only detectable porphyrin species after the oxidation with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ, Scheme 2). Notably, 15 was obtained as a dihydrochloride salt, as indicated by the presence of only two Q bands in the UV–vis absorption spectrum, likely due to the protonation by residual hydrochloric acid present in the solvent. This can be associated with the pronounced basicity of the neutral macrocycle 15 due to the high degree of nonplanarity and electron-rich character. The protonation could not be prevented even when the solvent was predried, neutralized over K₂CO₃, and filtered through silica before use. And while the compound could initially be neutralized through the addition of DCM:TFA (100:1, v/v), redissolving in DCM or chloroform resulted in a new protonation. As such, for the purpose of this study, further neutralization attempts of the complex (e.g., drying over CaH₂, followed by distillation) were ultimately not attempted.
Scheme 2. Synthesis of type I porphyrin isomers $15\cdot2\text{HCl}$ and $16$ through condensation and metalation.

The formation of the type I isomer $15\cdot2\text{HCl}$ was confirmed with certainty by single crystal X-ray analysis. Interestingly, the alternating ethyl–isopropyl type I substituent pattern resulted in an overall molecular shape resembling a macroscopic propeller or a Dutch windmill where the isopropyl groups are analogous to molecular-scale blades attached at a precise 90° pitch angle. To investigate the features of the corresponding metalloporphyrin $16$, and for comparison with $15\cdot2\text{HCl}$, Ni(II) insertion was performed, which occurred in a high yield of 86%. The crystal structures of both $15\cdot2\text{HCl}$ and the Ni(II) complex $16$ revealed a severe saddle distortion of each macrocycle (Figure 2).

![Image of molecular models](image_url)

**Figure 2:** Side and top views (stick models) of $15\cdot2\text{HCl}$ (left) and $16\cdot\text{DCM}$ (right). One of the chloride ions has been omitted for clarity.
In addition, the crystal lattice of 16 had a tunnel-like structure where DCM was incorporated (Figure 3). Moreover, the isopropyl substituents extended well beyond the porphyrin plane, with the result that the DCM guest molecules were fully engulfed in hydrophobic binding pockets. The formation of this nonplanar metalloporphyrin solvate complex is somewhat reminiscent of \{2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato\}copper(II) dichloromethane solvate (Cu(II)OETPP•2DCM) and points at possible receptor applications due to the availability of solvent-accessible voids\(^{4a,20}\) or at enzyme-like catalytic properties due to the presence of hydrophobic cavities.

![Figure 3: Excerpt of the crystal structure lattice diagram (stick model) of 16•DCM.\(^{19a}\) The blue circle marks a hydrophobic binding pocket.](image)

The selective formation of 15•2HCl confirmed the initial hypothesis that the rational choice of the pyrrole and aldehyde components would open a new avenue to regioisomerically pure type I porphyrins via simple condensation pathways. In order to expand the product library, 14 was also reacted with 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with a similar outcome (Scheme 3).
Scheme 3. Synthesis of the type I porphyrin \textbf{17}\textsuperscript{\textbullet}2HCl through a condensation reaction.

While the only porphyrin type isomer that could be observed and isolated was \textbf{17}\textsuperscript{\textbullet}2HCl, the presence of another species after the condensation reaction was noted. This tetrapyrrole could be readily separated from \textbf{17}\textsuperscript{\textbullet}2HCl, but no structure was assigned with certainty. However, HRMS analysis indicated that this porphyrin was devoid of two CH\textsubscript{3} fragments (17 – 2CH\textsubscript{3}) when compared to 17. One explanation is that a fraction of 14 underwent a type of dealkylation prior to the condensation to form 3,4-diethylpyrrole (18), which could then have reacted with unaltered 14 and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde to form a tetrapyrrrole like 19 or corresponding regioisomers (Scheme 3). But at this stage, the actual molecular structure of, and the mechanism for the formation of 17 – 2CH\textsubscript{3}, and whether this is a mixture of isomers is speculation. In any case, this side product could be separated from \textbf{17}\textsuperscript{\textbullet}2HCl through conventional column chromatography, and unambiguous assignment of the structure is currently under investigation.
In the following, it was attempted to extend this method to different types of pyrroles and to investigate some of the limitations. For this, 3-methyl-4-phenylpyrrole (23) was selected as a promising target in order to test whether it would be possible to introduce aromatic functions into the β-positions of type I porphyrins. In practical terms, 23 was synthesized from 20 and p-toluenesulfonylmethyl isocyanide (21) in a sequence of a Van Leusen reaction and a reduction of the methyl ester function in 22 (Scheme 4).

Unfortunately, the condensation of 23 with benzaldehyde resulted in the formation of an inseparable statistical mixture of all four porphyrin isomers. Apparently, the difference in steric bulk between the methyl and phenyl group in 23 was not distinct enough for selective type I porphyrin formation. While the 4-phenyl substituent in 23 may be considered as a large functional group, the flat geometry of this group may account for an insufficient distinction from the 3-methyl unit in terms of bulkiness and, consequently, the lack of regioselectivity. This was reflected by a high number of methyl signals in the 1H NMR spectra of the free base products and the corresponding Ni(II) complexes. The Ni(II) complexes were synthesized to investigate whether a separation by chromatography could be accomplished. But unfortunately, the TLC analysis indicated a very similar polarity of the products. However, this example did not eliminate the option that in the future, 3,4-disubstituted pyrroles with more sterically demanding aromatic substituents may eventually lead to type I porphyrin formations.

Scheme 4: Synthesis of 3-methyl-4-phenylpyrrole (23), acid-catalyzed condensation with benzaldehyde, and metalation.
Upon recrystallization of the pyrroles 14 and 23, samples that were suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis could be obtained. The structural analysis revealed the formation of intermolecular H-bonds in both species between the polarized carbonyl functions and N–H groups (Figure 4). This was an interesting observation since H-bonding of the nitrogenous hydrogen atoms in pyrroles is an important feature for the application as catalysts\textsuperscript{4,22} and innovative sensors.\textsuperscript{23}

![Figure 4: Excerpts of the crystal structure lattice diagrams (stick models) of 13 (top) and 22 (bottom), both revealing the formation of intermolecular H-bonds.\textsuperscript{19a}}](image)

To conclude, a method for the selective preparation of type I porphyrins in straightforward condensation reactions was developed, and the utility of the method could be proven in a promising case study. Therein, dodecasubstituted type I porphyrins formed as the only regioisomers, and it is likely that the presence of a higher number of repulsive peri-interactions in the type II, III, and IV tetrapyrroles is responsible for the high degree of regioselectivity. Notably, this innovative method does not depend on tedious precursor syntheses, cumbersome purification steps (i.e., HPLC), or impractical limitations on the reaction scale that are usually associated with this type of chemistry. While this strategy was initially investigated for 3,4-dialkylpyrroles, an expansion to more diverse systems is currently under investigation.
Moreover, the crystal structural analyses of 15•2HCl and 16 confirmed the type I substitution pattern, likewise revealing a high degree of saddle distortion in both. Interestingly, the Ni(II) complex 16 formed a tunnel-like structure in the solid state and acted as a receptor for DCM, which could be exploited for the sensing of neutral molecules in the future. Additionally, the crystal structures of the unsymmetrically 3,4-difunctionalized pyrroles 14 and 23, which formed intermolecular H-bonds due to the presence of carbonyl and N–H groups, were solved, pointing at a potential as sensors and organocatalysts.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Analytical Techniques.** Analytical TLC was performed using sheets precoated with silica gel to a depth of 0.2 mm or aluminum oxide plates, both impregnated with fluorescence indicator F254. The visualization was accomplished with a UV lamp. Flash column chromatography was carried out using aluminum oxide (neutral, activated with 6% H2O, Brockman Grade III). Mass spectrometry analysis was performed with a Q-Tof Premier Waters MALDI quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometer equipped with a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) source and DCTB (trans-2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile) as the matrix. APCI experiments were performed on a Bruker microTOF-Q III spectrometer interfaced to a Dionex UltiMate 3000 LC. UV–vis absorption measurements were performed in DCM as the solvent using a Shimadzu MultiSpec-1501. Melting points are uncorrected and were measured with a Stuart SMP-50 melting point apparatus. 1H and 13C {1H} NMR spectra were recorded at 400.13 MHz and 100.61 MHz, respectively, using Bruker DPX400, Bruker AV 600, and Bruker AV 400 devices, respectively. All NMR experiments were performed at 25 °C. Resonances δ are given in ppm units and referenced to the deuterium peak in the NMR solvent CDCl3 (δH = 7.26 ppm, δC = 77.2 ppm). Signal multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: singlet = s, doublet = d, quartet = q, septet = sept, multiplet = m. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer utilizing the ATR sampling technique.

**General Information.** To protect air and moisture sensitive compounds, the corresponding reactions were carried out under “Schlenk” conditions using argon as
an inert gas. Air and residual moisture were removed from the instruments by a hot air gun under high vacuum, and the flasks were purged with argon subsequently. This cycle was repeated up to three times as necessary.

In the NMR spectra of the new highly substituted type I porphyrins, the signals corresponding to the \( \beta \)-ethyl and \( \beta \)-isopropyl groups are broad. This is in accordance with conformational studies by Medforth et al. on decaalkylporphyrins.\(^{25}\) Presumably, the highly substituted products existed as a mixture of atropisomers in solution. The missing signals corresponding to the inner protons, as observed in most \(^1\)H NMR spectra, have been reported previously, too.\(^{26}\)

**Materials.** Most commercially available reagents were used as received unless otherwise noted. For example, THF and DCM for air and moisture sensitive reactions were obtained by passing the degassed solvents through an activated aluminium oxide column. Alternatively, DCM for porphyrin syntheses was obtained via drying over phosphorus pentoxide and distillation. The pyrroles \(^{13}\)\(^{27}\), \(^{22}\)\(^{28}\) and \(^{23}\)\(^{29}\) have been prepared following the literature.

3-Ethyl-4-isopropylpyrrole 14: 3-Acetyl-4-isopropylpyrrole 13 (4 g, 26.5 mmol, 1 equiv) in 70 mL THF was added dropwise to a suspension of LiAlH\(_4\) (3.14 g, 82.7 mmol, 3.1 equiv) in 20 mL THF at 0 °C. After that, the reaction mixture was left to stir for 1 h at rt and heated to 66 °C for 17 h by an oil bath. Upon the careful hydrolysis with \( \approx 150 \) mL of a 2 M sodium hydroxide solution at 0 °C, Et\(_2\)O was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et\(_2\)O, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, dried with MgSO\(_4\), filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The title compound was obtained as yellow oil (3.05 g, 22.26 mmol, 84%). \( R_t \) 0.55 (SiO\(_2\), hexane). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400.13 MHz): \( \delta \) 1.36–1.42 (m, 9H), 2.68 (q, \( J = 7.5 \) Hz, 2H), 3.02 (sept, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, \( J = 2.7 \) Hz, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H). \(^{13}\)C {\(^1\)H} NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 100.61 MHz): \( \delta \) 14.6, 18.5, 24.0, 25.2, 113.3, 114.6, 124.0, 129.8. HRMS–APCI (m/z): [M + H]\(^+\) calcd for C\(_9\)H\(_{16}\)N, 138.1277; found, 138.1281. MS–APCI m/z (% relative intensity, ion): 96.07 (100, M – C\(_3\)H\(_7\) + 2H). IR (ATR) \( \tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} \): 2959, 2931, 2870, 1670, 1640, 1462, 1379, 1076, 896, 776 cm\(^{-1}\).

2,7,12,17-Tetraethyl-3,8,13,18-tetraisopropyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-22\(H\),24\(H\)-
porphyrindium dihydrochloride 15•2HCl: 3-Ethyl-4-isopropylpyrrole (14, 1 g, 7.29 mmol, 1 equiv) and benzaldehyde (0.77 g, 7.29 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in dry DCM (1 L) and boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (90 µL, 0.73 mmol, 10 mol %) was added. This was reacted for 22 h at rt, followed by the addition of DDQ (7.28 g, 32.1 mmol, 4.4 equiv). The solution became purple and was left to stir for another hour. The solvent was removed at reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in DCM and filtered through a plug of Al₂O₃, Brockman grade III, using DCM, mixtures of DCM and ethyl acetate, and eventually mixtures of ethyl acetate and methanol to partly separate the relevant green fractions. These were evaporated to dryness and chromatographed on Al₂O₃, Brockman grade III, using DCM:ethyl acetate, 2:1, v/v. A major green band was isolated, which contained the title compound. After drying in vacuo, 15•2HCl was obtained as green solid (172 mg, 0.66 mmol, 9%). mp > 300 °C. Rf 0.34 (Al₂O₃, DCM:ethyl acetate, 10:1, v/v). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400.13 MHz): δ −0.34 (s, 4H), −0.17–0.58 (m, 24H), 1.38–1.55 (m, 12H), 2.12–2.33 (m, 4H), 2.41–2.74 (m, 8H), 7.73–7.98 (m, 12H), 8.39–8.72 (m, 8H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100.61 MHz): δ 14.7, 15.9, 16.4, 16.5, 16.6, 16.7 (× 3), 19.6 (× 2), 19.8, 23.0, 23.1, 23.2 (× 2), 23.3 (× 2), 27.4, 27.5, 32.2, 118.1, 118.2, 118.5, 118.7 (× 2), 118.8, 119.1, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9 (× 2), 129.0 (× 2), 129.1, 130.0 (× 2), 130.1, 130.7, 134.3, 137.3, 137.4 (× 2), 137.6, 137.7, 137.8 (× 2), 137.9 (× 2), 138.4, 138.7, 138.9, 139.1 (× 2), 139.2, 139.3, 139.5, 139.7, 139.9, 140.2, 140.8, 140.9, 141.1, 141.2 (× 2), 141.5, 144.9, 145.0 (× 2), 145.1, 145.2, 145.4, 145.5, 145.6, 145.7 (× 2), 145.8, 145.9, 146.0, 146.2. UV–vis (DCM) λₘₐₓ (log ε): 484 (5.57), 646 (4.09), 703 nm (4.69). HRMS−MALDI (m/z): [M − 2HCl + H]⁺ calcd for C₆₄H₇₁N₄, 895.5673; found, 895.5670.

2,7,12,17-Tetraethyl-3,8,13,18-tetraisopropyl-5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato}nickel(II) 16: Porphyrin 15•2HCl (60.3 mg, 62 µmol, 1 equiv) and Ni(acac)₂ (159 mg, 0.62 mmol, 10 equiv) were dissolved in 0.6 mL DMF, and this was heated to 153 °C for 20 h by a heating mantle during which the reaction mixture changed color from green to purple. After cooling to rt, water and DCM were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM and the combined organic layers were washed with water, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The purple crude product was purified by column chromatography (Al₂O₃, Brockman grade III, using DCM:petroleum ether,
The first fraction, a purple band, was isolated and upon evaporation of the solvent, the title compound was obtained as purple solid (51 mg, 53.3 μmol, 86%). mp > 300 °C. Rf 0.61 (SiO2, hexane:DCM, 5:1, v/v). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400.13 MHz): δ 0.33–0.49 (m, 12H), 0.54–0.77 (m, 12H), 1.26–1.45 (m, 12H), 1.79–2.09 (m, 4H), 2.52–2.83 (m, 8H), 7.53–7.70 (m, 12H), 7.94–8.21 (m, 8H). 13C {1H} NMR (CDCl3, 100.61 MHz): δ 17.6, 20.4, 23.2, 26.7, 26.8, 26.9, 127.0, 127.3, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 134.2, 134.7, 135.3, 140.7, 146.3, 146.5, 148.7, 148.9. UV–vis (DCM) λmax (log ε): 444 (5.33), 593 (4.15), 599 nm (4.01). HRMS−MALDI (m/z): [M]+ calcd for C64H68N4Ni, 950.4797; found, 950.4785.

2,7,12,17-Tetraethyl-3,8,13,18-tetraisopropyl-5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)-22H,24H-porphyrindiium dihydrochloride 17•2HCl:

Similar to the synthesis of 15•2HCl, 3-ethyl-4-isopropylpyrrole (14, 0.5 g, 3.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.4 mL, 3.3 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in 500 mL of dry DCM and BF3•OEt2 (43 μL, 0.33 mmol, 10 mol %) was added. This was reacted at rt for 21 h during which the reaction mixture turned red, followed by DDQ addition (3.3 g, 14.52 mmol, 4.4 equiv). After stirring for another 2 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in DCM and filtered through Al2O3, Brockman grade IIII, using DCM and DCM:ethyl acetate mixtures up to pure ethyl acetate to remove DDQ derivatives and other nonporphyrin material. Then, DCM:methanol, 1:1, v/v was applied to isolate a green/brown fraction. The relevant fractions, which had brown or green/brown colors, were combined upon TLC analysis, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was then subjected to column chromatography. Column chromatography (Al2O3, Brockman grade IIII) was performed using DCM to remove brown impurities, then DCM:ethyl acetate, 10:1, v/v to isolate a light green fraction of 17•2HCl, giving a green solid (27 mg, 0.1 mmol, 3%) upon evaporation of the solvent. Second, a porphyrin (e.g., 19, see Scheme 3) that was devoid of two CH3 fragments when compared to 17, as indicated by HRMS analysis, was eluted as a dark green band, yielding a green solid (5 mg, <1%) after evaporation of the solvent. 17•2HCl: mp 286–290 °C dec. Rf 0.67 (Al2O3, DCM:ethyl acetate, 10:1, v/v). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400.13 MHz): δ 0.02–0.24 (m, 12H), 0.25–0.38 (m, 12H), 1.44–1.54 (m, 12H), 2.21–2.39 (m, 4H), 2.44–2.71 (m, 8H), 4.09 (s, 3H), 4.11 (s, 6H), 4.12 (s, 3H), 7.35–7.44 (m, 8H) 8.31–8.55 (m, 8H). 13C {1H} NMR (CDCl3,
100.61 MHz): δ 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 (× 2), 16.0, 19.1, 26.6, 26.7, 113.7, 114.1 (× 2), 116.6, 116.8, 117.2, 117.4, 117.7, 118.0, 130.4, 131.5, 131.8, 132.7, 133.0, 137.5, 137.8, 137.9, 138.0, 138.1, 138.4, 138.5 (× 2), 138.6, 139.6, 139.7, 140.0, 140.5, 144.8, 144.9, 145.0, 145.3, 145.4, 145.6, 145.7, 145.8, 146.0, 146.3, 146.5, 161.0, 161.1.

UV–vis (DCM) λ_{max} (log ε): 486 (5.63), 722 nm (4.87). HRMS–MALDI (m/z): [M − H − 2Cl]^+ calcd for C_{68}H_{79}N_{4}O_{4}, 1015.6101; found, 1015.6074. 17 − 2CH_{3} (e.g., 19): HRMS–MALDI (m/z): [M − 2HCl + H]^+ calcd for C_{62}H_{67}N_{4}, 867.5360; found, 867.5371.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

1H and 13C NMR spectra of the newly synthesized compounds, single crystal X-ray structures, and 1H NMR spectra of statistical porphyrin type isomer mixtures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. CCDC 1993404–1993407 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this note. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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