Getting maternity care right for mothers and babies is vital for all countries. It is important to reduce avoidable deaths and ill health. However, it is also crucial that interventions designed to reduce risk for the few mothers and babies who develop complications are not used for those who are healthy. There has not been much research on what makes childbirth go well (as opposed to what makes it go wrong).

Thus, the BIRTH COST Action (2014-2018) brought together maternity staff and researchers, scientists, artists, activists, political stakeholders and service users from over 30 countries in Europe and beyond, with the intention of trying to understand the range and limits of normal childbirth physiology in different populations, individuals and contexts. It included five key areas: biomedicine; biomechanics; socio-cultural perspectives; organisational perspectives; and neuro-psycho-social perspectives.

In September 2018, the conference ‘From birth to health – towards sustainable childbirth’ was held in Lisbon to showcase the work of the Action, calling for the presentation of international research in these five areas. The following abstracts were selected as the best work presented at the conference. The work of the Action is now being taken forward through the International Birth Research and Action Association (IBRAA).
Method
A qualitative design was used to gain in-depth understanding of the factors influencing the decision-making process for CS. Following ethical approval, purposive sampling was used to select clinicians (midwives, n=15; obstetricians, n=20) from three maternity units in the Republic of Ireland. One-to-one audio-recorded interviews were conducted, and data were managed using NVivo® and thematically analysed.

Results/conclusions
Five interrelated themes, each with several subthemes, emerged: ‘a fear factor’; ‘personal preferences versus a threshold – clinician driven factors’; ‘standardised versus individualised care – a system perspective’; ‘private versus public – a possible difference in practice’; and ‘lack of experience or loss of skills and confidence’. It was clearly evident that clinicians’ personal beliefs, fear, practice pattern and individual interpretation are the key factors that influence the decision to perform a CS.

Implications
The findings of this research will be crucial for clinicians to reflect back on their day-to-day practice for modifiable factors that influence their decision-making. Results will also benefit the development of policies and guidelines, and assist in devising future intervention studies to reduce any unnecessary CSs.
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MOTHERS’ VIEWS ABOUT CARE PROVIDED DURING CHILDBIRTH IN THE NETHERLANDS: THE ASPECTS OF CARE THEY VALUE MOST AND THE SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

*Maria Villamarin, Rofayda Tagmount [authors]

Rationale
The care that a woman receives during pregnancy and delivery can have a major effect on her life in both the short and long term. Research conducted in the Netherlands in 2008 showed that 16.5 per cent of women had a negative childbirth experience. Ten years later, it is interesting to consider again women’s experiences of childbirth in the Netherlands. This study will examine women’s experiences, the aspects of care they value most and their suggestions for improvements in maternity care.

Method
The data were collected through the Babies born better online survey completed by women who had given birth in the Netherlands between 2009 and 2015. The research method used in this study was a thematic content analysis. SPSS 24.0® software was used for the statistical analysis.

Results/conclusions
The responses were analysed and categorised by themes by Dutch province and place of birth. The results identify which aspects of care women valued most, what was ‘best’ and which aspects of care they believed needed to be ‘changed’. The in-depth analysis was completed by July 2018 and the results were presented at the conference.

Implications
This analysis of women’s experience will provide a chance to promote aspects of care women deem to be most positive and address areas of care that are seen as negative. The results of the study could inform changes in practice to improve maternity care services in the Netherlands.
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MIDWIVES’ AND HEALTH VISITORS’ PERSPECTIVES AND EXPERIENCES OF BREASTFEEDING SUPPORT FOR OVERWEIGHT AND OBSESE WOMEN

*Van-Shing Chang, Debra Bick [authors]

Rationale
Breastfeeding is an early intervention, which could reduce maternal postnatal weight retention and protect against future child and adolescent obesity. Evidence from high income countries shows overweight or obese women are less likely to start breastfeeding or more likely to stop early. The overweight and obese women might be a marginalised group who find it difficult to access breastfeeding support. This project aims to explore midwives’ and health visitors’ experiences and views on how overweight and obese women can be better supported to breastfeed in order to inform an intervention that would increase breastfeeding rates among this group of women.

Method
Semi-structured interviews with ten midwives and five health visitors were conducted from one NHS trust in south London. Data were transcribed, coded and analysed using thematic content analysis.

Results/conclusions
Issues identified included: (1) perceived problems faced by overweight or obese women to breastfeed; (2) difficulties of providing breastfeeding support for women who were overweight or obese; (3) barriers and opportunities for enhancing healthcare professionals’ knowledge and skills to support overweight or obese women to breastfeed; and (4) how breastfeeding support services could be improved for obese or overweight women.

Implications
Promoting breastfeeding and reducing obesity are public health priorities. Social, psychological, cultural and organisational factors constituted midwives’ and health visitors’ perceptions and experiences of barriers and facilitators to support breastfeeding among overweight or obese women. The development of an intervention should address all these factors.
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OBESE WOMEN BREASTFEEDING SUPPORT AND EXPERIENCES OF VISITORS’ PERSPECTIVES MIDWIVES’ AND HEALTH

*Maria D. Cerdan, Anna Collado, Zulema Romero, Maria Dolores Carbollo, Lidia Martinez, Beatriz Canalis [authors]

Rationale
Stillbirth is recognised as one of the most traumatic experiences for a family. In our hospital, in the last five years, the incidence of stillbirth is 0.6 per cent. Despite its not being a high figure, families need special bereavement care. According to reviewed literature, the care these families received around this time, is extremely important. In Spain there are just a few maternity hospitals with pregnancy loss guidelines for professionals. It has been, so far, a taboo subject, and therefore midwives have not been trained. Since the midwife will often carry out the majority of care of bereaved parents, we observed the need to train midwives and develop a guideline.

Method
This qualitative study examines midwives’ experiences, the aspects of care they believe most and their suggestions for improvements in maternity care.

Results/conclusions
Five interrelated themes, each with several subthemes, emerged: ‘a fear factor’; ‘personal preferences versus a threshold – clinician driven factors’; ‘standardised versus individualised care – a system perspective’; ‘private versus public – a possible difference in practice’; and ‘lack of experience or loss of skills and confidence’. It was clearly evident that clinicians’ personal beliefs, fear, practice pattern and individual interpretation are the key factors that influence the decision to perform a CS.

Implications
The findings of this research will be crucial for clinicians to reflect back on their day-to-day practice for modifiable factors that influence their decision-making. Results will also benefit the development of policies and guidelines, and assist in devising future intervention studies to reduce any unnecessary CSs.
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EXPERIENCES OF MIDWIVES PERFORMING ANTENATAL CARDIOTOCOGRAPHY IN A DUTCH PRIMARY-CARE SETTING: A QUALITATIVE STUDY

*Martijn Van Der Pijl, Ellen Tiel Groenestege, Corine Verhoeven

Rationale
In the Netherlands antenatal cardiotocography (CTG) takes place in the hospital under the responsibility of obstetricians. If an antenatal CTG is indicated, a pregnant woman needs to be referred to secondary obstetric-led care. In three Dutch regions, midwives perform antenatal CTGs in a pilot setting. The aim of this study was to evaluate the experiences of midwives performing antenatal CTG in a primary care setting.

Method
In this qualitative study, 17 semi-structured interviews were performed among primary care midwives who perform antenatal CTGs in a pilot setting. The interviews were transcribed, analysed and coded by using the coding process of Grounded Theory.

Results/conclusions
In general, midwives were satisfied with the task-shift, and described benefits for the pregnant women, such as care provision by a familiar midwife and care closer to home. However, midwives experienced an increased workload as the task was added to their usual activities. On top of that, the execution of CTG was often time-consuming due to technical difficulties. Furthermore, a division was found in midwives’ beliefs.

Many believed that the task-shift contributed to the physiological process: strengthening of their gate-keeper role, increased confidence of pregnant women and improved midwife-client relationship. In contrast, some midwives believe it contributes to a pathological process: medicalisation and relying too much on technical devices.

Implications
These findings explored experiences of primary care midwives and found an overall positive attitude towards the antenatal CTG in primary care. However, the division in beliefs regarding the task-shift requires sufficient attention.
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THE EFFECTS OF INDUCTION OF LABOUR PRIOR TO POST-TERM IN LOW-RISK PREGNANCIES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

*Mette Juhl, Eva Rydahl, Lena Eriksen

Rationale
When a pregnancy is post-term (exceeding 42 1/2 days past estimated due date), it has long been obstetric practice to recommend induction of labour. In the context of a general trend towards earlier inductions, we wished to clarify whether this shift in clinical practice implies negative maternal or perinatal consequences. Hence, we examined the effects of routine induction at 41+0 compared to 42+0 gestational weeks (GW) in low-risk pregnancies.

Method
We performed a systematic review and used validated tools for study selection and quality/evidence assessment (Joanna Briggs Institute; GRADE). We included two randomised and two quasi-experimental trials, and three cohort studies, and calculated relative risk ratios (RR), mean differences, and 95 per cent confidence intervals (CI), on pooled data, where relevant.

Results/conclusions
Compared to expectant management, we found routine labour induction at 41+0 to be associated with the following outcomes (RR and CI): caesarean section (overall) (1.11 [1.09-1.14]); caesarean section (failure to progress) (1.54 [1.01-2.01]); choioamnionitis (1.13 [1.05-1.21]); labour dystocia (1.29 [1.22-1.37]); precipitate labour (2.75 [1.45-5.20]); uterine rupture (1.97 [1.54-2.52]); pH < 7.10 (1.90 [1.68-2.14]); oligohydramnios (0.40 [0.24-0.67]); and meconium-stained amniotic fluid (0.82 [0.75-0.91]). Data did not allow for conclusions on perinatal death.

Implications
Our findings do not support routine labour induction prior to post-term (42+0+) in low-risk pregnancies, and desirable effects do not appear to outweigh undesirable effects. We recommend an increased awareness of inclusion criteria in systematic reviews when used for guideline development to ensure that the evidence base for clinical guidelines is based on studies that reflect contemporary practice.
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