# LEABHARLANN CHOLÁISTE NA TRÍONÓIDE, BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH Ollscoil Átha Cliath

# TRINITY COLLEGE LIBRARY DUBLIN The University of Dublin

#### Terms and Conditions of Use of Digitised Theses from Trinity College Library Dublin

#### **Copyright statement**

All material supplied by Trinity College Library is protected by copyright (under the Copyright and Related Rights Act, 2000 as amended) and other relevant Intellectual Property Rights. By accessing and using a Digitised Thesis from Trinity College Library you acknowledge that all Intellectual Property Rights in any Works supplied are the sole and exclusive property of the copyright and/or other IPR holder. Specific copyright holders may not be explicitly identified. Use of materials from other sources within a thesis should not be construed as a claim over them.

A non-exclusive, non-transferable licence is hereby granted to those using or reproducing, in whole or in part, the material for valid purposes, providing the copyright owners are acknowledged using the normal conventions. Where specific permission to use material is required, this is identified and such permission must be sought from the copyright holder or agency cited.

#### Liability statement

By using a Digitised Thesis, I accept that Trinity College Dublin bears no legal responsibility for the accuracy, legality or comprehensiveness of materials contained within the thesis, and that Trinity College Dublin accepts no liability for indirect, consequential, or incidental, damages or losses arising from use of the thesis for whatever reason. Information located in a thesis may be subject to specific use constraints, details of which may not be explicitly described. It is the responsibility of potential and actual users to be aware of such constraints and to abide by them. By making use of material from a digitised thesis, you accept these copyright and disclaimer provisions. Where it is brought to the attention of Trinity College Library that there may be a breach of copyright or other restraint, it is the policy to withdraw or take down access to a thesis while the issue is being resolved.

#### **Access Agreement**

By using a Digitised Thesis from Trinity College Library you are bound by the following Terms & Conditions. Please read them carefully.

I have read and I understand the following statement: All material supplied via a Digitised Thesis from Trinity College Library is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of a thesis is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or for educational purposes in electronic or print form providing the copyright owners are acknowledged using the normal conventions. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone. This copy has been supplied on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

# UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN TRINITY COLLEGE

THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT: A STUDY OF THE OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS OF THE BOARDS OF MANAGEMENT AS VEHICLES FOR THE PARTICIPATION OF TRUSTEES, TEACHERS, AND PARENTS IN A SAMPLE OF VOLUNTARY SECONDARY AND COMMUNITY SCHOOLS IN IRELAND

by

James Mungovan

A thesis submitted to the University of Dublin in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Ph.D.

March, 2000



## **APPENDICES**

### APPENDIX ONE

QUESTIONNAIRE: NOMINATED MEMBERS

#### NOMINATED MEMBERS

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire about your perception of the effectiveness of your Board of Management at your school.

Please answer all the questions to the best of your knowledge by placing a tick in the appropriate column, recognising that this is your perception of the Board, and that there are no right or wrong answers.

1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION Type of School: Voluntary Secondary [ ] Community	y [ ]							
2	Please indicate in which capacity you are a member of this boa Parent [ ] Teacher [ ] Trustee	rd:	]	]					
3	Before being nominated to this Board, what experiences did you ha relevant to your current role as a Board member? (Tick as many as			ve b	een				
[i] [ii] iii] [iv] [v]	experience as a member of statutory or voluntary group. active involvement with the school prior to nomination. experience as a teacher experience as an educational administrator professional experience as other (please specify)		] [ [	]					
4	For how long have you served on this board? [ ] years	[ ] mor	nths						
5	Are you male or female? Male [ ]	Female	[	]					
		Strong Agree		Ag	ree	Disa	gree		ngly agree
6	As a board, I believe we have a clear understanding of our role and responsibilities.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
7	I believe that the group which nominated me has a clear understanding of the role of the Board in my school.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
8	As a Board, we have agreed a set of values according to which we seek to manage the school.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
9	As a Board, we tend to approve policies presented to us by the school administration more than we develop Board policies.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
10	Our Board would be prepared to overrule the principal if an issue arose on which we held an opposing view to him/her.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
11	I am uncertain about my role (duties, responsibilities, powers) as a member of the Board of Management.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
12	The Board is more comfortable dealing with routine matters that arise in the school, than in discussing policy issues.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]
13	Our Board is often unwilling to address difficult school issues.	[ ]		[	]	]	]	[	]
14	There are issues I would like to raise at the Board, but I feel that they are "off limits."	[ ]		[	]	[	]	]	]
15	Our Board tends to focus more on current concerns than on the future of the school.	[ ]	ĺ	[	]	[	]	[	]
16	In discussing key issues, it is not unusual for a member to ask about what the school stands for and how that is related to the matter under discussion.	[ ]		[	]	[	]	[	]

-389

		Stro		Λ ~~		Dian	oroo		ngly
17	There are issues being dealt with now by the school admininstratio alone about which the Board should be consulted, at least.	Ag [	ree ]	Agı [	]	Disa [	gree ]	Disa [	gree ]
18	If you agree or strongly agree with the last statement, please name s issues which you considered irrelevant.								
	1								
	2		_						
	2		-						
19	Issues in the following areas are raised at my Board regularly, often	, seldor	n, nev	er.					
	Please tick the appropriate response.  Regularly Often	Seldor		Never					
10.1									
19.1	curriculum issues [ ] [ ]								
19.2	school maintenance issues [ ] [ ]								
19.3	future plans for the school								
19.4	pupil discipline issues [ ] [ ]								
19.5	school finance issues [ ] [ ]								
19.6	staff performance issues [ ] [ ]								
19.7	school policy development [ ] [ ]								
19.8	academic progress of pupils [ ] [ ]								
19.9	community education issues [ ] [ ]								
19.1	issues relating to educational change [ ] [ ] community education issues [ ] [ ]								
9.11	community education issues [ ] [ ] staff development issues [ ] [ ]	[ ]		[ ]					
9.12	start development issues	[ ]	ngly	L J				Stro	ngly
			ree	Ag	гее	Disa	gree	Disa	
20	In the nomination of Board members, the competencies	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
	and expertise of nominees in areas related to education should be an important consideration.								
21	I have a responsibility to account to my nominating group regarding the work of the Board.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]
22	I rarely disagree openly with other members at board meetings.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
23	On the Board, I act more according to my personal understanding of issues and school needs, rather than as a respresentative of my nominating group.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
24	Conflict arises often at our meetings	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
25	In reaching decisions, there is a willingness among members	1	1	1	]	ſ	]	1	1
	to compromise when interests conflict.	·	,	L	,		•		,
26	I often feel a conflict of loyalty between my responsibilities to	]	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
	those who nominated me and the interests of the school.								
27	I believe that Board members see themselves primarily as representatives of their nominating group.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]
28	Nominees from particular groups tend to support one another at meetings (e.g. parents support each other, teachers support each other, trustee nominees support each other).	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
29	The confidentiality of the Board meeting is maintained.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
30	Before reaching an important decision, the Board usually seeks input (reactions, opinions, information) from persons who are likely to be affected by the decision.	]	]	]	]	[	]	]	]
	persons who are likely to be affected by the decision.  -390								

		Ag		Ag	ree	Disag	gree		agree	
31	We spend time at our meetings discussing the concerns of the wider community served by the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
32	There is regular formal contact between me and my nominating group (parents or teachers or trustees).	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]	
33	The Board does not seem able to address many of the issues which concern me as a member.	]	]	[	]	]	]	[	]	
34	Board business reflects more closely the needs of the professional staff of the school than the needs of parents.	[	]	[	]	]	]	]	]	
35	Important decisions which, I believe, should be made at Board meetings are made outside the meetings.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
36	The powers of the Board are too limited to address the needs expressed to it.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
37	The professional expertise of teachers is respected by the Board.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]	
38	There is a group spirit and a sense of cohesiveness among the members of the Board.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]	
39	There is an atmosphere of openness and trust at Board meetings.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
40	Board decisions are taken usually through majority vote of the members rather than by consensus.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
41	Some interest groups exercise undue influence on the board.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]	
42	If you have agreed or strongly agreed with the last statement please indicate what you perceive as the order of influence within the board, by ranking the following interests on a scale of 1-5, beginning with 1 to indicate the highest order of influence.									
	parent interests [ ] chairperson teacher interests [ ] principal trustee interests [ ]	[	]							
43	The Board provides a periodic (e.g. annual) report of its activities to the trustees of the school	[	]	]	]	]	]	]	]	
44	The Board monitors the implementation of its policies and plans.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
45	The Board reviews the operations of the school periodically.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]	
46	The Board has on occasion evaded responsibility for an important issue facing the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
47	The Board usually accepts recommendations from the principal with little questioning by the members.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]	
48	The Board receives financial reports regularly.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
49	The Board reviews the academic performance of the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
50	The extra-curricular areas of the school e.g. sport, are reviewed by the Board.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]	
51	The Board sees itself as pr primarily accountable to (tick one only)  51.1 the trustees of the school  51.2 the Department of Education  51.3 its nominating groups  51.4 other									

			ngly	Λα	raa	Disa	1700		ngly gree
52	The trustees ensure that each new Board is briefed on its role and responsibilities.	Ag	ree ]	Agı [	]		]	[	]
53	Important issues raised at meetings are often postponed until further information can be obtained.	[	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
54	There are aspects of the school I would like to know more about as a Board member, but I do not feel comfortable enquiring about them.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
55	I actively seek out information rather than wait for it to be given at the Board meeting.	[	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
56	Other Board members seem to have information that I lack on key issues relating to the work of the Board.	[	]	[	]	]	]	]	]
57	The board is well-informed about wider educational issues.	[	]	]	]	[	]	]	]
58	The Board takes sufficient time to conduct its business.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
59	I feel that I have an opportunity to express my views at meetings.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]
60	I feel that the Board takes my views into account in arriving at its decisions.	[	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
61	Board members tend to put the interests of their nominating groups above the interests of the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
62	Most of the decisions are arrived at by agreement rather than by taking a vote.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
63	After a decision is made, it is supported by all the members.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
64	All members become actively involved in board discussions.	[	]	[	]	]	]	]	]
65	There is a positive working relationship between the professionals [principal and teachers] and the laity [trustee and parent nominees] the Board.	on [	]	[	]	]	]	]	]
66	Most members of the Board rely on informal discussions and observation of how things are done to learn about their role and responsibilities.	]	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
67	As a new member, I was given an introduction to the work of the Board.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
68	I have sufficient understanding of the technical information needed to manage the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
69	Board decisions are generally unanimous	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
70	I consult the Deed of Trust (community schools) or Articles of Management (secondary schools) at least occasionally.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
71	As a Board member, I feel adequately prepared for my role.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[ N.	]
72	I participate actively at Board meetings through 72.1 asking questions 72.1 listening to / taking note of opinions expressed 72.3 providing information to the Board 72.4 making proposals at meetings 72.5 proposing items for the Board agenda.	Reg	lularly	( [ [ [	] ] ] ]	Seld   [   [   [	] ] ]		       
73	From your perspective, with regard to meetings, which of the following groups speak most:  parents [ ] teachers [ ] trustees [ ] all equally [ ]								

74	With regard to your Board - who sets the agenda for m  [ ] chairperson [ ] principal [ ] chairperson and principal [ ] sub-committee [ ] there is a standard agenda [ ] there is no formal agenda [ ] we develop the agenda at the meeting	eetings:								
	[ ] we develop the agenda at the meeting	•	Ve Satis	ery sfied	Satis	sfied	Dissa	tisfied	Ve Dissa	
75	Taking everything into account, I am satisfied that:									
75.1	I have a good understanding of how my Board operates	S	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.2	my Board is an effective leader for the school,		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.3	my Board makes a difference in how the school function	ons,	[	]	[	1	[	]	[	]
75.4	my Board determines policy for the school,		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.5	all Board members are equally welcome to participate at meetings.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.6	I have a good working relationship with other Board members.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.7	I have a good working relationship with the school principal.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.8	I have an influence on Board decision-making,		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.9	I have access to the information I need,		[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]
75.1	I have a good knowledge of what is happening in the school.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.11	I have an understanding of educational issues that arise at Board meetings.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.12	that the Board deals with significant issues of policy for the ongoing success of the school.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
75.13	that the Board structure has made the management of the school more democratic.		[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
76		en frustrating very frustrating.			]	]				

77	Are there any	dditional comments you would like to make?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?
78	In your opinio	n, how could your Board be made more effective in managing your school?

Please check that you have completed question 2 on page 1 above.

Thank you for completing the questionnaire.

Please return the completed questionnaire using the stamped addressed envelope provided.

## APPENDIX TWO

QUESTIONNAIRE: PRINCIPALS

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION** 

#### **PRINCIPAL**

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire about your perception of the effectiveness of your Board of Management at your school.

Please answer all the questions to the best of your knowledge by placing a tick in the appropriate column, recognising that this is your perception of the Board, and that there are no right or wrong answers.

1 2 3	Type of school: Voluntary Secondary [ ] For how long have you been principal of this school? Are you male or female? Male [ ]	Comn	nunity	[	]				
	Are you male of temale.	Stro Ag	iely –	ı Ag	itale	Disa	<u>н</u> тее		ngly gree
4	I believe that, in general, the board members have a clear understanding of their role and responsibilities.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
5	I believe that there is a good understanding in the nominating groups of the role of the Board of Management.	[	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
6	As a Board, we have agreed a set of values according to which we seek to manage the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
7	The Board has helped in solving difficult problems at the school.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]
8	The Board approves policies presented to it by the school administration more than it initiates its own policies.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
9	Our Board would be willing to overrule the principal on an issue.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
10	I believe that the Board, as a whole, is uncertain about its role.	[	]	[	]	]	]	]	]
11	The Board is more comfortable dealing with routine matters that arise in the school, than in discussing policy issues.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
12	Our Board is often unwilling to address difficult school issues.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
13	At least some Board members appear hesitant to raise issues at Board meetings.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
14	Our Board tends to focus more on current concerns than on the future of the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
15	In discussing key issues, it is not unusual for a member to ask about what the school stands for and how that is related to the matter under discussion.	]	]	]	]	]	]	]	]
16	There are issues being dealt with now by the school admininstratio alone which should be dealt with by the Board.	]	]	[	]	]	]	[	]
17	In my view, many of the issues raised at Board meetings are irrelevent principal in the day-to-day management of the school.		ny cond		s a	[	]	]	]
18	If you agree or strongly agree with the last statement, please name s issues which you considered irrelevant.								
	2		_						

-396

19	Issues in the following areas are raised at the board regularly, often, Please tick the appropriate response.	seldon	n, neve	er.					
		Seldor	n .	Never					
19.1 19.2 19.3 19.4 19.5 19.6 19.7 19.8 19.9 19.1 9.11	curriculum issues  school maintenance issues  future plans for the school  pupil discipline issues  school finance issues  school finance issues  staff performance issues  school policy development  academic progress of pupils  community education issues  issues relating to educational change  community education issues  staff development  [ ] [ ]  [ ]  [ ]								
		Stro Ag	ngly ree	Ag	ree	Disa	угее		ngly gree
20	In the nomination of Board members, the competencies and expertise of nominees in areas related to education should be an important consideration for nominating groups.	]	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
21	Board members have a duty to report to their nominating groups regarding the work of the Board.	]	]	]	]	[	]	]	]
22	Members of the Board rarely disagree openly with one another.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
23	Conflict arises often at our meetings	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
24	In reaching decisions, there is a willingness among members to compromise when interests conflict.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
25	I believe that Board members see themselves primarily as representatives of their nominating groups.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
26	Nominees from particular interest groups (parents, teachers, trustee tend to support one another at meetings (e.g. parents support each other, teachers support each other, trustee nominees support each other).	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
27	I believe that  27.1 Board members are objective - they do not impose their own personal agendas.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
	27.2 the confidentiality of Board is maintained.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]
	27.3 Board members are supportive of the school administ	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
28	Before reaching an important decision, the Board usually seeks input (reactions, opinions, information) from persons who are likely to be affected by the decision.	[	]	]	]	]	]	[	]
29	We spend time at our meetings discussing the concerns of the wider community served by the school.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
30	There is regular formal contact between the Board and the nominating group (parents or teachers or trustees).	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
31	The Board does not seem able to address many of the issues which concern me as a Principal.	[	]	[	]	[	]	]	]

			ngly			ъ.			ngly
2.2	Death in the first of the second of the seco	Ag	ree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Disa	igree
32	Board business reflects more closely the needs of the professional staff of the school than the needs of parents.	l	]	l	]	l	]	ι	]
33	At times, the Board has appeared unaware of the impact its decisions will have within the school.	]	]	]	]	[	]	[	]
34	Important decisions which, I believe, should be made at Board meetings are made outside the meetings.	]	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
35	The powers of the Board are too limited to address the needs expressed to it.	[	]	]	]	[	]	]	]
36	The professional expertise of teachers is respected by the Board.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
37	There is a group spirit and a sense of cohesiveness among the members of the Board.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
38	There is an atmosphere of openness and trust at Board meetings.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
39	Board decisions are taken usually through majority vote of the members rather than by consensus.	[	]	]	]	[	]	]	]
40	Some interest groups exercise undue influence on the board.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
41	If you have agreed or strongly agreed with the last statt statement, please indicate what you perceive as the order of influence within the board, by ranking the following interests on a scale of 1-5 beginning with 1 to indicate the highest order of influence.								
	parent interests [ ] chairperson teacher interests [ ] principal trustee interests [ ]	[	]						
42	The principal provides a periodic report (e.g. annual) on the school to the Board.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]
43	The Board monitors the implementation of its policies and plans.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
44	The Board reviews the operations of the school periodically.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
45	The Board has on occasion evaded responsibility for an important issue facing the school.	[	]	]	]	[	]	[	]
46	The Board usually accepts recommendations from the principal with little questioning by the members.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
47	The Board examines the financial report put before it.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
48	The Board reviews the academic performance of the school.	[	]	[	]	]	]	[	]
49	The extra-curricular areas of the school e.g. sport, are reviewed by the Board.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]
50	I see the Board as primarily accountable to (tick one only):  50.1 the trustees of the school [ ]  50.2 the Department of Education [ ]  50.3 Board nominating groups [ ]  50.4 other [ ]								
51	The trustees of the school ensure that new Boards are briefed on their role and responsibilities.	[	]	[	]	[	]	[	]

		Stro	ngly ree	Agı		Disagree	Strong) Disagre	
52	Important issues raised at meetings are often postponed until further information can be obtained.	[	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
53	Some Board members appear reticent in enquiring about the school	ol [	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
54	The officers of the Board (chairperson and/or secretary) make an effort to ensure that all members have similar information on important issues on the agenda.	]	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
55	The Board is well informed about wider educational issues.	[	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
56	Board members, at least occasionally, seek information about the school outside of Board meetings.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
57	The frequency of Board meetings is sufficient for the Board to fulfill its role.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
58	I feel that I have an opportunity to express my views at meetings.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
59	I feel that the Board takes my views into account in arriving at its decisions.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
60	Board members tend to put the interests of their nominating group above the interests of the school.	os [	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
61	Most of the decisions are arrived at by agreement rather than by taking a vote.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
62	After a decision is made, it is supported by all the members.	[	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
63	Generally, Board discussions are free and frank.	[	]	[	]	[ ]	[ ]	
64	Generally, all members become involved in Board deliberations.	[	]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
65	There is a positive working relationship between the professionals [principal and teachers] and the laity [trustee and parent nominees the Board.		]	]	]	[ ]	[ ]	
66	From your perspective, with regard to your meetings, which of the groups speak most.	following	ng					
	[ ] parents teachers [ ] [ ] trustees all equally [ ]							
67	Board decisions are generally unanimous.	[ Reg	] olarly	] 10 - v	len	[ ] Seldom	[ ] Never	e e
68	Our Board members participate at meetings mainly through: 68.1 asking questions 68.2 listening to and taking note of opinions expressed 68.3 providing information to the board 68.4 making proposals at meetings	[	]	] [ ]	]	[ ] [ ]	[ ] [ ] [ ]	
69	With regard to your Board - who sets the agenda for meetings:  [ ] chairperson [ ] principal [ ] chairperson and principal [ ] sub-committee [ ] there is a standard agenda [ ] there is no formal agenda [ ] we develop the agenda at the meeting							
70	Board members propose items for the agenda.	[	]	[	]	[ ]	[	

		Ke	egu!	arly	- On	en	Se	lder	1	rvev	er
71	Most members of this Board appear to rely on informal discuss and observation of how things are done to learn about their role and responsibilities.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
72	Board members are adequately prepared through training for their role.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
73	Most members have an adequate understanding of the technic information needed to run the school.	cal	[	]	[	]	[	]		]	]
74	I consult the Deed of Trust (community schools) or Articles of Management (secondary schools) at least occasionally.	f	[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
75	There is no need for formal training of Board members.		[ Ve	]	[	]	[	]		[ Ve	]
76	Taking everything into account, I am satisfied that my Board:			ijeji	Satis	fied	Diss	atisl	ied Di		4
76.1	has a good understanding of its role.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.2	is an effective leader for the school		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.3	makes a difference in how the school functions		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.4	determines policy for the school		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.5	welcomes participation from all its members at meetings.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.6	enjoys good working relationships between Board members.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.7	has a good working relationship with you as the school principal.		[	]	]	]	[	]		[	]
76.8	is a democratic decision-making body		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.9	has access to sufficient information for good decision-making	3	[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.1	has a good knowledge of what is happening in the school.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.11	has a sufficient understanding of the educational issues that arise at Board meetings.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
76.12	deals with significant issues of policy for the ongoing success of the school.		[	]	]	]	[	]		[	]
76.13	has made the management of the school more democratic.		[	]	[	]	[	]		[	]
77	My experience of working with my Board of Management ha  [ ] highly rewarding frustra  [ ] rewarding very fr				]	]					

Are there	further comments you would like to make?
Are there	further comments you would like to make?
Are there	

Please return the completed questionnaire using the stamped addressed envelope provided.

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

# APPENDIX THREE LETTERS

March 31, 1998

#### Dear Principal:

I am writing to invite you to participate in a study of Boards of Management in Community and Voluntary Secondary Schools from the perspective of their effectiveness. I am conducting this study as part of my Ph.D. thesis currently underway at Trinity College, Dublin under the supervision of Dr. Seamus McGuinness. The study is prompted by the growing interest among the public in how education is managed, a reappraisal by parents and communities of their influence on the educational provision, and a personal interest of the writer in how boards are functioning particularly in the light of their evolving role in Irish educational management. There is very little substantive study of boards of management operations in second level schools in Ireland, or of what constitutes effectiveness on these boards.

I am enclosing a survey-questionnaire. This questionnaire seeks to address the experience of board members in the Irish context with a view to determining the core characteristics of the role and operations of boards from their perspective. The questionnaire has seventy seven main items. To complete it, you are invited to tick one box in response to each item. It should take approximately thirty minutes to complete. There is no need to search out any information. Principals from a sample of voluntary secondary and community school boards are being asked to respond. A similar (but not identical) questionnaire is being issued to the board members in these schools. The completed questionnaire should be returned to me in the attached envelope before May 1, 1998 if possible.

I wish to assure you that individual names, schools, or boards, or other identifying information will not be used in the report of the study. The confidentiality of your responses will be maintained at all times.

Enclosed please find a stamped, self-addressed envelope to expedite the timely return of the completed questionnaire. Thank you for your willingness to participate in this study and for your timely return of the questionnaire. The success of the study depends on your participation.

Yours sincerely,

March 31, 1998

#### Dear Board Member:

I am writing to invite you to participate in a study of Boards of Management in Community and Voluntary Secondary Schools from the perspective of their effectiveness. I am conducting this study as part of my Ph.D. thesis currently underway at Trinity College, Dublin under the supervision of Dr. Seamus McGuinness. The study is prompted by the growing interest among the public in how education is managed, a reappraisal by parents and communities of their influence on the educational provision, and a personal interest of the writer in how boards are functioning particularly in the light of their evolving role in Irish educational management. There is very little substantive study of boards of management operations in second level schools in Ireland, or of what constitutes effectiveness on these boards.

I am enclosing a survey-questionnaire. This questionnaire seeks to address the experience of board members in the Irish context with a view to determining the core characteristics of the role and operations of boards from their perspective. The questionnaire has seventy seven main items. To complete it, you are invited to tick one box in response to each item. It should take approximately thirty minutes to complete. There is no need to search out any information. Board members from a sample of voluntary secondary and community school boards are being asked to respond. A similar (but not identical) questionnaire is being issued to the principals in these schools. The completed questionnaire should be returned to me in the attached envelope before May 1, 1998 if possible.

I wish to assure you that individual names, schools, or boards, or other identifying information will not be used in the report of the study. The confidentiality of your responses will be maintained at all times.

Enclosed please find a stamped, self-addressed envelope to expedite the timely return of the completed questionnaire. Thank you for your willingness to participate in this study and for your timely return of the questionnaire. The success of the study depends on your participation.

Yours sincerely,

May 5, 1998

Dear

I wish to thank you for distributing the questionnaires relating to my study of the boards of management forwarded to you at the beginning of April. The response to date has been very encouraging and for that I say "thanks" to all those who completed the questionnaire. To date 30% of the voluntary school board members, 28% of the community school board members, 65% of the voluntary secondary principals and 65% of the community school principals have responded. I am aware that many boards have not met since the questionnaires were received in your schools. I am also aware that this is a very busy month for boards and principals. I would appreciate if you would be kind enough to mention the questionnaire at your next meeting by way of a reminder to board members who have not returned it so far. The deadline of May 1, 1998 is now dead! I will be happy to receive responses over the summer period.

Yours sincerely,

March 31, 1998

Mr. Sean McCann, General Secretary Association of Community and Comprehensive Schools, Herbert Avenue, Dublin 4

#### Dear Sean:

I am writing to inform of a study of Boards of Management in Community and Voluntary Secondary Schools from the perspective of their effectiveness that I am conducting this study as part of my Ph.D. thesis currently underway at Trinity College, Dublin under the supervision of Dr. Seamus McGuinness. The study is prompted by the growing interest among the public in how education is managed, a reappraisal by parents and communities of their influence on the educational provision, and a personal interest of the writer in how boards are functioning particularly in the light of their evolving role in Irish educational management. There is very little substantive study of boards of management operations in second level schools in Ireland, or of what constitutes effectiveness on these boards.

I am enclosing a copy of the survey-questionnaires for your information. The questionnaires seek to address the experience of board members and principals in the Irish context with a view to determining the core characteristics of the role and operations of boards from their perspective. Board members and principals from a sample of voluntary secondary and community school boards are being invited to respond.

Any support you might be in a position to give to this study through encouraging board members and principals to respond will be greatly appreciated.

Kind personal regards.

Yours sincerely,

March 31, 1998

Mr. George O'Callaghan, General Secretary, Secretariat of Secondary Schools, Emmet House, Milltown, Dublin 14

Dear Mr. O'Callaghan:

I am writing to inform of a study of Boards of Management in Community and Voluntary Secondary Schools from the perspective of their effectiveness that I am conducting this study as part of my Ph.D. thesis currently underway at Trinity College, Dublin under the supervision of Dr. Seamus McGuinness. The study is prompted by the growing interest among the public in how education is managed, a reappraisal by parents and communities of their influence on the educational provision, and a personal interest of the writer in how boards are functioning particularly in the light of their evolving role in Irish educational management. There is very little substantive study of boards of management operations in second level schools in Ireland, or of what constitutes effectiveness on these boards.

I am enclosing a copy of the survey-questionnaires for your information. The questionnaires seek to address the experience of board members and principals in the Irish context with a view to determining the core characteristics of the role and operations of boards from their perspective. Board members and principals from a sample of voluntary secondary and community school boards are being invited to respond.

I am a former principal of a voluntary secondary school. Currently I am the principal of a community school. I have also served on the national executives of management bodies in both the voluntary secondary and community school sectors.

Any support you might be in a position to give to this study through encouraging board members and principals to respond will be greatly appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

# APPENDIX FOUR OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS TABLES ITEMS 75 AND 76 OF QUESTIONNAIRE

I have a good unde	rstanding of ho	w my b	oard ope	erates -	Nominee			
My board has a goo	od understandi	ng of its	role - Pr	rincipal				
Item 75.1	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	13	48	12	44	2	7	-	
TEACHER	17	53	15	47	-	-	-	
TRUSTEE	36	64	20	36	-	-	-	
PRINCIPAL	7	41	8	47	2	12		
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	9	31	19	66	1	3	-	
TEACHER	18	67	9	33	-	-	-	
TRUSTEE	40	56	31	44	-	-	-	
PRINCIPAL	4	26	14	74	-	-	- (**- ) **	

My board is an effe	ective leader 1	or the sc	hool - N	ominee.				
My board is an effe								
Item 75.2	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	13	48	8	30	5	19	1	
TEACHER	12	39	14	45	4	13	1	
TRUSTEE	21	38	34	61	1	2		
PRINCIPAL	7	41	8	47	2	12		
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	9	31	17	59	2	7	1	
TEACHER	11	41	14	52	2	7		
TRUSTEE	37	52	30	42	2	3	2	
PRINCIPAL	5	26	14	74				

My board makes a d								
My board makes a d	difference in	how the	school f	unctions	s - Princ	ipal.		
Item 75.3	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat		V.Disat	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SECO	DNDARY							
PARENT	10	37	11	41	5	19	1	
TEACHER	11	34	14	44	6	19	1	
TRUSTEE	29	52	27	48				
PRINCIPAL	6	35	9	53	2	12		
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	9	31	17	59	2	7	1	
TEACHER	6	22	16	59	4	15	1	
TRUSTEE	34	48	31	44	3	4	3	
PRINCIPAL	5	26	13	68	1	5		

My board determin	nes policy for th	e schoo	I - Nomir	nee.				
My board determin	nes policy for th	e schoo	I - Princi	pal.				
Item 75.4	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	CONDARY							
PARENT	11	41	9	33	6	22	1	
TEACHER	4	13	20	62	8	25		
TRUSTEE	21	38	28	50	7	13		
PRINCIPAL	3	18	11	65	3	18		
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	7	24	19	66	2	7	1	
TEACHER	4	15	19	70	4	15		
TRUSTEE	23	33	42	60	4	6	1	
PRINCIPAL	4	21	11	58	4	21		

I have a good work								
My board enjoys go	ood working rel	ationshi	ps betwe	en boa	ard member	ers - P	rincipal.	
Item 75.6	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Dsat.	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
<b>VOLUNTARY SEC</b>	ONDARY							
PARENT	19	70	7	26	1	4	-	
TEACHER	16	50	15	47	1	3	-	
TRUSTEE	38	68	17	30	1	2	-	
PRINCIPAL	12	71	5	29	-	-	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	14	48	13	45	2	7	-	
TEACHER	13	48	14	52	-	-	-	
TRUSTEE	41	58	29	41	1	1	11 D <sub>-</sub> 10-113	
PRINCIPAL	12	63	7	37	-	-		

I have a good work	ing relationship	with th	e princip	al - no	minee.			
The board has a go	ood working rel	ationshi	p with yo	ou as p	rincipal - p	rincipa	ıl.	
	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
Item 75.7	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	19	70	7	26	-	-	1	
TEACHER	15	48	12	39	3	10	1	
TRUSTEE	43	77	13	23	-	-	-	
PRINCIPAL	14	82	3	18	-	-	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	14	48	13	45	2	7	-	
TEACHER	13	50	10	39	3	12	-	
TRUSTEE	47	67	20	29	3	4		
PRINCIPAL	13	68	6	32	-	-	-	

I have an inf				0				
My board is	a democrat	ic decisio	n-making	body -	Principa	al.		
Item 75.8	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTAR	Y SECONE	DARY						
PARENT	7	27	18	69	1	4	-	
TEACHER	10	31	17	53	5	16	-	
TRUSTEE	30	55	25	46	_	-	-	
PRINCIPAL	12	71	5	29	-	-	-	
COMMUNIT	Υ							
PARENT	6	21	21	72	2	7	-	
TEACHER	8	30	18	67	1	4	-	
TRUSTEE	32	46	35	50	3	4	-	
PRINCIPAL	11	58	8	42	-	-	-	

I have access to th	e information	I need -	Nomine	e.				
My board has acce	ss to sufficie	nt informa	ation for	decisio	n-makin	g - Princi	pal.	
Item 75.9	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.	_	V.Disat	
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	14	52	8	30	4	15	1	
TEACHER	12	38	17	53	3	9	-	
TRUSTEE	33	59	23	41	-	-	-	
PRINCIPAL	9	53	7	41	1	6	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	11	38	16	55	2	7		
TEACHER	11	41	14	52	2	7	-	
TRUSTEE	38	55	29	42	1	1	1	
PRINCIPAL	6	32	13	68	-	-	-	

I have a good know	ledge of what	is happe	ening in	my sch	ool - Nom	inee.		
My board has a goo	od knowledge	of what i	s happe	ning in	the schoo	I - Prin	cipal.	
Item 75.10	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
<b>VOLUNTARY SEC</b>	ONDARY							
PARENT	11	41	10	37	5	19	1	
TEACHER	19	59	11	34	2	6		
TRUSTEE	27	48	26	46	3	5		
PRINCIPAL	9	53	7	41	1	6		
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	11	38	17	59	1	3		
TEACHER	16	59	10	37			1	
TRUSTEE	34	49	31	44	4	6	1	
PRINCIPAL	6	32	12	63	1	5		

I have an understa						,		
My board has suffice	cient understan	ding of	educatio	nal issue	es that a	rise - Pı	rincipal.	
Item 75.11	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	8	30	15	56	3	11	1	
TEACHER	19	59	12	38	1	3	-	
TRUSTEE	26	46	27	48	3	5	-	
PRINCIPAL	4	24	9	53	4	23	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	7	24	22	76	-	-	-	
TEACHER	15	56	11	41	-	-	1	
TRUSTEE	38	54	28	40	4	6	-	
PRINCIPAL	5	26	12	63	2	11	-	

The board deals w	•							
Item 75.12	V.Sat.	sues of p	Sat.	the son	D.Sat.	юрат.	V.Disat	
item 75.12	v.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	CONDARY							
PARENT	9	33	12	44	5	19	1	
TEACHER	10	31	15	47	7	22	-	
TRUSTEE	25	45	28	50	3	5	-	
PRINCIPAL	8	47	6	35	3	18	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	9	31	17	59	2	7	1	
TEACHER	8	30	16	59	3	11	-	
TRUSTEE	32	46	33	47	4	6	1	
PRINCIPAL	5	26	11	58	3	16	-	

The board structure	has made th	ne manag	gement	of the so	chool mo	ore demo	cratic - Nom	inee.
The board structure	has made th	ne manag	gement	of the so	chool mo	ore demo	cratic - Princ	ipal.
Item 75.13	V.Sat.		Sat.		D.Sat.		V.Disat	
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	
VOLUNTARY SEC	ONDARY							
PARENT	10	39	14	54	2	8	-	
TEACHER	8	25	20	63	2	6	2	
TRUSTEE	27	48	28	50	1	2	-	
PRINCIPAL	9	53	7	41	1	6	-	
COMMUNITY								
PARENT	13	45	13	45	3	10	-	
TEACHER	9	35	14	54	2	8	1	
TRUSTEE	34	49	30	43	4	6	2	
PRINCIPAL	7	37	12	63	-	-	-	

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### ARTICLES

Abdel-khalik, A.R. and Ajinkya, B.B. (1979) Empirical Research in Accounting: A Methodological Viewpoint, <u>Accounting Education Series</u> No. 4, American Accounting Association, cited in Levacic, Rosalind (1990) Evaluating local management of schools: establishing a methodological framework, in Saran, R. and Trafford, V. <u>Research in Education Management and Policy: Retrospect and Prospect.</u> London: The Falmer Press.

Alderfer, C.P. (1986) The Invisible Director on Corporate Boards. Harvard Business Review, Vol. 86, No 6, pp. 38  $\Box$  46 and 50  $\Box$  52.

Anderson, J.R. (1987) Skill acquisition: Compilation of weak method problem solutions. <u>Psychological Review</u>, Vol. 94, pp. 192-210.

Angus, L.B. (1989) Democratic Participation and Administrative Control in Education. In <u>International Journal of Educational Management</u>, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp.20-26.

Angus, Max (1995) Devolution of School Governance in an Australian State School System: Third Time Lucky? In <u>Case Studies in Educational Change: An International Perspective</u>, London: The Falmer Press.

Bacharach, Samuel B. and Mundell, Bryan L. (1993) Organisational Politics in Schools: Micro, Macro, and Logics of Action. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly.</u> Vol. 29, No. 4. pp. 423-452.

Bacon B. (1976) School systems and their clients, school governors in Sheffield. <u>Trends in Education</u>, June, pp.33-37.

Bacon W.(1974) Adult Education for School Government. <u>Adult Education</u>, Vol. 47, No. 1, May. pp. 5-9.

Bacon, William (1980) Headteachers and School Governors. In Bush, T., Glatter, R., Goodey, J., Riches, C. (eds.) <u>Approaches to School Management.</u> London: Harper and Row.

Bacon, A.W. (1977) School Boards and Community Politics. <u>Local Government Studies</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 2-16.

Bacon A.W. (1977) Co-management of the school system - a case study of teacher representation on school governing and managing bodies. <u>Educational Studies</u>, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 23-36.

Bacon A.W. (1975) School Governors in Adult Education. <u>Adult Education</u>, Vol. 47, No.6, January,

Baldridge, J.V. and Burnham, R.A. (1975) Organizational Innovation: Individual, organisational and environmental impacts. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, Vol. 20, pp. No. 2, pp. 165-176.

Ball, S. J. (1993) Education Policy, Power Relations and Teachers' Work. <u>British Journal of Educational Studies</u>, Vol. XXXXI, No. 2.

Ball, S.J. (1993) Culture, Cost and Control: Self-Management and Entrepreneurial Schooling in England and Wales. In Smyth, J (ed.) <u>A Socially Critical View of the Self-Managing School</u>. London: Falmer Press.

Barry, D. (1989) The Involvement and Impact of a Professional Interest Group. In Mulcahy, D.G. and O'Sullivan, D. (eds.) (1989) <u>Irish Educational Policy: Process and Substance.</u> Dublin: Institute of Public Administration.

Bates, R. J. (1988) Administrative Theory and the Social Construction of Schools. <u>Discourse</u>, No. 2, pp.111-126.

Bates, R.J. (1987) Corporate Culture, Schooling and Educational Administration. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp.79-115.

Bates, R.J. (1981) Management and the Culture of the School. In Bates, R.J. (Ed.) <u>Management of Resources in Schools.</u> Geelong, Australia: Deakin University Press, cited in Bates, R.J. (1987) Corporate Culture, Schooling, and Educational Administration, <u>Educational Administration Quarterly.</u> Vol. 23, No. 4. pp. 79-115.

Bates, R.J. (1989) Leadership and the Rationalisation of Society. In Smyth, J. (Ed.) <u>Critical Perspectives on Educational Leadership</u>. London: The Falmer Press.

Beare, H. (1991) The Restructuring of Schools and School Systems: A Comparative Perspective. In Harman, G., Beare, Hedley, H., Berkeley, G.F.(Eds.) <u>Restructuring School Management: Recent Administrative Reorganisation of Public School Governance in Australia.</u> Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Beattie N.B. (1978) Formalised parent participation in education: A comparative perspective. Comparative Education, Vol. 4. No. 1, pp.41-48.

Berkeley (1991) Restructuring Education in Australia. In <u>Restructuring School Management:</u> Recent Administrative Reorganisation of Public School Governance in Australia, edited by Harman G, Beare H. And Berkeley G.F. Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Berry, B., and Ginsbery, R. (1990) Effective Schools, Teachers and Principals: Today's Evidence, Tomorrow's Prospects. In Mitchell, B. and Cunningham, L.L., <u>Educational Leadership and Changing Contexts of Families, Communities and Schools.</u> Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education, No. 89. Chicago: University of Chicago.

Blackmore, J. (1990) The text and context of vocationalisation: issues in a post-compulsory curriculum in Australia since 1970. <u>Journal of Curriculum Studies</u>, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 177-192.

Blackmore, J. (1991) Corporatism, democracy and teacher unions. In Victorian education. In Dawkins, D. (ed.) <u>Power and Politics in Education</u>, London: Falmer Press.

Booth, C., and Hill, J. (1996) Power without responsibility. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, June 21, 1996.

Bosworth, K.A. (1980) The manager is a politician. In Newland C.A. (ed.) <u>Professional Public Executives</u>. Washington DC: American Society for Public Administration,

Bottery, M. P. (1989) The Education of Business Management. Oxford Review of Education Vol. 15, No. 2

Bottery, M. P. (1988) Educational Management: an ethical critique. Oxford Review of Education Vol. 14, No. 3

Bowe, R. and Ball, S.J. (1992) Doing what should come naturally: An exploration of LMS in one secondary school. In Wallace, G. (ed.) <u>Local Mamagement of Schools: Research and Experience</u>, BERA Dialogues, No. 6. Clevedon, Avon, Multilingual Matters.

Boyd, W. L. (1992). The power of paradigms: Reconceptualizing educational policy and management. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 27, No.3.

Boyd, W.L. (1976) The Public, The Professionals, and Educational Policy-Making: Who Governs? <u>Teacher's College Record</u>, Vol. 77, pp. 539-577.

Brannen J. (1992) Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches: an overview, in Brannen J. (ed.) Mixing Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Research. Aldershot: Avebury.

Brehony, K.J. and Deem, R. (1990) Charging for Free Education: an exploration of a debate in school governing bodies. <u>Journal of Education Policy</u>, No. 5.

Briault, E.W.H., (1976) A Distributed System of Educational Administration: An International Viewpoint. International Review of Education, Vol. 22, No. 4.

Bridges, E.M. (1982) Research on the school administrator: The state of the art, 1967 

<u>Education Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 12-33.

Burke, C. (1992) Devolution of Responsibility to Queensland Schools: Clarifying the rhetoric, critiquing the reality. <u>Journal of Educational Administration</u>, Vol. 30, No.4, pp. 33-52.

Burke, C. (1992) Devolution of responsibility to Queensland Schools: Clarifying the rhetoric, critiquing the reality. <u>Journal of Educational Administration</u>, Vol. 30, No. 4 pp. 33-52.

Burns, J.M. (1978) <u>Leadership</u>. New York: Harper Row. In Duignan, P.A. (1989) Reflective Management: The Key to Quality Leadership. In Riches, C. and Morgan, C. (Eds.) <u>Human Resource Management in Education</u>, Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Bush Tony (1989) School Management Structures - Theory and Practice. <u>Educational</u> Management and Administration, Vol. 17 No. 3.

Caldwell, Brian J. (1990) School based decision making and management: International Developments. In Chapman, J. (ed.), <u>School based decision making and management.</u> London: Falmer Press.

Caldwell, Brian J. (1991) Restructuring Education in Tasmania: A Turbulent End to a Decade of Tranquillity. In Harman, G., Beare, H., and Berkeley, G.F., <u>Restructuring School Management:</u> Recent Administrative Reorganisation of Public School Governance in Australia. Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Caldwell, Brian J. (1992) The Principal as Leader of the self-managing school in Australia. In

Journal of Educational Administration, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 6-19.

Caldwell, Brian J. (1993) The Changing Role of the School Principal: A review of developments in Australia and New Zealand. In Dimmock, C. (Ed.) <u>School-based Management and School Effectiveness</u>. London: Routledge, pp. 165-184,

Caldwell, Brian J. (1997) A *Gestalt* for the Reengineering of School Education for the Knowledge Society. <u>School Leadership and Management</u>, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp.201-216.

Campbell, J.P. (1977) On the Nature of Organizational Effectiveness, in Goodman, P.S. and Pennings, J.M. <u>Organizational Effectiveness</u>. Kent State University Press. In Zammuto, R.F. (1982) <u>Assessing Organizational Effectiveness</u>. Albany: State University of New York. p. 27.

Cameron, K.S. (1978) Measuring Organisational Effectiveness in Institutions of Higher Education. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly.</u> Vol. 24, pp. 25-47.

Cameron, Kim S. (1981) Domains of Organizational Effectiveness in Colleges and Universities. Academy of Management Journal. Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 25-47.

Cameron, K.S. (1985) Institutional Effectiveness in Higher Education. <u>The Review of Higher Education</u>. Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 101-118.

Canniffe, A. (1993) The Changing Role of the Principal in Irish Second Level Schools. <u>Irish Educational Studies</u>, Vol. 12.

Chait, R.P. and Taylor, B.E. (1989) Charting the territory of non-profit boards. <u>Harvard Business Review</u>, Vol. 67, No.1, pp.44-54.

Chalouh, Louise (1992) Decentralizing Control of Education in Quebec: The Orientation Committee. The Canadian School Executive. December, 1992, pp. 11-15.

Chapman, J. and Boyd, W.L. (1986) Decentralization, Devolution and the School Principal: Australian Lessons on Statewide Educational Reform. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 28-58.

Cistone, P.J. (1977) The socialisation of the school board member. <u>Educational Administration</u> Quarterly, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp.19-33.

Cohen, D. (1990) Governance and Instruction: The promise of decentralisation and choice. In Clune, W. and Witte, J. (Eds.) <u>Choice and Control in American Education</u>, Vol. 1, New York: Falmer Press.

Cohen, M. (1990) Key issues confronting state policy makers. In Elmore, R. <u>Restructuring Schools: The next generation of educational reform.</u> San Francisco: Jossey Bass. pp. 250-288.

Coleman, Peter (1987) Implementing School-Based Decision-Making, <u>The Canadian Administrator</u>. Vol. 26, No. 7. pp. 1-11.

Community Education Development Centre (1989) Governors and community education, the implications of the Education Reform Act. Coventry: Community Education Development Centre.

Connell, C.F. and Khan, R. (1968) Interviewing. In Lindsay, J. and Aronson, E. (eds.) <u>Handbook of Social Psychology</u>, Vol. 11. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley. pp. 526-595.

Connolly, T.E., Conlon, E.J. and Deutsch, S.J. (1980) Organisational Effectiveness: A Multiple Constituency Approach. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, Vol. 5, pp. 211-217.

Connolly, Olivia (1987) Leadership Styles and the Pursuit of Excellence. <u>Studies in Education</u>, Vol. 5, No. 2.

Connors L.G. and McMorrow, J.F. (1990) Governing Australia's Public Schools: Community Participation, Bureaucracy and Devolution. In Chapman, J.D. and Dunstan, J.F. <u>Democracy and Bureaucracy</u>: Tensions in Public Schooling. London: Falmer Press.

Coulsen-Thomas, Colin (1991) Competent Directors: Boardroom Myths and Realities. <u>Journal of General Management</u>, Vol. 17, No. 1.

Cowlishaw, Mary Lou (1995) Education Reforms in Illinois. <u>State and Local Reaction</u>, Quarterly Newsletter from Edpolyan@ ASUVM.INRE.ASU.EDU

Coyne, William (1989) Creating a Strategic Partnership Between the Board and Management. <u>Trustee</u>, Vol. 42. No. 9.

Creed, Philip (1991) Betwixt and Between Change: A Victorian Game. In Harman G., Beare, H., and Berkeley, G.F. (eds.) <u>Restructuring School Management: Recent Administrative</u>

<u>Reorganisation of Public School Governance in Australia.</u> Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Crowley, N. (1990) The Role of the Board, reported in McNamara, T. (1991) The Role of Boards of Directors: Developing the State Sponsored Sector in the 1990's. <u>Administration</u>, Vol. 38, Institute of Public Administration.

Cuban, L. (1990) Reforming again, again and again. <u>Education Researcher</u>, Vol.19, No.1. pp. 3-13.

Cuban, L. (1988) A fundamental puzzle of school reform. Phi Delta Kappen, Vol. 69, No. 5, pp. 341-344.

Cuttance, P. (1994) Quality Assurance in Education Systems. <u>Studies in Educational Evaluation</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 99-112.

Darling-Hammond, L. and McLaughlin, M. (1995) Policies that Support Professional Development in an Era of Reform. Phi Delta Kappan, Vol. 76, No. 8, pp. 597-604.

Das, T.K., Teng, B. S. (1998) Between Trust and Control: Developing Confidence in partner cooperation in alliances. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, Vol. 23, pp. 491-413.

David, J. (1995/96) The Who, What, and Why of Site-Based Management. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, Vol. 53, No. 5, pp. 4-9.

David, J.L. (1989) Synthesis of research on school-based management. Educational Leadership.

Vol. 46, No. 8, pp. 45-53.

Davies E. (1986) The changing role of parents, governors and teachers. <u>Educational Management and Administration</u>, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 120-124.

Davies E. (1983) Parental Involvement in school policy making, <u>Educational Management and Administration</u> Vol. 11, No.2, pp. 145-148.

Deal, T.E. (1990) Foreword. In Sergiovanni, T. <u>Value-added leadership: How to get extraordinary performance in schools.</u>(pp. v-ix) Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace.

Deas, Edwin (1994) Board and Administration Relationships contributing to Community College Climate: A Case Study. Community College Review, Vol. 22 pp. 44-51.

Deem, R and Brehony, K.J. (1993) Consumers and Education Professionals in the Organisation and Administration of Schools: Partnership or Conflict? <u>Educational Studies</u> Vol. 19 No 3.

DiMaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W. (1983) The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. <u>American Sociological Review</u>, Vol. 48, pp. 147-160.

Dixon, A. (1992) Parents: Full partners in the decision-making process. <u>NASSP Bulletin</u>, Vol. 76 pp. 15-18.

Doyle, Denis P. and Finn, Chester E., (1984) American Schools and the Future of Local Control. <u>Public Interest</u>, No. 77.

Drucker, P. (1994) What Business Can Learn from Nonprofits. In Ostler, S.M. (1994) Management of Non-Profit Organisations. Aldershot: Dartmouth.

Dulewicz, Victor (1995) Appraising and Developing Effectiveness of Boards and Their Directors, Journal of General Management, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 1-19.

Earl, Lorna M. (1995) Moving from the Political to the Practical, <u>Orbit: Ontario's Magazine for Schools</u>, Vol. 26, No.2 pp. 61-63.

Easton, John Q. and Storey, Sandra L. (1994) The Development of Local School Councils. Education and Urban Society, Vol. 26, No. 3. pp. 220-237.

Elmore, R.F. (1993) Who Gains? Who Loses? In Hannaway, J. and Carnoy, M. (Eds.) <u>Decentralisation and School Improvement: Can we fulfil the Promise.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass,

Elmore, Richard F. (1993) The Role of the Local School Districts in Instructional Improvement. In Fuhrman, Susan (ed.,) <u>Designing Coherent Education Policy: Improving the System.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Enderlin-Lampe, S. (1997) Shared Decision-Making in Schools: Effect on Teacher Efficacy. Education Vol. 118, pp. 150-157

Etzioni, Amati (1971) The Fallacy of Decentralization. In Cook, T.E. (Ed.) <u>Participatory Democracy</u>. Cranfield Press, pp. 63-68.

Evetts, J. (1994) The new headteacher: the changing work culture of secondary headship, <u>School Organisation</u>, Vol. 14, pp. 53-65.

Etzioni, Amatai (1988) <u>The Moral Dimension: Towards a new Economics.</u> New York: Free Press, cited in Taylor, B.E., Chait, R.P. and Holland, T.P. (1991) Trustee Motivation and Board Effectiveness. Non-Profit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, Vol. 20, No. 2.

Field, L. (1993) School Governing Bodies: the lay-professional relationship, <u>School Organisation</u> Vol. 13, No.2, pp. 165-174.

Finn, C.E., Ravitch, D. (1995) A Report Card on School Reform in 1995. <u>Policy Review</u>, Vol. 74 (Autumn)

Firestone, W.A. (1985) The study of loose coupling. In Kerckoff, A. (ed.) Research in sociology of education and socialization. Greenwich, CT.: JAI Press.

Fullan, M. (1985) Change processes and strategies at the local level. <u>Elementary School Journal</u>, Vol.85, No. 3, pp. 391-421.

Fullan, M. (1995) Leadership for Change. Chapter prepared for Leithwood, K. (ed.) International Handbook of Educational Leadership. Kluwer Press.

Gamage, D.T. (1994) School governance: Australian Perspective. In Thody, A. <u>School Governors: Leaders or Followers.</u> Essex: Longman.

Gamage, D.T. (1993) A Review of Community Participation in School Governance: An emerging culture in Australian Education. <u>British Journal of Educational Studies</u>, Vol. XXXXI, No. 2, pp. 134-149.

Gamage, David T. (1992) School centred educational reforms of the 1990s: An Australian Case Study. Educational Management and Administration, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 5-14.

Ginsburg, M. B., Cooper, S. Raghu, R. and Zegarra, H. (1990) National and World-Systems Explanations of Educational Reforms. <u>Comparative Education Review</u>, Vol. 34, No. 4. pp. 474 - 499.

Giroux, H.A. (1989) Rethinking Education Reform in the Age of George Bush. <u>Phi Delta</u> Kappan Vol. 70, No. 9. pp. 728-730.

Glatter, Ron (1982) The Micropolitics of Education: Issues for Training. <u>Educational Management and Administration</u>, Vol. 10, No. 2 pp. 60-165.

Golby, M. (1992) School governors: conceptual and practical problems. <u>Journal of the Philosophy of Education</u>, Vol. 26 No.2, pp. 165-172.

Gray, A. and Jenkins, W. (1986) Accountable Management in British Central Government: Some reflections on the Financial Management Initiative, <u>Financial Accountability and Management</u>, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 171-86.

Greene, Kenneth R. (1992) Models of School Board Policy-Making. <u>Educational Administration</u> Quarterly Vol. 28, No. 2

Greenfield, William Jr. (1995) Towards a Theory of School Administration: The Centrality of Leadership. Education Administration Quarterly, Vol. 31, No. 1.

Gross E. (1969) The definition of organisational goals. <u>British Journal of Sociology</u> Vol. 20, No.3, pp. 277-294.

Guskey, T.R. and Peterson, K.D. (1995/96) The Road to Classroom Change. <u>Educational</u> <u>Leadership</u>, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 10-14.

Guskey, T. and Peterson, K. (1995) Site-Based Management: Dos and Don'ts. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, Vol. 53, December/January, 1996.

Guthrie, J. Pierce, L. (1990) The International Economy and National Education Reform in the United States and Great Britain. Oxford Review of Education Vol. XVI, No. 2, pp. 179  $\Box$ 205.

Guthrie, J.W. (1986) School-Based Management: the next needed education reform. <u>Phi Delta Kappen.</u> Vol. 68, No. 4, pp. 305-309.

Haleblian, J. and Finkelstein, S. (1993, August) Top Management Team Size, CEO dominance, and Firm Performance: The Moderating Roles of Environmental Turbulence and Discretion. Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 844-863.

Hallinger, P., Murphy, J. and Hausman, C. (1992) Restructuring schools: Principals' perceptions of fundamental educational reform. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 28, No. 3. pp. 330-349.

Hammersley, M. (1992) Deconstructing the qualitative-quantitative divide. In Brannen J. (ed.) Mixing Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Research. Aldershot: Avebury.

Hammond J. (1986) Reassessing the roles of teachers, parents and governors. <u>Educational Management and Administration</u>. Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 133-138.

Hancock, N. and Hellawell, D. (1998) Primary School Governing Bodies and Headteacher Appraisal. Educational Management and Administration, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 257-268.

Handy, Charles B. (1980) On the Working of Groups. In Bush, T., Glatter, R., Goodey, J., Riches, C. (eds.) <u>Approaches to School Management</u>. London: Harper and Row. pp. 172 - 209.

Hannan, M.T. and Freeman, J. (1977) Obstacles to Comparative Studies. In Goodman, P.S. and Pennings, J. New Perspectives on Organizational Effectiveness. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, cited in Polonsky, M.J. (1995) Incorporating the Natural Environment in Corporate Strategy: A Stakeholder Approach. <u>Journal of Business Strategies</u>, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 151 - 168.

Hanson, E.M. (1990) School-Based Management and Educational Reform in the United States and Spain. Comparative Education Review, Vol. 34, No. 4. pp. 523 - 537.

Hanson, E.M. (1995) Democratisation and Decentralization in Colombian Education. Comparative Education Review, Vol. 39, No. 1. pp. 101 - 119.

Harman, G. (1991) The Restructuring of Australian Public School Management. In Harman, G., Beare, H., and Berkeley, G.F., <u>Restructuring School Management: Recent Administrative</u> Reorganisation of <u>Public School Governance in Australia.</u> Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Hart, D. (1992) Time to Tip the Balance. <u>Times Educational Supplement Update</u>, February, 1992.

Hartley, D. (1994) Devolved School-Management: The "New Deal" in Scottish Education. <u>Journal of Educational Policy</u>, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 129-140.

Hayes, John, (1984) The Politically Competent Manager. <u>Journal of General Management</u>, Vol. 10, No. 1.

Hellawell, David (1990) Headteachers' Perceptions of the Potential Role of Governors in their Appraisal, School Organisation, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1990.

Hendrie, C. (1999) Battle Over Principals in Chicago: Administration vs. Local Councils. Education Week on the Web, May 5, 1999.

Hill, J. (1991) Site-based management: Decentralization and Accountability. In <u>Education Digest</u>, Vol. 57, based on Hill, P.T. and Bonan, J. (1991) <u>Decentralization and Accountability in Public Education</u>, v-viii, Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.

Hirst P. (1988) Representative democracy and its limits. <u>Political Quarterly Vol. 59</u>, No.2 pp.190-205.

Hitt, M.A. (1988) The Measuring of Organisational Effectiveness: Multiple Dimensions and Constituencies. <u>Management International Review (MIR)</u>, Vol. 28, No.2, pp. 28 -40.

Holland, T.P., Chait, R.P. and Taylor, B.E. (1989) Board Effectiveness: Identifying and Measuring Trustee Competencies. <u>Research in Higher Education</u>, Vol. 30, No. 4.

Holt, Maurice (1993) The High School Curriculum in the United States and the United Kingdom: Perspectives on Reform and Control. <u>Journal of Curriculum and Supervision</u>, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 157-173.

Holt, Ann (1992) Time for a Good Look in the Mirror. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, January 3, 1992, Vol. 3940.

Hopkins, D. (1990) The International School Improvement Project and Effective Schooling: Towards a Synthesis. School Organisation, Vol. 10 Nos. 2 and 3, pp. 179-174.

Hosmer, L.T. (1995) Trust: the connecting link between organisational theory and philosophical ethics. Academy of Management Review, Vol. 20, pp. 379 □ 404.

Hughes, L.W. (1993, June) School-Based Management, Decentralisation, and Citizen Control: A Perspective. <u>Journal of School Leadership</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 40-44.

Hurst, P. (1985) Decentralization: panacea or red herring? In Lauglo, O. and McLean, M. (Eds.) The Control of Education. London: Heinemann. pp. 79-85.

Jasiek, C.R., Wisgoski, A. and Andrews, H.A. (1985) The Trustee Role in College Personnel Management, in Petty, G. (Ed.) Active Trusteeship for a Changing Era New Directions for Community Colleges, No.51, (pp. 87-96) San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, in Deas, Edwin (1994) Board and Administration Relationships contributing to Community College Climate: A Case Study, in Community College Review, Vol. 22 pp. 44 - 51.

Jenkinson, Michael (1994) Not power, "partnership" for parents. <u>Alberta Report/Western Report</u> Vol. 22, 3/7/1995.

Johnson D. (1984) Planning Small-Scale Research. In Bell, J., Bush, T., Fox, A., Goodey, J. and Goulding, S. <u>Conducting Small-Scale Investigations in Educational Management.</u> London: Harper and Row.

Jonson, Halvar (1995) The Minister Answers Questions on Accountability Framework. In Meeting the Challenge 11, Three Year Business Plan for Education Alberta: Dept. of Education.

Jenni, R.W. (1991) Applications of the School-Based Management Process Development Model. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, Vol. 2 No. 2, p. 136-151.

Just, A.E. (1980) Urban School Board Elections: Changes in the Political Environment between 1950 and 1980. Education and Urban Society, Vol. 12, No. 4.

Keeley, M. (1978) A social justice approach to organisational evaluation. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly.</u> Vol. 22, pp. 272-292.

Keep, E. (1992) Schools in the Marketplace: Some problems with Private Sector Models. In Wallace, G. (ed.) <u>Local Management of Schools: Research and Experience</u>, BERA Dialogues, No. 6. Clevedon, Avon, Multilingual Matters.

Kemmis, S. (1990) School Curriculum and Social Context: Contemporary Issues. In D'Cruz, J.V. and Langford, P.E. (Eds.) <u>Issues in Australian Education</u>. Melbourne: Longman Cheshire.

Kenneth, R. (1992) Models of School based Policy Making. <u>Educational Administration</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 28 No. 2 May 1992

Kerr, N.C. (1964) The School Board as an Agency of Legitimization. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, Vol. 38, No. 2. pp. 34-59.

Kirst, M. (1980) How should schools be governed? Educational Leadership, Vol. 38, No. 2.

Korsgaard, M.A., Schweiger, D.M., and Sapienza, H.J. (1995) Building Commitment, Attachment, and Trust in Strategic Decision-Making Teams: The Role of Procedural Justice. Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 60-84.

Lawn, M. and Ozga, J. (1986) Unequal Partners: teachers under indirect rule. <u>British Journal of Sociology of Education</u>, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 225-238.

Leithwood, K.A. (1992) The move towards transformational leadership. <u>Educational Leadership</u>. Vol. 49, No. 5, pp.8-12.

Leithwood, K. (1992) Let's redefine local school boards, <u>Education Digest</u>, Vol. 58 1/11/1992, pp. 21 - 26.

Levacic, R. (1990) Evaluating local management of schools: establishing a methodological framework. In Saran, R. and Trafford, V. <u>Research in Education Management and Policy:</u> Retrospect and Prospect. London: The Falmer Press.

Levacic, R. (1998) Local Management of schools in England: Results after six years. <u>Journal of Educational Policy</u>, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 331-350.

Lewin, K., Little, A., and Colclough, C., 1982. "Adjusting to the 1980s: Taking Stock of Educational Expenditures." In <u>Financing Educational Development: Proceedings of an International Seminar held in Mont Sainte Marie</u>, Canada. Ottawa: International Development Research Center.

Liberman, A. and Miller, L. (1990) Restructuring Schools: what matters and what works, <u>Phi Delta Kappan</u>, Vol. 71, No. 10, pp. 759-764.

Liftig, R. (1990) Our dirty little secrets: myths about teachers and administrators. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, Vol. 47, No. 8, pp. 67-70.

Little, J.W. (1993) Teachers' Professional Development in a Climate of Educational Reform. Educational Analysis and Policy Analysis, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 129-152.

Louis, K.S. and King, J.A. (1993) Professional Cultures and Reforming Schools: Does he myth of Sissyphus apply? In Murphy, M J. and Hallinger, P. (Eds.) <u>Restructuring Schooling:</u> <u>Learning from ongoing efforts.</u> (pp. 216-220) Newbury Park, CA: Corwin Press.

Macbeth, A. (1993) Preconceptions about parents in education: Will Europe change us? In Munn, P. (ed.) <u>Parents and Schools: Consumers, Managers or Partners.</u> London: Routledge, pp. 27-46.

Maclure, A. (1990) Beyond the Education Reform Act. Policy Studies, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp.6-14.

Macpherson, R.J.S. (1989) Radical Administrative Reform in New Zealand Education: The Implications of the Picot Report for Institutional Managers. <u>Journal of Educational Administration</u>, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 29-44.

Macpherson, R.J.S. (1996) Accountability: Towards raising a "politically incorrect" issue. Educational Management and Administration, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 139-178.

Maden, M. (1990) Danger in a DIY Democracy. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, Nov. 30th. p. 18.

Maden, M. (1989) New Networks for Old. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, Sept. 1st.

Makins, Virginia (1989) The governors' guide, eight part series reprinted from the Times Educational Supplement. London: The Times Supplements.

Malen, B., and Ogawa, R., (1988) Professional-Patron Influence in Site-based Governance Councils. <u>Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis</u>, Vol. 10 pp. 251-270.

Malen, B., Ogawa, R.T. and Kranz, J. (1990) Site-Based Management: Unfulfilled Promises. The School Administrator. (February).

Malen, B.R.. Ogawa and Kranz J. (1990) What do we know about Site-Based Management: A Case Study of the Literature -A Call for Research. In Clune, W. and Witte, J. (Eds.) <u>Choice and Control in American Education</u>, Vol. 2. Falmer New York, pp. 289-342.

Marchesani, R. (1993) A parent awareness programme for the junior High School Setting with a focus on Proactive Communication through a political empowerment of parents. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Nova University, cited in Molnar, Carole (1999) Parent and Teacher Views of Parent Involvement in Local School Governance. Saskatchewan: SSTA Research Centre Report No. 99-06.

Marsden, Peter V., Cook, Cynthia R. and Knoke, David (1994) Measuring organizational structures and environments, <u>American Behavioral Scientist</u>, Vol. 37, pp. 891-910, cited in Adler, Bryan (1996) Two types of bureaucracy: Enabling and coercive. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, Vol. 41, January1996, pp 61 □ 66.

Marshall, C. and Scribner, J.D. (1991) "It's All Political": Inquiry into the Micropolitics of Education. Education and Urban Society, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 347-355.

Massachusetts Department of Education (1995) 15 Charter Schools to Open in September. Education Today, Vol. 11, No. 4.

Mc Cormac, Michael, (1985) The Functions of the Board of Directors: A Reassessment. <u>Journal of Irish Business and Administrative Research</u>, Vol. 7.

Mc Ginn, N. and Street, S. (1986) Educational Decentralization: Weak State or Strong State? Comparative Education Review, Vol. 30, No. 4. pp. 471-490.

McNeely, C.L. and Cha, Y.K. (1994) Worldwide Educational Convergence Through International Organisations: Avenues for Research. <u>Education Policy Analysis Archives</u>, Vol. 2 No. 14. Electronic Journal available from http://epaa.asu.edu/apaa/v2n14.htm.

McPhee, R. (1996) In Alberta: Orchestrating Community Involvement. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 71-74.

Mercer, David (1996) "Can they walk on water?": professional isolation and the secondary headteacher. School Organisation, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 165-178.

Mertens, S. and Yarger, S.J. (1988) Teaching as a Profession: Leadership, empowerment, and involvement. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, Vol. 39 No. 1. pp. 32-37.

Meyer, J. and Rowan, B. (1983) The Structure of Educational Organisations. In Baldridge, J.V.

and Deal, T. <u>The Dynamics of Educational Change in Education</u>. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan Publishing Corporation.

Miller, P. and Scapens, R.(1995) Accountability and Accountable Management in the UK Public Sector, Accounting Auditing and Accountability Journal, Vol. 8, No.2.

Mintzberg Henry (1991) The Effective Organisation: Forces and Forms. Sloan Management Review, Winter 1991, pp. 54 - 67.

Miron, L.F. (1992) Impact of school leadership on state policy. In First, P.F. (ed.) <u>Education</u> <u>Policy for School Administrators</u>. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Morgan, G. and Smircich, L. (1980) The case for qualitative research. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, Vol. 5, pp. 491-500.

Morgan, A. (1990) Parent Governor? That Doesn't Mean a Lot, Does It? <u>School Organisation</u>. Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 83-89.

Mort, J. (1990) Parent Involvement and Communication with Parents. <u>Prime Areas.</u> Vol. 32, No.3 pp. 39-40

Muffett, D. (1988) Soft at the Centre, Times Educational Supplement, August 19th., p. 4.

Munn, P. (1998) Parental influence on school policy: some evidence from research. <u>Journal of Education Policy</u>, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 379-394.

Murphy, C. (1996) Brother Declan takes the long view. In <u>Education and Living: The Irish</u> Times. Tuesday, May 21, 1996,

Murphy J. (1994). Transformational change and the evolving role of the principal. In J. Murphy and Louis K.S. (Eds.), <u>Reshaping the principalship: Insights from transformational reform</u> efforts. Newbury Park, CA: Corwin Press.

Nalbandian, J. (1999) Facilitating Community, Enabling Democracy: New Roles for Local Government Managers. <u>Public Administration Review</u>, Vol. 59, No. 3, pp. 187-197.

National School Public Relations Association (1995) Care and Feeding of School Advisory Councils. In <u>It Starts at the Frontline</u>. In <u>Spectrum</u> Information Bulletin of Alberta School Boards Association. Electronic Document from http://www.worldweb.com.

New, S., Deem, R., Brehony, K. (1992) Reflections at the End of Term. <u>Times Educational</u> Supplement: Review, Jan. 15, 1993

Noblit, G., Berry, B. and Dempsey, V. (1991) Political responses to reform: a comprehensive case study. Education and Urban Society. Vol. 24 No. 4 pp. 379-395.

Nova Scotia, Department of Education and Culture (1995) Implementing School Advisory Councils. <u>Education Nova Scotia</u>, Vol. 26, No. 2.

O'Sullivan, Denis (1992) Cultural strangers and educational change: The OECD Report Investment in Education and Irish Educational Policy. <u>Journal of Education Policy</u> Vol. 7. No. 5.

Odden, E. and Wohlstetter, P. (1991) Making school-based management work. <u>Educational</u> <u>Leadership</u>, Vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 32-36.

Ogawa, S. (1995) Leadership as an Organizational Quality. <u>Educational Administration</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 31, No, 2, pp. 224.

Orlikoff, James and Totten, Mary K. (1996) Board oversight of quality. <u>Trustee</u>, Vol. 49, April pp. 14. Electronic Document at elibrary@infonautics com.

Parkum, K.H. and Parkum, V.C. (1980) Citizen participation, Community Planning and Decision-Making." In Smith, D.H. and Macauley, J. (Eds.) <u>Participation in Social and Political Activities</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, pp 153-167.

Pascal, Christine (1987a) Democratised Primary school Government: relevant theoretical constructs. Oxford Review of Education, Vol. 13, No. 3.

Pascal, Christine (1987b) Democratised Primary School Government: Conflicts and Dichotomies. Educational Management and Administration, Vol. 15 pp. 193 - 202.

Pascal, C. (1988) Democratised Primary School Government in Practice. <u>British Educational Research Journal</u>, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 17-29.

Polonsky, M.J. (1995) Incorporating the Natural Environment in Corporate Strategy: A Stakeholder Approach. <u>Journal of Business Strategies</u>, Vol. 12, No. 2., pp. 151 - 168.

Price, James L. (1963) The Impact of Governing Boards on Organisational Effectiveness and Morale. Administrative Science Quarterly, December, 1963, pp. 361-378.

Prickett, R., Flanigan, J., Richardson, M. and Petrie, G. (1992) Who Knows What? Site-Based Management. In Wendel, F.C. (ed.) <u>Reforming and Restructuring Education</u>. Pennsylvania State University: UCEA Monograph.

Pusey M. (1981) The control of education in the 1980s. Politics, Vol.17, No. 2, pp. 223-234.

Randles, E. (1992) Administrative Policies and Structures, in Swan (ed.) (1992) <u>The Moment of Truth in Irish Education</u>. University College, Dublin: Education Department.

Raab, C.D., Munn, P., McAvoy, L., Bailey, L., Arnott, M., Adler, M., (1997) Devolving the Management of Schools in Britain. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 140-157.

Ranson, S. (1985) Contradictions in the government of educational change. <u>Political Studies</u>, Vol. 33, pp.25-34.

Rosamilia, P. (1988) A Major Board Responsibility: Self-Evaluation. <u>Leadership Reports</u>, Vol. 1. NSBA, Washington.

Rondinelli, D. (1990) <u>Decentralizing Urban Programs: A Framework for Analyzing Policy.</u>
Washington, D.C.: U.S. Agency for International Development. pp. 9-12. In Hanson, E. Mark, (1995) Democratisation and Decentralization in Columbian Education. <u>Comparative Education</u>

Review, Vol. 31, No.1, pp. 101-119.

Rosenholtz, S.J. (1987) Education Reform Strategies: Will they increase Teacher Commitment. American Journal of Education, Vol. 4, pp. 53-562.

Ross, R.S. (1987) The Local School Board. In Ross, R.S. (ed.) <u>American School Boards: The positive power</u>. Alexandria, VA.: National School Boards Association, pp. 5-10.

Ruef, M. and Scott, R.W. (1998) A multidimensional model of organisational legitimacy: hospital survival in changing institutional environments. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, Vol. 43, pp. 877  $\square$  902.

Ryan, Hilary (1992) Conflicting Values: Managing the Tensions. <u>Educational Management and</u> Administration, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 259-264.

Sallis, J. (1992) Taking just a minute (or 10) to explain. <u>Times Educational Supplement.</u> June 19, 1992, Vol. 3964.

Schofield, P. (1998) Directors must learn to take on board the teamwork ethic. <u>Independent on Sunday</u>, 15-3-1998.

Sergiovanni, Thomas J. (1995) Small Schools, Great Expectations. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, Vol. 53 No. 3, pp. 48-52.

Sharpe, L.J. (1979) Decentralist Trends in Western Democracies: A First Appraisal. In Sharpe, L.J. (Ed.) <u>Decentralist Trends in Western Democracies: A First Appraisal.</u> Sage Publications, pp. 9-80.

Shaw, M.E. (1976) An overview of Small Group Behaviour. In Thibaut, J.W., Spence, J.T., and Carson, R.C. (eds.) <u>Contemporary Topics in Social Psychology.</u> Morrison, NJ: General Learning Press.

Sheets, John R. (1972) A discussion on leadership and authority. <u>Studies in the Spirituality of the Jesuits.</u> St. Louis: American Assistancy Seminar.

Simkins, T. (1994) Efficiency, effectiveness and local management of Schools. <u>Journal of Education Policy.</u> Vol. 9, pp. 13 -33.

Smith, Derek J. (1993) The Psychology of Effective College Governance. <u>Journal of Further and Higher Education</u>, Vol. 17, No.2, pp. 81 - 90.

Smith, M.S. and O'Day, J. (1991) Systemic School Reform. In Fuhrman S.H. and Malen, B. (eds.) The Politics of Curriculum Testing. London: Falmer Press.

St. John, E.P. (1992) Who decides educational policy? Or how can the practitioners influence public choice? In First, P.F. (ed.) <u>Education Policy for School Administrators</u>. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Starratt, R. J. (1988, Summer) Administrative leadership in policy review and evaluation. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, Vol. 10, pp.141-150,

Steinberger, E. (1990) Teachers Unions Handling Tricky Turns on the Road to Reform, Hazards Lie in Little Direction and Unmarked Destination. <u>The School Administrator</u>, Vol. 47 No. 7, pp. 26-31.

Stelzer L (1974) Institutionalising conflict response: the case of the school boards. <u>Social Science Quarterly, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 380-393.</u>

Stenhouse, L. (1980) The study of samples and the study of cases. <u>British Educational Research Journal</u>, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 1-6.

Stone, Melissa M. (1991) The Propensity of Governing Boards to Plan. Non-Profit Management and Leadership, Vol. 1, No. 3.

Storr, C. (1996) Trustees have Rights too. The Tablet, February 10th., 1996.

Suchman, M. (1995) Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 571  $\Box$  611.

Summers, Anita A. and Johnson, Amy W. (1995) Doubts about Decentralized Decisions. <u>School Administrator</u>, Vol. 52, No. 3 p. 24-26.

Tannen, D. (1995) The power of talk: Who gets heard and why. <u>Harvard Business Review.</u> p. 138-140.

Taylor, (1983) Power Points. Times Educational Supplement 30/8/1983.

Taylor, Felicity, (1992) in Klein, R. (1992) Working out a Code. <u>Times Educational</u> Supplement, December 31, 1992, Vol. 3969.

Taylor, B.E., Chait, R.P. and Holland, T.P. (1991) Trustee Motivation and Board Effectiveness. Non-Profit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, Vol. 20, No. 2.

Taylor F. (1983a) Why teachers are justifying their seat on the board. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, Oct. 7, No. 3510, p.4

Thornton A. (1999) Concern at governor vacancies. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, March 5, 1999.

Thornton, Karen (1999) Governors taking new role too far say NUT. <u>Times Educational Supplement</u>, May 21, 1999.

Torrington, D. and Weighman, J. (1989) The Management of Secondary Schools. <u>Journal of Management Studies</u>, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 519-530.

Tyack, D. (1990) "Restructuring" in historical perspective: Tinkering toward utopia. <u>Teachers College Record, Vol.</u> 92, No. 2, 170-191.

United States Department of Education (1994) What Goals 2000 Means For You. <u>Goals 2000 Community Update</u>, No. 34.

Vaizey, J. and Debeauvrais, M. (1961) Economic Aspects of Educational Development, in

Floud, A.H., Floud, J. and Anderson, C.A., (eds.) <u>Education</u>, <u>Economy and Society</u>. New York: Free Press.

Van Meter, Eddy (1991) The Kentucky Mandate: School-Based Decision Making. <u>NASSP</u> <u>Bulletin</u>, Vol. 75, pp. 52-62.

Vickers, Margaret (1994) Cross-national exchange, the OECD, and Australian education policy. Knowledge & Policy, Vol. 7, p. 25, available from elibrary@infonautics.com 1995-1996 Infonautics Corporation.

Walker, R. (1983) Three Good Reasons for not doing Case Study in Curriculum Research. Journal of Curriculum Studies, Vol. 15, No. 2.

Walsh, Patricia and Carter, David (1995) Principal Influences on National Policy Implementation in Selected Australian and New Zealand Catholic Schools. In Carter, David S. and O'Neill, Marnie, H., (Eds.) <u>Case Studies in Educational Change: An International Perspective</u>. London: The Falmer Press, pp. 115 - 134.

Watkins, P. and Blackmore, J. (1993) The Development of Representative Committees in Schools: New Structures in the Democratisation of Educational Administration. In Lingard, Knight, R and Porter, P. (Eds.) Schooling Reform in Hard Times. London: Falmer Press.

Wanous, J.P. and Youtz, M.A. (1986) Solution Diversity and the Quality of Group Decisions. Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 29, pp. 149-159.

Watkins, P. (1990) Agenda, Power and Text: the formulation of policy in school councils. <u>Journal of Education Policy</u>, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 315 - 331.

Watkins P. (1993) Finding Time: Temporal Considerations in the Operation of School Committees. <u>British Journal of Sociology of Education</u>, Vol. 14, No. 2.

Watt, J. (1989) The devolution of power: The ideological meaning. <u>Journal of Educational</u> Administration, Vol. 27(1), pp. 19-28.

Weick, K.E. (1976) Educational Organizations as loosely-coupled systems. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 1-19.

Weiss, Carol H. (1995) The Four "I's" of School Reform: How Interests, Ideology, Information, and Institution Affect Teachers and Principals. <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, Vol. 65, No. 4, pp. 571-591.

Weiss, Andrew (1995) Cracks in the Foundation of Stakeholder Theory. <u>Electronic Journal of Radical Organization Theory</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1. (unpaginated).

Westbrook, Lynn (1994) Qualitative research methods: A review of major stages, data analysis techniques, and quality controls. <u>Library and Information Science Research</u> Vol. 16, pp. 241-254.

Wohlstetter, P. (1995) Getting School-Based Management Right: What Works and What Doesn't. Phi Delta Kappen, Vol. 77, No. 9, pp. 22-24, 26.

Wheatley, M. (1994) Quantum Management. Working Women Magazine, October (No volume stated).

Whitaker T. (1987) Training School Governors. Management in Education, Vol. 1, No.3, p.9

Whitaker, T. (1986) School Governing Bodies and Lay Participation - symposium paper 2. Educational Management and Administration, Vol. 14, pp. 125-132.

Willmann, Bodo (1977) Educational Reform and the world of work: Economic Change, Educational Needs and Secondary School Reform in Sweden. Western European Education, Vol. 9, No. 2.

Wilson, B.L. and Dickson-Corbett, H. (1983) Organisation and Change: the effects of school linkages on quantity of implementation. <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, Vol. 19, No. 4. pp. 85-104.

Wirt, F. (1981) Professionalism and Political Conflict: A Developmental Model. <u>Journal of Public Policy</u>, Vol. 1. Part 1, pp. 61-93.

Wirt, F.M. (1991) Policy Origins and Policy Games: Site based management in the United States and the United Kingdom. In Harman G, Beare H. And Berkeley G.F. <u>Restructuring School Management</u>: <u>Recent Administrative Reorganisation of Public School Governance in Australia</u>. Canberra: Australian College of Education.

Wohlstetter P. and Odden, A. (1992) Rethinking school-based management policy and research. Educational Administration Quarterly, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 529-549.

Wohlstetter, P., McCurdy, K. (1991) The link between school decentralisation and school politics. Urban Education, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 391-413.

Woods P. (1988) A strategic view of parent participation, <u>Journal of Education Policy</u> Vol. 3, No. 4.

Yuchtman, E. and Seashore, S. (1967) A system resource approach to organisational effectiveness. American Sociological Review, Vol. 32, pp. 891-903.

Zeigler, L. H.(1975) School Board Research: The Problems and the Prospects. In Cistone, P.J. (Ed) <u>Understanding School Boards: Problems and Prospects.</u> Lexington, MA.: Heath D.C.

Zerchykov, Ross (1992) Tools for Changing Schools: School-Community Councils. <u>Equity and Choice</u>, Vol. 8, No. 3. ERIC Document Reproduction Service EJ 448 096

Zimmerman, Joseph F. (1978) Role Perceptions of Irish City and County Councillors. <u>Administration</u>, Vol. 24 No. 4 pp. 482-500.

Zyzanski, S.J., Whinney, I.R., Blake, R., Crabtree, B.F. and Millar, W. (1992) Qualitative Research: Perspective on the Future. In Crabtree, B.F. and Millar, W. (1992) <u>Doing Qualitative</u> Research. London: Sage Publications.

## BOOKS

Advisory Centre for Education (1995) <u>ACE governors' handbook, a comprehensive guide to the duties and responsibilities of school governors in England and Wales.</u> London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Advisory Centre for Education (1990) <u>A Curriculum for all?</u>, a report on the <u>ACE/AGIT</u> seminar on governor training and special needs. London and Coventry: ACE, AGIT (Advisory Centre for Education and Action for Governors' Information and Training).

Advisory Centre for Education, (c.1992) <u>Governors handbook, a comprehensive guide to the duties and responsibilities of school governors in England and Wales.</u> London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Albrow, M. (1990) Max Weber's Construction of Social Theory London: Macmillan.

Aldrich, R. (1994) <u>Educational Reform and Curriculum Implementation in England: An historical perspective.</u> University of London Institute of Education.

American Association of School Administrators (1994) Roles and Relationships: School Boards and Superintendents. Arlington, VA: National School Boards Association.

Anderson, K., Cook, D., and Saunders, K. (1992) <u>School governors: A handbook of guidance for governors of county and voluntary schools.</u> London: Longman, Society of Education Officers series.

Anderson, S.A. (1983) <u>Successful School Board Meetings.</u> Trenton, NJ.: Jersey School Boards Association. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 289 233.

Argyris, C. (1976) Increasing Leadership Effectiveness, New York: Wiley.

Argyris, C. (1964) <u>Integrating the individual and the organisation</u>. New York: Wiley.

Arnott M., Bullock, A. and Thomas, H. (1992) <u>The Impact of Local Management on Schools: A Sourcebook.</u> Birmingham: University of Birmingham.

Assistant Masters and Mistresses Association (1990) <u>Teachers as governors: An AMMA guidance note.</u> London: AMMA, 1990

Bacchus M. and Marchiafava B. (1991) <u>Implementing School Reform in Chicago: A System Perspective.</u> ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 331887.

Bacon W. (1978) Public Accountability and the School System London: Harper and Row,

Bacon, W. (1978) <u>Public Accountability and the Schooling System: A Sociology of School Board Democracy.</u> London: Harper and Row.

Baginsky M., Baker, L., Cleave S. (1991) <u>Towards Effective Partnerships in School Governance</u>. NFET

Ball, S.J. (1987) <u>The Micro-Politics of the School: Towards a Theory of School Organisation.</u> London: Methuen.

Banks, O. (1976) The Sociology of Education, London: Batsford.

Barnard, Chester I. (1954) The Functions of the Executive. Cambridge, Mass.

Baron, G. and Howell, D.A. (1976) <u>The Government and Management of Schools.</u> London: The Athlone Press

Beattie N. (1985) Professional Parents. Lewes: Falmer Press.

Belbin, R Meredity (1991) Management Teams - Why they succeed or fail. London: Heinemann

Bimber, Bruce (1994) <u>The Decentralisation Mirage: Comparing Decision-Making in Arrangements in Four High Schools.</u> Santa Monica, CA.: Rand Corporation, Institute on Education and Training. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 377553,

Birley, D. (1970) The Education Officer and His World. London: Routledge, Kegan Paul.

Block, P. (1993) <u>Stewardship: Choosing Service over Self-Interest.</u> San Francisco: Berrett-Kohler.

Bly, R. (1996) The Sibling Society. New York: Addison-Wesley.

Bothwell L. (1983) The Art of Leadership. New York: Prentice Hall.

Bowe, R., Ball, S.J., and Gold, A. (1992) <u>Reforming Education and Changing Schools.</u> London: Routledge.

Brigley, S. (1990) <u>The Recomposition of School Governing Bodies: Does Parent Power Exist?</u> Milton Keynes: Open University.

Brodinsky, B. (1977) <u>How a school board operates.</u> Bloomington: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.

Brooksbank, Kenneth and Anderson, Keith (1987) School governors: A handbook of guidance for governors of county and voluntary schools. Harlow: Longman.

Brown, D.J. (1990) <u>Decentralisation and School-Based Management.</u> London: The Falmer Press.

Brown, Edna (1994) <u>Chicago Public School Teacher's Opinions on School Reform.</u> Chicago Public School IL. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 374 089

Bryman A. (1988) Quantity and Quality in Social Research. London: Unwin Hyman.

Bullivant, Barbara (c1990) Your school's governors. Home and School Council monograph.

Burgess, Tyrell and Sofer, Anne (1986) The school governors' handbook and training guide. London: Kogan Page.

Burkey, S (1993) <u>People First: A Guide to Self-Reliant Participatory Rural Development.</u> London: Zed Books.

Bush, Tony (1986) Theories of Educational Management, London: Harper and Row.

Caldwell, Brian J. and Spinks, J. M. (1992) <u>Leading the Self-Managing School.</u> London: The Falmer Press.

Cameron J. (1984) "Australia" in <u>International Handbook of Educational Systems</u>, New York: Wiley.

Cameron, K.S. and Whetton, D.A. (1983) <u>Organizational Effectiveness: A Comparison of Multiple Models.</u> Orlando, FL: Academic Press.

Carlson, R. (1989) <u>Restructuring Schools.</u> Internal Memorandum. Washington D.C.: Public Schools. Cited in Murphy, J. (1991) <u>Restructuring Schools: Capturing and Assessing the Phenomena.</u> New York: Teachers' College Press.

Carver, J. (1990) Boards that Make a Difference. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Chait, R.P., Holland, T.P. and Taylor, B.E. (1993) <u>The Effective Board of Trustees.</u> Phoenix, AZ: The Oryx Press.

Chinien, C. and Boutin, F. (1991) <u>Is our Nation at Risk: Corporate Leaders Respond.</u> Manitoba. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 344 282

Chion-Kenney, Linda and Hymes, Donald L (1994) <u>Site-Based Management and Decision-Making: Problems and Solutions. Critical Issues Report No. 28.</u> Arlington, Va: American Association of School Administrators.

Chubb, J. and Moe, T. (1990) <u>Politics, Markets and America's schools</u>. Brookings Institute, Washington DC.

Clune, W.H. and Wiite P.A. (1988) <u>School-Based Management: Institutional Variation</u>, <u>Implementation</u>, and <u>Issues for further research</u>. New Brunswick, NJ: Centre for Policy Research in Education, Rutgers University.

Cody, W.S. (1998) What We Believe. Kentucky: Department of Education.

Collins, A., Cooper, J.L. and Whitmore, E. (1995) <u>Enhancing Local Involvement in Education Through Quality Leadership: Pilot School Councils Study.</u> Canada-Newfoundland Co-operation Agreement on Human Resource Development Project.

Coolahan, John (ed.) (1994) <u>Report on the National Education Convention</u>. National Education Convention Secretariat, Ireland.

Coulsen-Thomas, Colin (1993) Creating Excellence in the Boardroom, London: McGraw-Hill.

Council of Managers of Catholic Secondary Schools (1985) <u>A Manual for Boards of Management of Catholic Secondary Schools.</u> Dublin: Council of Managers of Catholic Secondary Schools (private circulation).

Council of Managers of Catholic Secondary Schools (1991) <u>Survey of all Secondary school</u> <u>Principals regarding areas of concern at this time of change.</u> Dublin: Council of Managers of Catholic Secondary Schools

Covey, S.R. (1992) Principle-Centered Leadership. Salt Lake City: Franklin Covey Publishing.

Creese, Michael (1995) Effective governors, effective schools, developing the partnership. London: David Fulton.

Curtis, Bev (1993) <u>Local management of schools:</u> A whole school pay policy 1993, advice and guidance for governing bodies of all schools with delegated powers under the 1988 Education <u>Reform Act.</u> Birmingham, Coventry: National Association of Governors and Managers and Action for Governors Information and Training.

Daniel, G. (1985) <u>Legalism as an obstacle to school quality</u>. Bound Brook, NJ: Bound Brook Public Schools. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 277 083.

David, J.L. (1994) <u>School-Based Decision-Making: Linking Decisions to Learning</u>. Kentucky: Pritchard Committee for Academic Excellence.

Davies, L. (1994) <u>Beyond Authoritarian School Management: The Challenge for Transparency.</u> <u>Derbyshire</u>: Education Now Books.

Davies, Brent and Ellison Linda (1990) <u>Managing the primary school budget, an introduction for teachers and governors, Series: Resources in education.</u> Plymouth: Northcote House.

Dawkins, David (1991) Power and Politics in Education. London: Falmer Press.

Deem, R., Brehony, K. and Heath, S. (1995) <u>Active Citizenship and the Governing of Schools</u>. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Doyle, D. and Hartle, T. (1985) <u>Excellence in Education.</u> American Enterprise Institute, Washington DC.

Drucker, P.E. (1989) The New Realities. New York: Perennial Library.

Drucker, P. (1969) The Age of Discontinuity. London: Heinemann.

Earley, P., Baker, L. and Weindling, D. (1990) "Keeping the raft afloat": Secondary Headship five years on. National Foundation for Educational Research in England and Wales.

Earley, P. (1988), Governors' reports and annual parents' meetings: the 1986 Education Act and beyond. Slough: National Foundation for Educational Research.

Easton, John Q. (1990) <u>Local School Council Meetings during the First Year of Chicago School Reform.</u> Illinois: Chicago Panel on Public School Policy and Finance. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 327 609

Edwards, C. (1995) <u>Plain Facts about Kentucky's School-Based Decision Making</u>. Kentucky Department of Education: School-Based Decision-Making Division.

Edwards, C. (1995) <u>Program Review - 95 \(\sigma\) School-based decision-making</u>. Kentucky Department of Education: School-Based Decision-Making Division.

Elliot, David and Elliot, Ruth (1976) <u>The Control of Technology.</u> London: Wykeham Publications.

Esp, D. and Saran, R. (eds.)(1995) <u>Effective governors for effective schools, roles and relationships.</u> London: Longman.

Etzioni, A. (1964) Modern Organisations. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

Everard, K.B. and Morris, G. (1985) <u>Effective School Management.</u> London: Paul Chapman Publishing Ltd.

First, P.F. (1992)(ed.) Education Policy for School Administrators. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Ford, Darryl (1994) <u>Chicago Principals under School Based Management: New Roles and Realities of the Job. ERIC document reproduction service ED 353 632</u>

Fowler, W. S., (1989) <u>Teachers, parents and governors, their duties and rights in schools.</u> London: Kogan Page.

Fraser, W. and Williams, M. (1988) <u>The Psychology of Religious Knowing.</u> London: Geoffrey Chapman.

Fullan, M. (1993) <u>Change forces: Probing the depths of educational reform.</u> London: The Falmer Press.

Fullan, M. (1991) The New Meaning of Educational Change. London: Cassell

Gastil, John (1993) <u>Democracy in Small Groups: Participation, Decision-Making and Communications.</u>

George, Alan (1985) Resource based learning for school governors. London: Croom Helm

Gilbert, Christine (1990) <u>Local management of schools, a guide for governors and teachers.</u> London: Kogan Page

Golby, M. (c.1985) <u>Caught in the Act, teachers and governors after 1980.</u> Exeter: University of Exeter School of Education.

Golby, Michael and Brigley, Stephen (1989) <u>Parents as school governors</u>. Tiverton: Fair Way Publications.

Golby, Michael and Lane, Bernard (1989) <u>The new school governors</u>, <u>Exeter papers in school governorship</u>. Tiverton: Fair Way Publications.

Golby, M. (ed.), Brigley, S., Lane, B., Taylor, W., Viant, R., (1990) <u>The New Governors Speak.</u> Tiverton: Fairway Publications.

Golby, Michael (1991) <u>School governors, research, Exeter papers in school governorship, 3.</u> Tiverton: Fair Way Publications.

Golby, M. (ed.) (1991) School governors, training issues, Exeter papers in school governorship, 2. Tiverton: Fair Way Publications.

Golby, M and Appleby, R. (eds.)(1991) <u>In Good Faith: School Governors Today.</u> Tiverton: Fair Way Publications.

Golby, Michael and Appleby, Rosemary (c1992) <u>In good faith, school governors today</u>, <u>Exeter papers in school governorship</u>, no.4. Tiverton: Fair Way.

Gordon, P. (1974) <u>The Victorian School Manager: A Study in the Management of Education</u> 1800 - 1902. London: Woburn Press.

Grant-Maintained Schools Foundation (1992) <u>The roles of governors and heads in grant-maintained schools.</u> Harlow: Longman.

Greenleaf, R.K. (1974) Servant, Leader, Follower. Glenrock, NJ: Paulist Press.

Guba, E. and Lincoln, Y. (1981) Effective Evaluation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Hall, G. and Galluzzo, G. (1991) <u>Changing Policy into Practice: School-Based Decision-Making.</u> Charleston, VA: Appalachia Educational Laboratory. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 346 563.

Hardie, Brian (1991) <u>Marketing the primary school, an introduction for teachers and governors.</u> Plymouth: Northcote House.

Healy, M. (1994) The Experience of Headteacher Appraisal. London: Kogan Page.

Heckscher, C., and Donnellon, A., (eds.) (1994) <u>The Post-bureaucratic Organisation: New Perspectives on Organizational Change.</u> Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Heffron, F. (1989) Organisation Theory and Public Organisations. Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Herman, J.J. (1991) <u>School-Based Management: Theory and Practice</u>, Virginia: National Association of Secondary School Principals.

Hill, P.T. and Bonan, J. (1991) <u>Decentralization and Accountability in Public Education</u>, Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.

Hoinville, G. and Jowell, R. (1978) Survey Research Practice. London: Heinemann.

Hoy, W.K. and Miskel, C.G. (1992) <u>Educational Administration: Theory, Research and Practice.</u> New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Hoyle, E. (1986) The politics of school management. London: Hodder and Stoughton.

Hrebiniak, L.G. (1978) Complex Organizations. New York: West Publishing Company.

Iannaccone, L. and Lutz F.W. (1970) <u>Politics</u>, <u>power and policy: the governing of local school</u> districts. Columbus, OHIO: Merrill.

Institute for Educational Leadership (1986) <u>School boards: Strengthening grass roots leadership</u>. Washington D.C.: Institute for Educational Leadership.

Jefferies, Greg and Streatfield, David (1989) <u>The recruitment of school governors following the reconstitution of school governing bodies under the Education (No.2) Act 1986.</u> A report to the <u>Department of Education and Science</u>. London: National Foundation for Educational Research.

Jones, S., and (1992) <u>The Human Factor: Maximising Team Efficiency through Collaborative Leadership.</u> London: Kogan Page.

Jones, J. (1993) <u>School governors, what governors need to know.</u> London: David Fulton Publishers.

Kannapel, P.J. and Moore, B.D. (1994) <u>School-Based Decision-Making in Rural Kentucky Schools: Interim Findings of a Five-Year Longitudinal Study.</u> Charleston, WV: Appalachia Educational Laboratory. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 371 932.

Kerr, C. and Gade, M. (1986) <u>The Many Lives of Academic Presidents.</u> Association of Governing Boards of Universities and College

Kerr, Clark (1984) <u>Presidents Make a Difference</u>. Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges.

Kogan M. (1971) The politics of education. London: Penguin

Kogan M. (1979) Educational policies in perspective: an appraisal of OECD countries educational policy reviews. OECD, Paris.

Kogan, M. (ed.) Johnson, D. Packwood, T., Whittaker, T. (1984) <u>School Governing Bodies</u>. Heinemann Educational Books.

Kogan, M. (1986) Education Accountability: An Analytical Overview. London: Hutchinson.

Kriesberg, S. (1992) <u>Transforming Power: Domination, Empowerment, and Education.</u> Albany: State University of New York.

Lawler, E.E. (1992) <u>The Ultimate Advantage: Creating the high involvement organisation.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lawler, Edward E., III, Mohrman, Susan A. and Ledford, Gerald E. Jr., (1995) <u>Creating High Performance Organizations.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lawton, D. (1989) Education, culture and the national curriculum, London: Hodder and Stoughton.

Levacic, R. (1995) <u>Local Management of Schools: Analysis and Practice</u>. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Lewis, A. (1989) Meanwhile, At the School. In American Association of School Administrators, Restructuring America's Schools. Arlington VA.

Lewis, D. (director) (1995) <u>Developing senior managers, a research project to determine the development and training needs of heads, deputies and chairs of governors in secondary schools.</u>
London: Office for Standards in Education.

Likert, R. (1967) The Human Organisation. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Lucas, J.R. (1976) Democracy and Participation, Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Lynn, L.E.(1987) Managing public policy. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.

Mac Donald, A.M. (1975) Chambers Twentieth Century Dictionary. Edinburgh: Chambers.

Macbeth, A., Mackenzie, and Breckenridge I. (1980) <u>Scottish School Councils: Policy Making</u>, <u>Participation</u>, or <u>Irrelevance?</u> Edinburgh: Scottish Education Department/HMSO.

Macbeth A. (1980a) <u>Scottish School Councils: policy making, participation or irrelevance?</u> HMSO, Edinburgh.

Macbeth A. (1980b) <u>Scottish School Councils: Case Studies</u>. Department of Education, University of Glasgow.

Macbeth A. (1984) <u>The child between</u>. Studies collection, Education Series, No. 13 Commission of the European Communities, Brussels.

Macbeth, A. (1989) Involving Parents. Oxford: Heinemann Educational.

Macbeth, A. (1990) School Boards: From Purpose to Practice. Scottish Academic Press

Maden, M., (1993) School Governance Survey. Warwickshire County Council.

Mahoney, T. (1988) Governors, accountability, and parents, a report based on a sample of governors' experiences in Leicestershire and Derbyshire in 1987. Nottingham: Workers' Educational Association, East Midlands District.

Mahoney, T. (1990) Governing Schools: Powers, Issues and Practice. London: Macmillan

Malen, B., Ogawa, R.T. and Kranz, J. (1989) <u>An Analysis of Site-Based Management as an educational reform strategy</u>. Salt Lake City, UT: Department of Educational Administration, University of Utah.

Manchester, Equal Opportunities Commission (1985) <u>Equal opportunities and the school</u> governor, governors' guidelines for the elimination of sex discrimination and the promotion of <u>equality of opportunity in schools</u>. Great Britain: Equal Opportunities Commission.

Marburger, Carl L. (1985) <u>One School at a Time. School Based Management: A Process for Change.</u> Columbia, Maryland: Wilde Lake Village Green. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 263 683.

Mason, J.W., (1989) <u>Trustee Responsibilities.</u> Washington: Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges.

Massell, D. and Fuhrman, S. (1993) <u>Ten Years of State Education Reform, 1983-1993.</u> Washington: Center for Policy Research in Education.

Maychell, K. (1994) <u>Counting the Cost: The Impact of LMS on School Patterns of Spending</u>. Slough: NFER

Mc Carty, D.J. and Ramsey, C.E. (1971) <u>School Managers: power and conflict in American public education</u>. Greenwood, Westport, Conn.

McCollum, H. (1996) <u>I essons for school-based reform</u>. Department of Education: Washington, D.C.

McCoy, C. (1985) <u>Management of Values: The Ethical Difference in Corporate Policy and Performance</u>. Marchfield, MA: Pitman.

McDonell, L.M. (1989) <u>Restructuring American Schools: The promise and the pitfalls</u>. New York: Institute of Education and the Economy, Teachers' College, Columbia University.

McMullan, W.J. (1980) Private Schools: Boards and Heads. Fort Lauderdale, FL: Ferguson

Mellaville, A.I., and Blank, M.J. (1991) What it takes: Structuring interagency partnerships to connect children and families with comprehensive services. Washington, D.C.: Education and Human Services Consortium.

Miles, Matthew B. and Huberman, Michael, (1984) Qualitative Data Analysis: A Sourcebook of New Methods. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Moreland, David and Opie, Roger (1988) <u>School governors from the business community.</u> London: Industrial Society

Mueller, S.G., (1991) <u>Getting Started: A survey of Local School Councils.</u> Chicago Public Schools, IL. Dept. of Research, Evaluation, and Planning. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 339 783

Munn, P. and Holroyd, C. (1989) <u>Pilot School Boards: Experiences and Achievements</u>. Scottish Council for Research in Education.

Murphy J. and Hallinger, P. (1993) <u>Restructuring Schooling: Learning from Ongoing Efforts.</u> California: Corwin Press Inc.

Murphy, J. (1991) <u>Restructuring Schools: Capturing and Assessing the Phenomena.</u> New York: Teachers' College Press.

Musgrave, P.W. (1968) The School as an Organisation, London: Macmillan.

Musgrave, P.W. (1968) Society and Education in England since 1800. London: Methuen and Co.

Mutchler, S. (1990) Eight barriers to changing traditional behavior. Washington D.C.: Office of

Educational Research and Development. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 002 771 and 330 059.

National School Boards Association (1994) <u>Shared Decision Making</u>. Alexandria, Virginia: NSBA Series on School Board Governance.

National Consumer Council and Lawson, Bridget (1986) <u>School governor training, survey and analysis, current provision of training for school governors in England and Wales.</u> London: National Consumer Council.

Nemir, W. (1991) <u>Evaluating Effectiveness: Appraising Superintendent and Board Performance.</u> Austin, TX: Texas Association of School Boards.

Nunn, Cheryl (1987) School governors and LEAS, two disputes in the context of legislative change: Sheffield Papers in Education Management, No.63. Sheffield: Sheffield City Polytechnic Department of Education Management.

Odden, A and Odden, E. (1994) <u>School-Based Management - The View from "Down Under"".</u> Madison, WI: Center for Organisation and Restructuring of Schools. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 373 417.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1993) Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators. Paris: CERI/OECD.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1991) <u>Reviews of National Policies for Education</u>: Ireland. Paris: CERI/OECD.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1995) <u>Decision-Making in 14</u> OECD Education Systems. Paris: CERI/OECD.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1989). <u>Education and the economy in a changing society.</u> Paris: CERI/OECD.

O'Flaherty, L. (1992) <u>Management and Control in Irish Education: The Post-Primary Experience</u>. Dublin: Drumcondra Teachers' Centre.

Ogletree, E.J. and McHenry, Effie (1990) <u>Chicago Teachers and School Reform.</u> ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 324 380.

Open University Press (1989) <u>Governing schools in the 90's, into action: Workbook.</u> Milton Keynes: Open University/National Association of Governors and Managers.

Osborne, D. and Gaebler, T. (1992) <u>Reinventing Government: How the entrepreneurial spirit is transforming the public sector.</u> Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.

Ostler, S.M. (ed.) (1994) Management of Non-Profit Organisations. Aldershot: Dartmouth.

Paddock, S.C. (1979) <u>The Myth of Parent Involvement Through Advisory Councils.</u> American Educational Research Association.

Page, G. Terry, and Thomas, J.B. (1977) <u>International Dictionary of Education.</u> London: Kogan

Page.

Parents Coalition for Education in New York City (1993) <u>The "rules" still rule: The failure of school-based management/shared decision-making in the New York City public school system.</u> ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 367 632.

Peters, T.J. and Waterman, R. H. (1982) In Search of Excellence: Lessons from America Best-Run Companies. New York: Harper and Row.

Peterson - deI - Mar, David (1993) <u>Building Coalitions to Restructure Schools</u>. Eugene, Oregon: Oregon School Study Council. University of Oregon. OSSC Bulletin Series.

Peterson-del - Mar, David (1994) <u>School-Site Councils: The Hard Work of Achieving Grassroots Democracy.</u> Oregon School Study Council. Eugene. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 368 058

Pitkin, H. (1967) The Concept of Representation. Berkeley: University of California.

Prasch, John C. (1990) <u>How to Organise for School Based Management</u>, Alexandria, Virginia: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 328 944

Randles, E. (1976) Post-Primary Education in Ireland, 1957-1970. Dublin: Veritas Publications.

Reeves, C.E. (1954) <u>School Boards: Their Status, Functions and Activities.</u> New York: Prentice-Hall Inc.

Research Group of the Exeter Society for Curriculum Studies (1987) <u>Parents as school governors, interim report.</u> Exeter: University of Exeter School of Education.

Reynolds, D. et al. (1980) School Factors and Truancy, in Hersov, L. and Berg, I. (eds.) <u>Out of School, London: John Wiley.</u>

Richardson, A. (1983) Participation, London: Routledge and Keogan Paul.

Ridley, C.E. (1958) <u>The Role of the City Manager in Policy Formulation.</u> Chicago, IL.: The International City Managers' Association.

Rost, J. (1991) Leadership in the 21st. Century. New York: Praeger.

Rowntree, Derek (1981) A Dictionary of Education. London: Harper and Row.

Sallis, J. (1991) School Governors: Your Questions Answered. London: Hodder and Stoughton.

Sallis, J. (1988) <u>Schools, Parents and Governors: A New Approach to Accountability.</u> London: Routledge.

Sallis, J. (1993) <u>Basics for school governors, roles, relationships, rules.</u> Stafford: Network Educational Press.

Sallis, J. (1994) Heads and governors, building the partnership. Coventry: AGIT.

Sallis, J. (1982) Working together, training exercises for governors, heads and teachers in primary schools. An ACE handbook. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Sallis, J.(1987) More questions governors ask. London: Advisory Centre for Education, 1987.

Sallis, J. (1980) The Effective School Governor. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Sallis, J. (1995) <u>School governors, a question and answer guide.</u> Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Sallis, J. (1994) Questions governors ask. An ACE handbook. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Sallis, J. (1977) School managers and governors, Taylor and after. London: Ward Lock.

Sallis, J.(1980) The School in its setting: a guide to the education service for school governors and managers. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Sarason, S.B. (1990) <u>The Predictable Failure of Educational Reform.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

Schein, E. H. (1985) <u>Organisational Culture and Leadership</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. In Gonder, P. and Hynes, D. (1994) <u>Improving School Climate and Culture, AASA Critical Issues, Report No. 27</u>. American Association of School Administrators, Arlington Va. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 371 485.

Schools of Future Co-ordination Branch (1995) <u>Schools of the Future Information Kit.</u> Melbourne: Directorate of School Education

Scott, Lorna (1993), Governors and special needs, report of the inquiry into governor training for special educational needs. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Scott, Lorna (1993) Special needs, support for governors, guidance and training materials to help school governors carry out their duties for special educational needs. London: Advisory Centre for Education.

Scott, B.W. (1989) <u>Schools Renewal - a strategy to revitalise schools within the New South</u> Wales State Education System. Sydney: Ministry of Education

Sebring, Penny A. and Camburn, Eric M. (1992) <u>Teachers are Engaging Reform in Chicago:</u>
<u>Differences among Schools.</u> Chicago Public Schools IL. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 349 713

Self, Peter (1977) Administrative Theories and Politics. London: Allen and Unwin.

Senge, P. (1990) <u>The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of Learning Organizations.</u> New York: Bantam Doubleday

Shaeffer, J. and Betz, L.E. (1992) Effective parent involvement in secondary schools of Texas

identified as exemplary, 1982-89. Research Monograph. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 350 686.

Sharp, W.L. and Newman, I. (1991) <u>Boards of Education: Trust, Confidence, and Communications: A Study of First Year Superintendents.</u> Ohio. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 341 118.

Sharp, W.L. and Newman, I. (1990) <u>Boards of Education: Trust, Confidence, and Communications: A Study of First Year Superintendents.</u> Ohio. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 325 931.

Sheehan, L. (1990) <u>Building Better Boards: A Handbook for Board Members in Catholic Education</u>. <u>Washington: National Catholic Educational Association</u>. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 320249.

Shipman, M. (1984) Education as a Public Service. London: Harper and Row.

Stanley, Garrick (1994) <u>The Effects of Regulatory Frameworks on Ethical Decision-Making in Schools.</u> Ministry of Education, Western Australia.

Steers, R.M. (1991) <u>Introduction to Organisational Behaviour.</u> New York: HarperCollins Publishers.

Swan, D. (1992) <u>The Moment of Truth in Irish Education</u>. University College, Dublin: Education Department

Taylor Report (1977) A New Partnership for our Schools. HMSO

Taylor F. (1983) <u>Accountability in Education: the role of the elected parent and teacher governors of schools and their relationships to their constituencies.</u> CIS Commentary Series NELPCO

Thody, Angela (ed.)(1994) School governors, leaders or followers? Harlow: Longman Information

Thody, Angela (1992) Moving to management, school governors in the 1990s. London: David Fulton

Toffler, Alvin (1990) <u>Power Shift: Knowledge, Wealth and Violence at the Edge of the 21st.</u> <u>Century.</u> New York: Bantam Doubleday.

Tomkins, Cyril R. (1987) <u>Achieving Economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the Public Sector.</u> London: Kogan Page.

Tucker, H.J. and Zeigler, L.H. (1980) <u>Professionals versus the Public: Attitudes,</u> Communications and Response in School Districts. New York: Longman, Inc.

Tussing, A. Dale (1978) <u>Irish Educational Expenditures: Past, Present and Future</u>. Paper No. 92. Dublin: Economic and Social Research Institute.

Tuttle, E.M. (1963) <u>School Board Leadership in America: Policy-Making in Public Education.</u> Chicago and Danvill: Interstate Printers and Publishers.

Weber, M. (1947) The Theory of Social and Economic Organisation, trans. Henderson and Parsons. New York. Quoted in Kilcullen, R.J. (1996) Max Weber: On Democracy: Modern Political Theory. Macquarrie University www.humanities.mq.edu/Ockham/y64109

Wells, I. and McKibben, T. (1990) <u>Local Management of Schools: Information from Interviews with Teachers and School Governors.</u> Belfast: Northern Ireland Council for Educational Research.

Wells, I. and McKibben, T. (1990) <u>First Experiences of LMS</u>. Belfast: Northern Ireland Council for Educational Research.

Wheatley, M. (1994) <u>Leadership and the New Science: Learning about Organisations from and Orderly Universe.</u> New York: Berrett-Koehler.

Wirt, F. M. and Kirst, M.W. (1982) Schools in Conflict. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan. In

Witte, J. (1990) <u>Choice in American Education</u>. La Follette Institute of Public Affairs, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Wohlstetter, P. (1993) <u>School-Based Management: Strategies for Success.</u> CPRE Finance Briefs. Consortium for Policy Research in Education, New Brunswick, NJ. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 356 524

Wohlstetter, P. and Mohrman, S.A. (1994) <u>School-Based Management: Promise and Process.</u> University of Michigan: Consortium for Policy Research in Education. Available from www.ed.gov/pubs/CPRE/

Wohlstetter, P., Van Kirk, A.N., Robertson, P.J., Mohrman, S.A. (1997) <u>Organising for Successful School-Based Management: National Research Report.</u> Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Virginia: Alexandria.

Wragg, E.C. and Partington, J.A. (1980) A Handbook for School Governors. London: Methuen.

Zammuto, R.F. (1982) <u>Assessing Organizational Effectiveness</u>, Albany: State University of New York.

Zander, A. (1982) Making groups effective. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Ziegler, L.H. and Jennings, M.K. (1974) <u>Governing American Schools: Political interaction in local school districts</u>. North Scituate, MA: Doxbury.

## OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS

Department of Education (1995) <u>List of Post-Primary Schools 1994-95.</u> Dublin: Stationery Office.

Department of Education (1976) Investment in Education. Dublin: Stationery Office.

Department of Education (1991) <u>Rules and Programme for Secondary Schools.</u> Dublin: Stationery Office.

Department of Education (1979) Model Lease for a Community School.

Department of Education (1970) Community Schools.

Department of Education (1994) Position Paper on Regional Education Councils.

Department of Education (1990) Financial Arrangements for Community Schools

Department of Education (1992) <u>Education for a Changing World: Green Paper on Education.</u>
Dublin: Stationery Office.

Department of Education (1997) <u>List of Post-Primary Schools 1996-97</u>. Dublin: Stationery Office.

Government of Ireland (1930) Vocational Education Act

Government of Ireland (1998) Education Act

Kentucky Department of Education (1995) <u>Plain Facts about Kentucky's Assessment</u>. Kentucky Department of Education: Office of Curriculum, Assessment and Accountability.

Melbourne Directorate of School Education (1992) <u>Education: Giving Students a Chance.</u> Melbourne.

Melbourne Directorate of School Education Schools for the Future Co-ordination Branch (1995) Schools for the Future Information Kit. Melbourne: Directorate of School Education.

Newfoundland and Labrador (1994) <u>Working Together for Educational Excellence: A Consultation Paper on School Council Operations.</u> Dept. of Education: Royal Commission Implementation Secretariat.

Nova Scotia, (1995) <u>Expanding Horizons: New Roles and Responsibilities to Support Student Success.</u> Halifax: Department of Education and Culture

Ontario, Ministry of Education and Training (1999) <u>Schools Council Review</u>, Ontario: Ministry of Learning.

Ontario, Ministry of Education and Training (1994) For the Love of Learning. Ontario Royal Commission on Learning.

United Kingdom, Department for Education and Science (1991), The effects of governors on

further and higher education. A report by HMI. London: DES.

United Kingdom, Department for Education and Science (1992) <u>School Governors: a guide to the law - Special schools (revised edition)</u>. London: Department for Education and Science

United Kingdom, Department for Education and Science (1993) <u>School Governors</u>, a guide to the <u>law: County, controlled and special agreement schools</u>. London: Department for Education and Science.

United Kingdom, Department of Education and Science (1984) <u>Parental Influence at School: A</u> New Framework for Schools in England and Wales. London: HMSO

United Kingdom, Department of Education and Science and University of Cambridge, Department of Education, School Development Plans Project (1990) <u>Development planning, a practical guide, advice to governors, headteachers and teachers, school development plans, project, 2.</u> London: DES

United Kingdom, Department of Education and Science (1992) The quality of training and support for governors in schools and colleges. A report by HMI. London: DES.

United Kingdom, Department of Education and Science (1991) <u>Governors and the school curriculum</u>. London: Central Office of Information for the Department of Education and Science.

United States Government (1983) <u>A Nation at Risk</u>. Washington: National Commission for Excellence in Education.

United States, Department of Labor (1991) What Work Requires of Schools - A SCANS Report for America 2000. Washington D.C.: Report of the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills.

## **PAPERS**

Angus, L.B. (1993) Educational Governance in Australia: Access and Equity or Market Choice. Paper delivered to the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, April 12 - 16.

Baker, Lawrie, (1991) The Effective Governing Body. Paper prepared for the AGM of Action for Governor's Information and Training (AGIT). Available from Oxford Centre of Educational Management.

Ball, S.J. (1992) The Worst of Three Worlds: Power, Power Relations and Teachers' Work. Address to the Fourth BEMAS Research Conference, Nottingham University.

Blackmore, J. and Watkins, P. (1992) Time and Space and Gender: Female Teachers' Reflections on the Tensions Between Personal Time and Organisational Time. Research Discussion Paper presented to Australian Association of Research in education Conference

Blackmore, Jill (1993) The colonising discourses of devolution and restructuring in educational administration: why now and who gains? Paper presented to the Australian Association of Research in Education Conference, Perth. Nov. 22-25, 1993.

Boone, M. (1991) School Board Self-Evaluation: Charting a Path to the Future. A Paper presented at the 31st. Annual Conference of the Texas Association of School Boards and the Texas Association of School Administrators, Houston Texas. ERIC document reproduction service ED 337 873.

Broadbent, J., Willig-Atherton, H., Laughlin, R., Shearn, D. (1991) Visibilities and Invisibilities: A reflection on Some Aspects of Local Management of Schools. Paper presented to the Annual Conference of the British Research Association, Nottingham Polytechnic.

Busher, H. and Saran, R. (1992) Paradoxes of Power Under the Local Management of Schools. Commissioned Paper for the Fourth BEMAS Research Conference, Nottingham University, 6-8 April.

Coe, P., Kannapel, P., Aagaard, L. and Moore, B. (1995) Non-linear Evolution of School-Based Decision-Making in Kentucky. Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, April.

Crump, Stephen and Walker, James (1994) Real Choice in Australian Education: Reshaping the role of government. An Australian Association for Research in Education Paper, University of Canberra.

Deem, R. and Brehony, K. (1992) Consumers and Educational Professionals in the organisation and administration of schools: partnership or conflict? A paper presented to the American Education Research Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Dellar, Graham B. (1994) Schools as Open Social Systems: A Study of Site Specific

Restructuring. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, April 4-8, 1994).

Dellar, Graham B. (1994) Implementing School-Decision-Making Groups: A Case Study in Restructuring, Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, April 4-8, 1994).

Din, Feng S. (1997) Missions, Achievements and Challenges of Kentucky School Councils. William Paterson University. www.nationalforum.com/10din.htm. ERIC Reproduction Service ED 413146

Donaldson, T. and Preston, L.E. (1994) The Stakeholder Theory in the Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, Implications Occasional Paper No. 37 from CIBER - Centre for Educational Business and Research, College of Business and Management, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-1815.

Duffy, Declan (1987) Board of Management: The Trustee Perspective. Paper delivered to the Association of Vice-Principals of Voluntary Secondary Schools.

Forlin, Peter (1994) Legal Frameworks for Devolution in Australian regular and special education. AARE

Goodman, P.S. and Pennings, J.M. (1980) Critical Issues in Assessing Organisational Effectiveness. In Lawler, E.E., Nadler, D., Cammann, C. Organisational Assessment: P Perspectives on the Measurement of Organizational Behavior and the Quality of Work Life. New York: Wiley. In Chait, R., Taylor. B. (1987) Evaluating Boards of Trustees: In Theory and in Practice. Paper presented at the Association for the Study of Higher Education, November 24, 1987, Baltimore, MD. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 292 411.

Greenfield, William Jr. (1991) Towards a Theory of School Leadership. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago.

Hallinger, P., Murphy J., and Hausman, C. (1991) Conceptualizing School Restructuring: Principals' and teachers' perceptions. Paper presented at the AERA meeting, Chicago, IL.

Hammer, T.H. and Wazeter, D.L. (1993) Dimensions of Local Union Effectiveness. Paper available in electronic form from elibrary@infonautics.com.

Hange, J.E. and Leary, P.A. (1991) The Leadership Function of School Boards: West Virginia Data. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Governors Regional Council on Education Administration, Auburn, Alabama, November 11, 1991. ERIC document reproduction service ED 339 126.

Hanson, E. M. and Ulrich, C. (1994) Democracy, Decentralization and School-Based Management in Spain. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 383 044

Hart, P.M., Conn, M., Carter, N.L and Wearing, A. J. (1993) Understanding Teacher Quality of Work Life: A Dynamic Model of Organisational Climate, Psychological Distress and Morale. Paper presented at the National Conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education, Freemantle, November 22 to 25, 1993.

Harvey, Michael (1994) An Exploratory Study of Exemplar Administrative Teams in the Primary School. Paper delivered at the Annual Conference, Australian Association for Research in Education, November 27 to December 1, 1994. University of Newcastle, New South Wales.

Hatton, Elizabeth (1994) Corporate Managerialism in a rural setting: A contextualised case study. AARE University of New England.

Jewell, K.E. and Rosen, J.L. (1993, April) School-based management/shared decision-making: A study of school reform in New York City. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta.

King, A. (1994, March) Restructuring Ontario Secondary School Education. A paper prepared for the Royal Commission on Learning, Ontario.

Malen, B. Ogawa, R.T. and Kranz, J. (1989) What do we know about school based management? A case study of the literature - a call for research. A paper presented at the conference on choice and control in American Education. Madison: University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Merz, Carol Smith (1984) Conflict and Frustration for School Board Members. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 23-27, 1984. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 244 358.

Paquette, Jerry (1993) Major Trends in recent Educational Policy-Making in Canada: Refocusing and Renewing in Challenging Times. Paper commissioned by the Royal Commission on Learning, Ontario December, 1993.

Rallis, S.F. (1992) Images of School Boards as Leaders and as Players in School Reform. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.

Rallis, S.F. and Criscoe, J. (1993) School Boards and School Restructuring: A Contradiction in Terms. A draft of a paper for presentation at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Atlanta. ERIC document reproduction service ED 362 964

Roe, John (1993) Pragmatic Policy Development in the Establishment of the School Council in a southwestern Sydney primary school. University of Sydney: School of Social and Policy Studies. Paper presented at AARE conference.

Rossmiller, R.A. and Holcomb, E.L. (1993) The Effective School Process for Continuous School Improvement. A Paper presented at the AERA annual meeting, April, 1993.

Sabatini, A. (1993) Observing the Tortoise: Collaborative decision-making among stakeholders at one comprehensive high school in New York city. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta.

Sackhey, L. E. and Dibski, D. J. (1992. August). School-based Management: A critical perspective. Paper presented at the Seventh Regional Conference of the Commonwealth Council for Educational Administration, Hong Kong.

Taylor, D. and Teddie, C. (1992) Restructuring and the classroom: A view from a reform

district. Paper presented at the AERA meeting, San Francisco.

Wallace, R.C., Radvak-Shovlin, B., Piscolish, M. and Le Mahieu, P.G. (1990) The Instructional Cabinet and Shared Decision-Making in Pittsburgh Public Schools: Theory, Practice and Evaluation. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston.

Weiss, C. (1992) Shared Decision-Making about what? A comparison of schools with and without teacher participation. Paper presented at the AERA annual meeting, San Francisco.

Wohlstetter, P. and Odden, A. (1992) Rethinking School-Based Management Policy and Research. Working Paper Number 11. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Education Finance Association, New Orleans. ED 346 585.

## **THESES**

Barber, Noel (1985) The Crescent College Comprehensive: A case study of the Comprehensive Ideal. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of London.

Barnhart, R. (1951) Assessed Critical Requirements for Effective School Board Members. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University.

Beavin H.A. (1987) The New Governors. Unpublished M.Ed. thesis, University of Leicester.

Coughlan, Joseph Anthony (1988) The role of the Board of Management of the National School in Maintaining the Church-State Relationship in Primary Education in Ireland. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Dublin.

Dorney, Margaret (1988) The Concept of a Community College: A Case Study. Unpublished M.Ed. thesis, University of Dublin.

Hanley, A.M. (1989) Parental Involvement in National School Boards of Management. Unpublished thesis for M.Soc. Sc., University College, Dublin.

Keohane, Mary (1979) A Study of National School Management, an unpublished M.Ed. thesis, St. Patrick's College, Maynooth.

Ledyard, J.C. (1987) Independent Day School Boards: A Governance Study. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Claremont Graduate School.

Mahoney E. (1985) Do school governors govern? Unpublished M.Ed. thesis, University of Leicester.

Morrison, Doreen M. (1991) School Boards: The First Year. Unpublished M.Ed. thesis, University of Stirling.

Mungovan, J. (1994) The Board of Management of a Community School: A case study of roles, relationships and processes. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Dublin, Trinity College.

Perks D. (1987) Teacher Governors. Unpublished M.Ed. thesis, University of Leicester.