Systematic review and meta-analysis on the effect of obesity on recurrence after laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery
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Abstract

Background & aims: Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery (LARS) aims to provide relief from gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD). With increase in the prevalence of obesity, there is a concurrent increase in obese patients requiring LARS. In addition to being a more technically difficult procedure, there is conflicting evidence regarding the effectiveness of LARS in obese patients. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the outcomes of LARS in obese versus non-obese patients.

Methods: Articles on the effects of obesity on LARS were identified from Ovid Medline, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library databases up to 30th of November 2016. Two independent searches were conducted. Data were extracted independently by two researchers. The primary outcome was recurrence, whilst the secondary outcome was operative time. Pooled data were statistically analysed using forest and funnel plots.

Results: Twelve studies (3346 patients) met the inclusion criteria, with 923 patients in the obese group and 2423 patients in the non-obese group. Based on a random effects model, there was a risk ratio of 1.36 (95% CI 1.08–1.72, p = 0.009), if studies reporting recurrence objectively are analysed risk ratio of 1.53 (95% CI 1.01–2.32, p = 0.05) showing 53% increased risk of recurrence for obese patients. Using a random effects model, the difference in operative time was 13.94 min (95% confidence interval (CI) 9.33–18.55, p < 0.0001), showing an increased operative time for obese patients.

Conclusion: A meta-analysis of 12 studies showed that there was greater recurrence of GORD symptoms and longer operative time relating to LARS in obese patients compared to non-obese patients.

© 2018 Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh (Scottish charity number SC005317) and Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
Introduction

Obesity is increasing worldwide at an alarming rate. In the US, obesity doubled between 1980 and 2002, while the number of overweight tripled during the same period. According to the 2008 World Health Organisation (WHO) report 50% of both men and women in the Europe were overweight, and roughly 20% of men and 23% women were obese. These data were considerably higher than in a WHO report published in 2005, with 23.2% of whole world population being overweight and 9.8% obese.

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is a reasonably common disease. About 20% of the adult population in western countries suffer from GORD. The symptoms of GORD include heartburn, globus sensation, epigastric pain, chest pain, dyspepsia, and dysphagia. The complications of GORD vary from reflux oesophagitis, erosive oesophagitis, Schatzki ring formation, Barrett’s oesophagus and adenocarcinoma. Medical management is the cornerstone of the initial management, following life style modifications. PPI therapy has shown remarkable long-term safety and is the most commonly prescribed medication.

Although it is still controversial as to whether obesity predisposes people to gastroesophageal reflux disease, with such a high prevalence of obesity, surgeons are required to treat patients categorised as obese with anti-reflux surgery. Since the availability of laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery (LARS), the threshold for offering surgery to patients with GORD has changed. LARS is extremely effective in controlling GORD symptoms. Indications for LARS include persistent or recurrent acid reflux after acid suppression therapy, increased oesophageal acid exposure, and mechanically defective lower oesophageal sphincter on manometry. Relative indications include non-compliant patients, patients on very high doses of medication and patients too young for lifetime medical management. Multiple studies showed that surgical approach is superior to medical management alone for refractory cases of the gastroesophageal reflux disease.

Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery (LARS) includes laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication (LNF), which is considered as gold standard surgery for GORD. It involves using a wrap of fundus of stomach, which is passed through a space created behind oesophagus, dividing short gastric arteries near the fundus to make it mobile enough to be used as a wrap, and stitching this to the other side making a 360° circle around the lower oesophagus. The long-term effectiveness of LNF is reported in several studies. Laparoscopic toupet (LT) is a 270° posterior wrap, which is commonly carried out in patients with symptoms of dysphagia to avoid exaggeration of postoperative dysphagia. Studies have shown it to be as effective as LNF. Other modifications involve 180° anterior and posterior wraps, and are all categorised as LARS.

It is unclear if LARS is as effective in obese patients as it is in non-obese patients. Moreover, it is not known if the surgery is more complex or difficult for obese patients. With ever increasing obesity rates and controversial results about the effect of obesity on the recurrence rates, we felt a need to clarify the impact of obesity on the success of anti-reflux surgery.

Methods

Protocol and registration

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) was used for reporting. The study was registered with International Prospective Registry for Systematic reviews (PROSPRO), registration number CRD42016050601.

Eligibility criteria

The PICO model was used to devise the search criteria, defined in detail in Table 1. We included studies which included data on both obese and non-obese patients. Specifically, we included patients who were obese (body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg/m²), with acid reflux disease, and who underwent LARS for relief of GORD (first-time surgery only). As a comparison group, we recorded the details of non-obese patients (BMI < 30 kg/m²) with acid reflux disease, who underwent LARS for relief of GORD. The outcomes of interest were recurrence (the primary outcome) and operative time (the secondary outcome). Both prospective and retrospective studies were included. Articles missing primary outcome, expert opinions, reviews, studies on patients undergoing LARS for the second or subsequent time, data on patients under 18 years, and conference proceedings that later resulted in full research were excluded.

Table 1 – PICOS, Patient, Intervention, Comparators, Outcome, Study Design. Incl = inclusion, excl = exclusion, WHO = world health organisation, BMI = body mass index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PICOS</th>
<th>Inclusion and Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Incl: Patient categorised as obese by WHO criteria (BMI &gt; 30), suffering from GERD. Excl: Patients under the age of 18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Incl: Patient categorised as non-obese by WHO criteria (BMI&lt;30), suffering from GERD. Excl: Patients under the age of 18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Primary outcome: Recurrence (symptomatic recurrence) Secondary outcome: Operative time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Incl: Randomized controlled trials, controlled trials (eg, nonrandomized, historical controls), Observational studies, Conference proceedings with sufficient data available were included (if became full article afterwards only full article is include to prevent duplication of data) No restriction of language or region was applied. Excl: Animal studies were excluded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Information sources

Search strategy was devised by researcher (Y.B) and the librarians (A.M & J.M). Two independent searches were undertaken in November 2016 on the bibliographic databases Ovid MEDLINE (1946 to November 2016); EMBASE (1980 to November 2016) and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) by researcher and librarians. All studies on obese patients with acid reflux disease were included. Studies involving obese patients who underwent laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery were included, and studies for the comparative group involving normal and overweight patients who underwent laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery were included. The primary outcome was defined as recurrence of reflux and the secondary outcome was operative time. Studies were not limited by study type in the search strategy.

Search strategy

Search strategy was developed for Ovid Medline and adapted for searching CENTRAL. In addition, the researchers translated the strategy for use in EMBASE. All strategies were structured using the PICO model and used a MeSH or EMTREE terms as appropriate, combined with keywords, to identify published studies about the effect of obesity on patients undergoing laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery. Subject headings and keywords relating to obesity; and gastroesophageal reflux; and laparoscopy, fundoplication, Nissen, Toupet or wrap; and recurrence, hiatal hernia or GORD were searched. Language, geographical and date restrictions were not applied. Study type limits were not applied. Additional studies were identified by reference searching.

Study selection

After duplicates removed, all the studies identified in the search were screened independently by two reviewers (YB and RM), using article titles and abstracts against predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. If there was any conflict about a study, it was resolved with consensus. Conference proceedings were included if they met the inclusion criteria and had the required information. The reviewers were not blinded regarding the authors or the institutions of the studies. In the case of missing data, corresponding authors were contacted by email.

Data extraction and items

Data were extracted by two independent researchers (YB and QU) using predetermined data extraction form. The initial part included general information about the article and assessed whether it contained the primary and secondary outcomes of interest. Studies containing at least the primary outcome (recurrence) were included. ‘Recurrence’ was defined as “recurrence of the reflux symptoms”. Operative time (secondary outcome) was measured in minutes.

Quality assessment and risk of bias

The quality of each individual study was assessed independently by two reviewers (YB and RM), using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale, which employs a star scoring system for the quality assessment of studies. A total of 9 stars can be awarded to a study. A study can be awarded a maximum of 4 for selection, 3 for outcome and maximum of 2 stars for comparability. Studies were categorised as low, moderate and high quality depending on the number of stars; 0–3 were low quality, 4–6 were medium quality and 7–9 were high quality.

Summary measures and synthesis of results

We calculated risk ratio and confidence interval (CI) for the primary outcome, while difference in means and CI was calculated for the secondary outcome using meta-analysis software Review Manager (RevMan) Version 5.3.26,27 (Copenhagen: The Nordic COCHRANE Collaboration, 2014). A random effects model was used which gives us a more conservative estimate of the effect of obesity on LARS. Studies which reported recurrence after objective assessment by investigations were analysed separately to have more critical view of effect of obesity on recurrence after LARS. Forest Plots and Funnel Plots were also created using this software. Data for recurrence were also analysed for Pearson Chi-Square using SPSS version 23 (IBM corporation USA licenced 1989, 2015).

Using the above-mentioned software, a pooled estimate of recurrence and operative time from all the included studies was calculated. Statistical heterogeneity among the studies was calculated using I² (values ranged between 0% and 100%, with values closer to 0% indicating less heterogeneity). I² describes the percentage of variation among studies caused by heterogeneity rather than by chance. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant, where appropriate.

Results

Study selection

The number of articles found through electronic search of Ovid Medline, EMBASE and COCHRANE library were 5191. Further 44 articles were found through other means, which included hand searching through the bibliography of relevant articles. The total after adding both was 5235. After duplicates were removed, the number of articles was 4282. Screening of these articles was done using title and abstract of the articles, removing another 4257, leaving 25 articles to be assessed with full text. The full text of 25 articles was studied in detail and 12 studies were included in our qualitative and quantitative analysis. Five studies28–32 who reported recurrence depending on objective assessment were analysed separately as well to have more accurate measurement of effect of obesity on recurrence after LARS. The studies which were excluded after reviewing full text included six26,27 (Copenhagen, 2014) which were missing the primary outcome or where the primary outcome could not be calculated from the available data. Two studies37,38 grouped the patients according to BMI, but the categorisation differed from ours, and therefore the data were not amenable to meta-analysis. One study39 categorised patients according to weight only, and data provided were not enough to calculate BMI. One article40 was expert opinion and contained no original data. Another divided patient according to results, and therefore...
data could not be extracted. One conference presentation later became a full publication which was included. One paper had only obese patients, so was excluded. See Fig. 1 for search summary.

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the studies included are detailed in Table 2. Four studies were from the USA, two from Europe, two Australia, two Asia and one each from Canada and Brazil. All twelve studies were available in the English Language. The number of patients in the studies ranged from 16 to 1000. Overall, 3346 patients underwent laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery for gastroesophageal reflux disease. A total of 2423 patients were in non-obese and 923 patients were in the obese group. Follow-up ranged from 12 months to 90 months. Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication (LNF) was the most favoured procedure, done in every study, followed by Laparoscopic Toupet (LT), which was done in four studies.

Seven studies defined recurrence as ‘recurrence of symptoms necessitating medication (PPI) use’, while the remaining five included ‘radiological and endoscopic investigation after symptom recurrence’ as part of their definition of recurrence. Eight of the studies were prospective while four were retrospective. This is summarised in Table 2.

Assessment of studies

Study quality was assessed using Newcastle–Ottawa Scale. All studies scored seven stars or more (of a possible 9 stars) on

---

**Fig. 1** – PRISMA flowchart, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. This summarize the selection of studies in meta-analysis.

---
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### Table 2 – Summary of all the studies included in systematic review and meta-analysis. Ob = Obese patients and Nob = non-obese patients in each study. NOS = Newcastle Ottawa scale, BMI = body mass index, LNF = Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication, LT = Laparoscopic Toupet, AF = Anterior fundoplication. Follow up is recorded in months, recurrence is number of patients with failure of LARS and operative time in minutes. * is number of stars according to Newcastle Ottawa scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data recorded</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patients n</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Definition of recurrence</th>
<th>Operative time</th>
<th>Effect of obesity on LARS</th>
<th>NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tekin K et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, Turkey</td>
<td>n = 1000</td>
<td>Nob = 868</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 684</td>
<td>M = 613</td>
<td>33.3 m</td>
<td>Nob = 17</td>
<td>Symptomatic than ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 48.05±21.20</td>
<td>Adverse effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser J et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, Australia</td>
<td>n = 194</td>
<td>Nob = 128</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 316</td>
<td>M = 117</td>
<td>38.4 m</td>
<td>Nob = 17</td>
<td>Symptomatic (heartburn) QOL</td>
<td>Nob = 66±9</td>
<td>No effect 7*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm JA et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, Australia</td>
<td>n = 481</td>
<td>Nob = 311</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 322</td>
<td>M = 278</td>
<td>90 m</td>
<td>Nob = 47</td>
<td>Symptomatic (heartburn) QOL</td>
<td>Nob = 75±7</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 J Gastrointestinal Surg</td>
<td>Prospective, Turkey</td>
<td>n = 505</td>
<td>Nob = 292</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>Nob = 24</td>
<td>Ba swallow, ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 115±42</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winslow ER et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, USA</td>
<td>n = 505</td>
<td>Nob = 292</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>Nob = 24</td>
<td>Ba swallow, ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 115±42</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez AR et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, Australia</td>
<td>n = 187</td>
<td>Nob = 152</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>37 m</td>
<td>Nob = 8</td>
<td>Symptomatic than ph or Ba swallow</td>
<td>Nob = 144±47</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng VV et al.</td>
<td>Retrospective, UK</td>
<td>n = 366</td>
<td>Nob = 292</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LNF = 292</td>
<td>M = 237</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>Nob = 8</td>
<td>Need for medication QOL</td>
<td>Nob = 81±50</td>
<td>No effect 7*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Ann R Coll Surg Engl</td>
<td>Prospective, USA</td>
<td>n = 145</td>
<td>Nob = 135</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>Nob = 24</td>
<td>Ba swallow, ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 115±42</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuibo K et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, Japan</td>
<td>n = 145</td>
<td>Nob = 135</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>Nob = 24</td>
<td>Ba swallow, ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 115±42</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S McNatt et al.</td>
<td>Prospective, USA</td>
<td>n = 74</td>
<td>Nob = 37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LNF = 51</td>
<td>M = 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>Nob = 24</td>
<td>Ba swallow, ph and endoscopy</td>
<td>Nob = 115±42</td>
<td>No effect 8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this scale, so were deemed to be high quality studies. They ranged between seven stars and nine stars. There was 100% agreement on quality of the studies between the two reviewers (YB and RM).

Results of studies

Eight of 12 studies reported that there was no statistically significant adverse effect of obesity on recurrence of LARS. Four suggested significant adverse effect of obesity on recurrence after LARS. Numbers of patients experiencing recurrence ranged from 1 to 23 for obese patients and 0 to 47 for non-obese patients. Studies exhibited a huge variation in operative time, ranging from mean (standard deviation, SD) 48.05(21.2) min to 161(5) min for non-obese and 55.9(2.3) min to 181.9(19.3) min for obese patients. Each individual study reported that operative times for obese patients were statistically longer than that of non-obese patients.

Synthesis of results

The total pooled number of patients in all the studies was 3346, specifically 923 obese patients and 2423 non-obese patients. Based on a random effect model, the overall risk ratio for recurrence after LARS in obese versus non-obese patients was 1.36 (95% CI 1.08–1.72) (Fig. 2), with non-obese patients having less recurrence (see Fig. 2). When studies which reported recurrence based on objective assessment were analysed separately, there were 1517 patients in non-obese group and 458 in obese group. Risk ratio was 1.53(95% CI 1.01–2.32) based on fixed effect model showing more clearly that there is a definitely an increased risk of recurrence of GORD after LARS in obese patients as compared to non-obese patients (Fig. 3).

There was minimal heterogeneity among the studies for recurrence ($I^2 = 0\%$). When included in the funnel plot (Fig. 4) most of the studies were very close to the overall result of the meta-analysis. Smaller studies are towards the bottom of the funnel plot while larger studies are towards the top of the inverted funnel. Using a random effect model, the mean difference in operative time between obese and non-obese patients was 13.94 min (95% CI 9.33–18.55). Two studies did not have secondary outcomes. There was significant heterogeneity among the studies with $I^2 = 96\%$. Figure 5 shows that obese patients had significantly longer operative times that non-obese patients. A funnel plot of the operative time shows larger studies towards the top of inverted funnel (Fig. 6).

Additional analysis

Additional analysis of recurrence in obese versus non-obese patients who underwent LARS, was done. A chi-square test showed that there was statistically significant relationship between obesity and recurrence ($p < 0.005$).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to be conducted on the effect of obesity on laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery (LARS) registered with PROSPERO and online since 1/1/2016 with registration number CRD42016050601. There are enormous difference of opinion on the effect of obesity on recurrence after LARS, varying from adverse effects to no effects on outcome being reported. While there were arguable results for recurrence among the studies, there was consensus between the studies indicating that

---

Fig. 2 – Forest Plot of the recurrence after Laparoscopic Antireflux surgery in Obese Vs Non-Obese patients. Risk Ratio of 1.36 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.08–1.72) with minimal heterogeneity $I^2 = 0\%$. Effect size $Z = 2.59$ which is significant ($p = 0.009$).
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surgery for obese patients is more challenging, as can be seen by the longer operative time for the obese patients. Perez et al.\textsuperscript{30} were the first to suggest that obesity adversely effects LARS. They were of the opinion that thoracic approach would bring better results, however their results showed that obesity negatively effects both the procedures. Later, multiple studies were done to examine the effect of obesity on LARS with conflicting results.

We divided the patients into obese and non-obese patients, using WHO criteria.\textsuperscript{49} Operating on obese patients is technically more difficult, chances of having perioperative complication are higher and the more risk is associated with surgical procedures in obese patients.\textsuperscript{50–53} Although LARS has proven benefits for patients with GORD, it is important to establish if there is long term relief of symptoms.

This meta-analysis determines that obesity increases the chance of recurrence of symptoms of GORD after LARS. Additionally, our results show that obesity is associated with longer operative time in laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery. It is previously shown by multiple studies that longer operative time is associated with increased infection rates and other complications in laparoscopic surgery.\textsuperscript{54,55}

Multiple factors are thought to be responsible in the pathophysiology of GORD in obese. Hiatal hernias are more
prevalent among the obese which could be cause of GORD. Obese patients have increased intra-abdominal pressure that displaces the lower oesophageal sphincter and increases the gastro-oesophageal gradient. And vagal abnormalities associated with obesity may cause a higher output of bile and pancreatic enzymes which aggravates problem for obese patients. And the risk of major complications for obese patients is higher than normal BMI patients following surgery. The findings of this meta-analysis are significant and in the sense that individual studies (mostly) do not show that there is increased risk of recurrence for obese patients but the collective pooled result of the meta-analysis clearly showed that there is statistically significant increase in recurrence for obese patients compared to non-obese patients. Studies demonstrate that there is marked improvement in GORD in obese patients after weight loss. Suggesting that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Obese Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Non-Obese Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Total (95% CI)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity: Tau² = 41.51; Chi² = 236.96, df = 9 (P &lt; 0.00001); P = 96%</th>
<th>Test for overall effect: Z = 5.93 (P &lt; 0.00001)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arvan 2006</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13.3% 5.90 [5.17, 6.63]</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>2338</td>
<td>100.0% 13.94 [9.33, 18.55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm JA 2009</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>13.2% 11.00 [9.57, 12.43]</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.1% 8.00 [5.79, 21.79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser 2001</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>12.8% 7.00 [4.52, 9.48]</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7.6% 16.80 [5.82, 27.78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanji 2013</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7.8% 18.90 [6.82, 27.78]</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.1% 8.00 [5.79, 21.79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez AR 2001</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>6.1% 8.00 [5.79, 21.79]</td>
<td>183.9</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>12.9% 22.90 [20.62, 25.18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.McNab 2000</td>
<td>183.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.9% 22.90 [20.62, 25.18]</td>
<td>61.33</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>11.5% 13.28 [8.22, 18.34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tekin 2012</td>
<td>61.33</td>
<td>28.47</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>11.5% 13.28 [8.22, 18.34]</td>
<td>191.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.6% 26.50 [14.07, 42.83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuboi 2009</td>
<td>191.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>152.8</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.6% 26.50 [14.07, 42.83]</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7.8% 12.00 [1.42, 22.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viven NG 2007</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7.8% 12.00 [1.42, 22.56]</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.0% 22.00 [13.17, 30.83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winslow 2003</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>9.0% 22.00 [13.17, 30.83]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9.0% 22.00 [13.17, 30.83]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 — Forest Plot of the operative times in Laparoscopic Antireflux surgery for Obese Vs Non-Obese patients. Mean Difference of 13.94 (95% confidence interval (CI) 9.33–18.55) with severe heterogeneity I² = 96%. Effect size Z = 5.93 which is significant (p < 0.00005).

Fig. 6 — Funnel plot showing results of most of the studies for operative time are close to the overall result showing similar results among studies.
weight reduction should be suggested to patients for improvement in symptoms and it will also reduce the chances of recurrence of symptoms in patients as shown by our meta-analysis. Another alternative could be some bariatric procedures having additional benefit of diminishing symptoms of GORD.\(^6^0,^6^1\)

One might argue that meta-analysis should be conducted for randomised controlled trials, however due to lack of randomised studies in many areas the meta-analysis is being conducted for non-randomised studies as well and studies have shown that they generally produce estimates of effect similar to those from meta-analyses bases on randomized controlled trials. This is identified by many researchers and led to formation of MOOSE guidelines, recognising that observational studies can be used for meta-analysis.\(^6^2\)

### Summary of evidence

Individual studies on this topic failed to point to a clear conclusion regarding the effects of obesity on LARS, and no meta-analysis had previously been done. In this meta-analysis, data from 12 studies conducted between 2001 and 2013 was pooled and analysed.

The methodological quality of the studies included in this meta-analysis was good to excellent. The surgical techniques were standardised in all the studies and were explained in detail. Recurrence in obese (BMI > 30) vs non-obese (BMI < 30) patients using random effect model, showed a relative risk of 1.36 (95% CI 1.08–1.72, \(p < 0.05\)) for obese patients. Three articles included in the meta-analysis are conference papers so we leave to readers to decide and interpret results keeping that in mind.

We further analysed the studies to rectify this weakness and included studies which objectively assessed the recurrence and full published articles (See Table 3) and the results showed relative risk of 1.53 (95% CI 1.01–2.32, \(p = 0.05\)). This shows that there is 53% more risk of recurrence for obese patients as compared to non-obese patients. The whole of the diamond of forest plot for recurrence favours non-obese with sides (95% confidence interval) on the same side. If we look at the forest plot for recurrence, at first glance, it is obvious that all the studies overlap and there are no outlier results, showing homogenous results in studies. So, whether the recurrence is defined as symptom recurrence or actual acid reflux proven by investigations, in both cases statistically significant, more recurrence was present in obese as compared to non-obese patients.

In the meta-analysis, we also analysed the operative time for the LARS for obese vs non-obese patients. Results showed that obese patients require technically more difficult surgery compared to non-obese patients. This can be easily seen from the mean difference in operative time of 13.94 min between the two groups. With a significantly longer operative time for the obese group. A similar outcome was seen in laparoscopic colorectal surgery in obese and non-obese patients were seen in a meta-analysis by Zhou et al.\(^6^3\) They concluded that obesity is associated with increased conversion rates, operating time and post-operative morbidity in laparoscopic colorectal surgery. This can be explained with the fact that more visceral fat and poorly defined tissue planes lead to more difficult surgery. Similar results were found in our study.

---

**Note:** Please cite this article in press as: Bashir Y, et al., Systematic review and meta-analysis on the effect of obesity on recurrence after laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery, The Surgeon (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2018.05.001
Although the definition of operative time was not defined by the studies, that led to severe heterogeneity of 96% in the results, all the studies showed longer operative times for the obese vs non-obese patients indicating that surgery in obese patients is technically more challenging.

In conclusion, surgeons should be cautious about offering laparoscopic anti-reflux surgery to obese patients. They should always assess that the severity of the disease is worth taking the risks of the surgery for obese patients and benefits should always overweight the risks. And if it is offered to obese patients, they should be explained and patient should understand the additional risk of recurrence and longer operative times compared to non-obese patients.

Extensive and exhaustive literature search was done as search strategy was developed with help of librarians. The sensitivity of the search strategy was very high and specificity low which was intentional in order to capture all the available studies. The pooling of the data using predefined data extraction forms and then statistical analysis, showed very low heterogeneity for recurrence indicating that the results are homogenous among the studies. We also used the chi-square test to show that the difference in recurrence between the two groups is statistically significant. It shows a statistically significant relationship between obesity and recurrence after LARS.

Limitations

In this meta-analysis, operative time of surgery was analysed. It was found that there was huge heterogeneity between the studies. This was because operative time was never explained in detail. It might be the total time spent in the theatre from intubation to extubation, or the actual time of surgery. But as explained before, regardless of this, all the studies showed statistically longer operative times for obese patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the meta-analysis demonstrates that there are significantly increased recurrence rates in obese patients who undergo LARS as compared to non-obese patients, therefore we recommend that patients be informed of this higher likelihood for recurrence as part of the medical and surgical workup. In addition to higher recurrence rates, operative times for obese patients are higher than for non-obese patients, proving that as a procedure, LARS is more demanding in this patient population.
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