ABSTRACT: People diagnosed with severe mental illness (SMI) are at greater risk of HIV than the general population. However, little attention has been given to how best to reduce sexual risk taking in this group. The aim of the review was to evaluate the effectiveness of behavioural interventions to promote sexual safety behaviour in people diagnosed with SMI. A comprehensive search of relevant databases was undertaken, and studies were included if they were randomized, controlled trials; behavioural intervention related to sexual behaviour; included adults diagnosed with SMI; and if a behavioural outcome was reported. The Cochrane Assessment of Bias Tool was used. Of the initial 515 papers identified, 11 trials were included for quality assessment and data extraction. The studies were heterogeneous in content and dose of intervention, as well as outcome measure and follow-up periods, and all had some risk of bias. Four of the studies demonstrated significant improvement in safer sexual behaviour at follow up, but this effect diminished over time. The effect sizes were extremely variable. There is emerging evidence to suggest that a behavioural intervention has the potential to reduce sexual risks in people diagnosed with SMI. However, further high-quality research is needed in this area.
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INTRODUCTION

There has been conventional wisdom among mental health-care professionals that people diagnosed with severe mental illness (SMI) are not sexually active, and it remains a subject that is rarely discussed with service users (Hughes & Gray 2009; McCann 2010; Quinn et al. 2011). However, a review of studies of HIV risk behaviours (Carey et al. 1997) reported that 54–74% of people diagnosed with SMI had been sexually active in the preceding year. In addition, some were engaging in high-risk behaviours, such as trading sex, having multiple partners, having partners known to be intravenous drug users, and inconsistent condom use. The nature of the link between serious mental illness and high-risk sexual behaviour is likely to be complex and influenced by unstable psychiatric symptoms (such as hypersexuality), comorbid drug and alcohol problems (Meade & Sikkema 2007), and childhood and adulthood sexual abuse and exploitation (Meade et al. 2009; Wilson & Widom 2009). Prevalence studies indicate that people diagnosed with SMI might be at higher risk of sexually-transmitted infections (STI). Campos et al. (2008) reviewed HIV prevalence studies and found consistent evidence that people diagnosed with SMI were disproportionately affected by HIV. Prevalence rates in the USA ranged from 1.7% to 5% compared with general population infection, which was approximately
0.3–0.4%. The range of rates reflects the differences in the demographics of the samples; for example, higher rates in younger homeless samples. Comorbidity between HIV and a serious mental illness, such as schizophrenia, poses particular challenges for both users and services; in particular, engagement with services and treatment adherence, as well as the psychiatric and neurological consequences compounding a pre-existing mental health problem (Angelino & Treisman 2008). There is currently no cure for HIV infection; therefore, preventative measures are key in reducing the illness burden of HIV and other sexually-transmitted diseases in this group. Research has shown that improving sexual health knowledge alone is insufficient to bring about behavioural change. Behavioural interventions that address knowledge, skills, confidence, motivation, and behaviour have been shown to be more effective (Shield et al. 2005). Mental health nurses are in a prime position to provide this type of intervention as part of overall holistic care (Quinn et al. 2013).

Rationale
The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of psychosocial interventions targeting sexual safety behaviour (e.g. increased condom use, reduced unprotected sex) for people diagnosed with SMI in order to inform evidence-based mental health practice.

METHODS
Studies were included in the review according to the following PICO (Participant, Intervention, Comparator and Outcome) criteria (http://www.prisma-statement.org).

Participants
Participants included adults of any age diagnosed with SMI, who were treated in any psychiatric setting (including those who were homeless, and those with a dual diagnosis of alcohol/substance misuse). Studies of patients who only had a non-psychotic illness (e.g. depression) were excluded. SMI is commonly used in the literature, but is often ill-defined. For the purpose of this review, we defined patients with serious mental illness pragmatically, as those with a chronic, relapsing psychiatric disorder that requires treatment in secondary or specialist mental health setting. Therefore, we included those studies where the participants had a diagnosed psychiatric illness, such as schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, or major depression, and who were in receipt of secondary mental health care. We excluded any study where participants were in primary care or other non-psychiatric health-care settings.

Interventions
We were interested in any type of non-pharmacological intervention aimed at promoting sexual safety-taking behaviours delivered in any psychiatric setting. The interventions of interest could include (but were not limited to) multisession psychosocial interventions, providing skills training in communication and assertiveness, motivational interviewing, and/or cognitive behavioural therapy in an attempt to reduce sexual risk taking behaviour; and standard education interventions aimed at improving knowledge to reduce sexual risk taking or minimal interventions, for example, the provision of written information about STI and risk-taking behaviour.

Comparator
Any comparison intervention or treatment as usual was used.

Outcome
Any outcome relating to sexual behaviour change was used. This could be measured objectively; for example, reduced incidence of STI; or subjectively, for example, self-reporting of measures, such as abstinence, reduced number of sexual partners, increased attendance at sexual health clinics, episodes of unprotected anal, oral, or vaginal sex, increased use of condoms, or reduced incidence of intercourse while intoxicated.

Study design
All relevant randomized, controlled trials (with or without blinding) were included. There was no restriction on publication date; no non-English language studies were identified. Studies to be included had to report findings for a control or comparison group; however, they were not excluded on the basis of the nature of the control.

Search methods for identification of studies
A systematic search of the following databases was undertaken from inception to May 2013: Cochrane, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsychINFO, CINAHL, and BNI. No limits were placed on the search. The search terms used centred on three themes:

- Safe sex OR unsafe sex OR sexual risk taking OR risk behaviour OR STI OR HIV OR chlamydia OR gonorrhoea OR hepatitis OR syphilis OR acquired immunodeficiency syndrome OR condoms OR barrier contraception AND
• Behavioural therapy OR cognitive therapy OR psychosocial intervention OR skills training OR sex education OR motivational interviewing AND
• Severe/serious/chronic mental illness OR schizophrenia OR bipolar OR mania OR psychosis OR schizoaffective.

A total of 515 studies were identified on database searching. Duplicates were removed using EndNote bibliographic software. The remaining abstracts were screened against the inclusion criteria set out above by one researcher, and those deemed irrelevant were excluded at this stage. Full texts for those identified as potentially relevant were then obtained and screened by two reviewers (EH and EM) independently, before meeting to agree which studies should be included in the review. Reasons for exclusion at this stage included lack of control group, participants without SMI, and the outcome measure being one of knowledge rather than behaviour. Disagreements were handled by discussion between the reviewers and with a third reviewer, as needed, resulting in a final total of included studies (see Fig. 1). The authors of relevant papers were contacted for information about ongoing research relevant to the review or unpublished data in the area to minimize the risk of selective reporting. Citation searching for studies meeting the inclusion criteria was conducted, and the reference list of each included study was visually scanned to look for further relevant papers. A data extraction tool was developed and piloted on two papers by two separate reviewers. For each included study, two independent researchers undertook data extraction using a standard form, and then met to discuss and agree on the content.

Data collection and analysis

Data were extracted under the following headings: setting (type of service, geographical location), participant characteristics (diagnosis, gender mix, sample size), attrition rate (percentage lost to follow up), type of intervention (group, type of intervention), dose (length and frequency), control or comparator arm, and sexual behaviour outcomes for groups at follow up. Results for all behavioural outcomes from included studies are reported. Mean values are reported where possible, and P-values are given for all significant behavioural outcomes (Table 1).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The methodological quality of the trials was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool (Higgins 2008). All studies were included in the review,
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Attrition</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Behavioural outcomes</th>
<th>Significant difference</th>
<th>Effect size (Cohen's d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkman et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Day programme at homeless shelter in New York</td>
<td>92 homeless men (50 in experimental (SexG) and 42 in control)</td>
<td>4 lost to follow up, 6% of experimental and 9% control (of sexually active)</td>
<td>SexG 'informed by CBT and social skills training. Focus on unprotected sex with casual partners</td>
<td>Total 6 hours; 6 x 1 hour sessions; 2 per day 88% attended 24 sessions</td>
<td>2-hour standard HIV educational session 100% attendance</td>
<td>Follow up 6 months VEE†. In sexually active: SexG 1.64 (SD 4.06) vs control 3.5 (SD 5.0). (P = 0.17)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkman et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Psychiatric outpatient clinics in New York</td>
<td>149 men with psychosis, sexually active in past year</td>
<td>135 included at 6 months, and 127 at 12 months</td>
<td>CBT + social skills + peer advocacy. Focus on unprotected sex with casual partners</td>
<td>10 x 1 hour + 1 hour boosters at 3, 6, and 9 months. Average attendance: 80%</td>
<td>Social skills money management (same dose). Average attendance: 78%</td>
<td>VEE 12 months: experimental 0.29 (SD 0.90) vs control 0.57 (SD 2) (P = 0.270)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carey et al. (2004a)</td>
<td>Psychiatric outpatient clinic in Syracuse</td>
<td>221 female and 187 male outpatients, sexually active in preceding year, 70% major mood disorder, 30% schizophrenia/affective. Drug and/or alcohol in past year</td>
<td>67% of participants completed HIV course. Same for SUR</td>
<td>Based on health Behavioural model to reduce sexual risk behaviour (HIV) vs SUR vs standard care</td>
<td>10 sessions over 5 weeks</td>
<td>1. SUR 2. Standard care</td>
<td>6-month follow up. Unprotected vaginal mean episodes: HIV group 7.2 (SD 14.5) SUR 8.8 (SD 20.2) group (P &lt; 0.001) standard care 8 (SD 17.9) (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Psychiatric facilities in New York City</td>
<td>79 women from residential facilities and day programmes; psychiatric or major affective disorder, sexually active in preceding 3 months</td>
<td>Lost to follow up 9 months; 4/30 in intervention &amp; 6/40 in control</td>
<td>HIV prevention group 'talk show' format. Based on social cognitive theory; emphasis on assertive skills</td>
<td>10 x 50 min sessions. 2 per week for 5 weeks. 89.5% attended 25 sessions</td>
<td>Money management course (same dose): 72.5% attended 25 sessions</td>
<td>VEE at 3 and 6 months. At 6 months, improvement: HIV 12.23 (SD 28.3), money management 11.58 (SD 20.99) (P = 0.91). Stronger trend if attended 24 sessions</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalichman et al. (1995)</td>
<td>Community mental health centres in Milwaukee</td>
<td>27 men and 25 women psychiatric outpatients. No restrictions on study entry. 73% sexually active in preceding year, and 56% reported sexual risk behaviour</td>
<td>Number in follow-up analysis not reported</td>
<td>CBT and skills training focus on condom skills, assertive skills and personalized goals</td>
<td>4 x 90 min sessions weekly delivered in small same-sex groups 44/52 attended 22 sessions</td>
<td>4-week waiting list control</td>
<td>Mean difference of count of unprotected vaginal sex at 1 month (pre- to post-test) 1.75 (SD 2.96) P &lt; 0.05 No difference at 2 months</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katz et al. (1990)</td>
<td>Drop-in centre for psychiatric outpatients, California</td>
<td>37 participants recruited; male-to-female ratio 2:1 Mostly schizophrenia and bipolar, some with substance misuse. Some engaging in high-risk HIV behaviours</td>
<td>30/57 not analysed, as they did not attend whole course or participate in all the follow-up data collection</td>
<td>Education, problem solving and 'refusal skills' (assurance and self-efficacy training</td>
<td>Total 8 hours over 4 consecutive days</td>
<td>Care as usual</td>
<td>Measure was performance on a behavioural assessment (role-play) was scored, and average scores compared to control. Immediately post-intervention, there was a significant difference, but at 2 weeks experimental mean 4.54 (SD 1.72) and control 3.6 (SD 1.50) was not significant</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Mental health centres, Milwaukee</td>
<td>49 male and 55 female psychiatric outpatients all had engaged in risky sexual behaviour in the past year, 58% had affective disorder</td>
<td>2 intervention groups. First were CBT + skills training, and second group same as 1, but also included peer advocacy. Single-sex groups 7 × 90 min for interventions Attendance average: 5.6 (CBT) &amp; 5.9 (CBT + advocacy)</td>
<td>Single 60 min AIDS risk-reduction educational session 100% attendance 3-month follow up. Advocacy group had fewer sexual partners than CBT alone, and fewer incidents of unprotected sex Control 0.7 CBT 0.9, and CBT + advocacy 0.9 (P &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otto-Salaj et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Community mental health centre in Milwaukee</td>
<td>87 men and 102 women with serious mental illness in outpatient mental health programmes, with at least one HIV risk behaviour in preceding year</td>
<td>7 sessions (1–2 hours) 2 × weekly with booster sessions at 1 and 2 months</td>
<td>Health-promotion course including skills training (unrelated to HIV) (same dose) 3-, 6-, 9-, and 12-month follow up. No significant change in frequency of condom use by men. Increase in condom use by women in intervention at 3/12 intervention 2.0 (SD 1.1) vs control 1.3 (SD 0.52) (P &lt; 0.02); 6/12: 2.76 (SD 0.89) vs 1.16 (0.47) (P &lt; 0.01); and 9/12 2.83 (SD 1.02) vs 1.1 (0.37) (P &lt; 0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenberg et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Psychiatric clinics in Baltimore</td>
<td>236 participants with co-occurring mental illness (schizophrenia, schizoaffective or major affective disorder) and substance misuse</td>
<td>Total 192/266 lost to follow up. No difference across groups in attrition Risk-reduction counselling + motivation as part of STIRR-integrated mental/sexual health service 1 hour × 3 for the entire STIRR intervention (which included testing, immunisation, counselling, and referral)</td>
<td>Enhanced treatment as usual (plus education and referral) 6-month follow up. Used AIDS Risk Inventory score. STIRR (ANOVA) STIRR vs control −0.4, f = 0.01, P = 0.44. No significant behavioural improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sussar et al. (1998b)</td>
<td>Homeless shelter in New York</td>
<td>97 homeless men with mental illness. 59 were sexually active in preceding 6 months (33 in intervention and 26 in control). Only these included in main analysis</td>
<td>All followed up at 6 months, and 56/59 followed up at 18 months Based on CBT and social skills training. Focus on reducing unprotected episodes. SexG 15 sessions (? length), 2 days a week for 8 weeks 61% attended 2/12 sessions</td>
<td>Mean VEE scores at 6- and 18-month follow up. At 6/12: SexG 1.0, control 3.1 (P &lt; 0.01) with less ‘high-risk’ behaviour in SexG (P &lt; 0.01). No significant difference by 18 months SD not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinhardt et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Psychiatric outpatients in Syracuse</td>
<td>20 female outpatients; 50% schizophrenia, 30% bipolar, and 20% depression. Sexually active in preceding 2 months. 11 control and 9 in intervention</td>
<td>No reported attention Based on IMB skills model &amp; assertive skills training based on social cognitive theory 10 × 75 minute sessions daily. All attended 26 sessions (mean 8.2)</td>
<td>Waiting list control 2- and 4-month follow up. Increased frequency of protected sex compared to controls intervention 1.4 (SD 1.5) vs control 1.0 (SD 0.5) (P &lt; 0.01) at 2/12, but reduced effect at 4/12 (P &lt; 0.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vaginal episodes equivalent (VEE) is a score derived from sexual behaviour engaged in over past month, each type of behaviour is graded according to risk of HIV infection. CBT, cognitive behavioural therapy; IMB, information-motivation-behaviour model of health behaviour change; SD, standard deviation; STIRR, screening, testing, immunization intervention focusing on blood-borne viruses; SUR, substance use reduction.
regardless of quality. However, in the discussion of the results, more weight was given to the studies of stronger
design.

RESULTS

Initial searching yielded 515 references. After removal of duplicates and screening of titles and abstracts, full papers for 45 studies were obtained. Of these, a total of 11 studies were identified, which satisfied our inclusion criteria for data extraction and assessment of risk of bias. These were all randomized, controlled trials, and all undertaken in the USA between 1995 and 2013. Due to variations in types of outcome measures, timing of follow-up data collection, and the heterogeneous nature of both intervention and participants (gender, diagnostic mix, and type of service setting) a meta-analysis could not be performed.

Risk of bias

A summary of the risk of bias assessment is shown in Figure 2. All studies were judged by the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool to be of high risk of bias in at least one domain, as all used self-reported measures of behaviour. However this seems unavoidable, given the nature of the outcome being reported. Many studies were rated ‘amber’, as there was insufficient detail in the reporting of the methods section regarding areas of potential bias, such as concealment and allocation. Furthermore, several studies had high attrition rates (median drop-out rates = 8%, range = 0–27%), and only three studies used an intention-to-treat analysis. Furthermore, some of the studies had small samples and might have been underpowered to detect significant differences between groups.

Outcome measures

The type of outcome measures used for gathering data for sexual risk behaviour was variable across studies. All relied on self-report with no objective indicator of sexual risk behaviour (e.g. incidence of STI); however, Sohler et al. (2000) found that self-report is reasonably reliable in homeless men diagnosed with SMI. The most commonly reported outcome was the Vaginal Episodes Equivalent (VEE) sexual risk index (Susser et al. 1998a), which calculates a risk score based on the type of sexual risk behaviour that a person had engaged in over a specified time period, with unprotected anal sex as the most risky for HIV infection. This has been validated as a reliable index of sexual risk (Susser et al. 1998a). Other studies in this review used simple counting of incidents of unprotected sex and the use of condoms, and calculated a total score, which was used for analysis (see Table 1).

Interventions

Almost all the trials (10/11) were composed of group psychosocial interventions. The delivery of the group interventions varied in intensity, frequency, and content, but did have unifying characteristics: (i) knowledge and educational interventions to increase awareness of sexual health risks, HIV infection, and how to prevent infection; (ii) social skills development focusing on assertiveness and safer sex negotiation; (iii) behavioural skills, such as how to use a condom; and (iv) active participation, such as role-play, working through scenarios, and watching videos.

In addition, the dose of the interventions varied widely, ranging from three brief sessions (Rosenberg et al. 2010) through to 15 sessions (Susser et al. 1998b).
Effectiveness of interventions

Seven of the 11 studies demonstrated statistically-significant improvements in sexual risk behaviour outcomes immediately upon completion of the intervention. (Carey et al. 2004a; Kalichman et al. 1995; Katz et al. 1996; Susser et al. 1998b; Weinhardt et al. 1998) (see Table 1). These outcomes included increased use of condoms, less sexual partners, and less unprotected sexual encounters. However, medium- and long-term differences were harder to detect, with only four studies demonstrating significant changes to sexual behaviour measured beyond 1-month post-intervention (Carey et al. 2004a; Gordon et al. 1999; Otto-Salaj et al. 2001; Susser et al. 1998b), and behaviour change was not maintained over the longer term (beyond 6 months) in any study.

Intervention themes

Motivational enhancement

Two studies (Carey et al. 2004a; Weinhardt et al. 1997) incorporated motivational-enhancement approaches within their group intervention, and both showed a significant improvement in sexual behaviour. Motivational interviewing (MI) (Miller & Rollnick 2009) addresses readiness to change by looking at importance (seeing change as important right now, and that benefits outweigh costs), and also in terms of confidence (self-efficacy). One trial (Weinhardt et al. 1997) incorporated motivational approaches, as well as assertiveness, and will be discussed in the next section. The same research team subsequently developed a larger trial, which is described next (Carey et al. 2004a). They adopted the principles of MI, such as empathy and unconditional positive regard, as well as specific exercises to help develop motivation to adopt safer sexual practices, such as the pros and cons of condom use. The randomized trial had three arms: HIV-focused intervention; substance-use intervention, and standard care. They recruited participants with serious mental illness (which they defined as major mood or thought disorder) from mental-health care services in Syracuse (USA), who screened positive for recent sexual risk behaviour and substance use. The HIV-focused and substance-use interventions both consisted of twice-weekly, single gender groups over 5 weeks. The participants completed baseline measures of knowledge, decisional balance scale (motivation), behavioural intentions, and behavioural skills (via video role play assessment). Participants were followed up 1–2 weeks post-intervention, and then at 3 months and 6 months. Carey et al. (2004a) found there were significantly less mean episodes of unprotected vaginal sex in the HIV group (P < 0.001) compared to substance use or standard care (P < 0.004), and significantly fewer casual partners (P < 0.0001) in the HIV group over time. However, despite these behavioural changes, the motivation scores were not significantly different between groups. Therefore, behaviour change had occurred without a noticeable shift in motivation. Out of a total sample of people who fulfilled the inclusion criteria, 41% agreed to participate in the programme. This left 59% who were identified as engaging in high-risk sexual behaviour and using substances, but who declined to participate.

Promoting assertiveness

Three studies (Otto-Salaj et al. 2001; Weinhardt et al. 1997) focused on developing assertiveness in sexual relationships, as it is a common social deficit in people (particularly women diagnosed with SMI) (Collins et al. 2001; Weinhardt et al. 1998) also focused on assertiveness in the women-only trial ‘Ourselves, Our Bodies’, but failed to find a significant reduction in sexual behaviour, as measured by the Vaginal Episodes Equivalent (VEE) index (Susser et al. 1998a). In a pilot trial, Weinhardt et al. (1997, 1998) delivered an intervention based on the development of assertiveness and the art of negotiating safer sexual practices. They recruited 20 women diagnosed with SMI from a psychiatric outpatient service, who were then randomized to receive either the HIV intervention or waiting list control. The intervention was a 10-session programme, comprising of three sessions of education and building motivation, and then seven sessions focusing on assertiveness skills development and application in real-life situations. They reported that there was a significant increase in (self-reported) protected sex (i.e. use of condoms) after 2 months (P > 0.01), but this was diminished by 4 months (P = 0.9). Otto-Salaj et al. (2001) recruited people with a diagnosis of serious mental illness with at least one HIV infection risk factor in the previous year to be part of either a HIV intervention group or a health-promotion group (without sexual health content). The intervention and control were matched on time and attention. There were seven sessions (1–2 hours) delivered twice weekly, with booster sessions added at 1 and 2 months’ post-intervention. There were no significant differences in sexual behaviour between groups among the male participants, but the female participants in the HIV group showed significant condom use frequency at 3 months’ (P = 0.02), 6 months’ (P = 0.01), and 9 months’ (P = 0.04) follow up, but not at 12 months’ follow up.

Peer advocacy

Two studies added peer advocacy (Berkman et al. 2007; Kelly et al. 1997) to their respective intervention...
programmes. Their theoretical justification was that positive sexual health messages are more internalized and acted upon if the person becomes an agent for promoting healthier choices for others. Kelly et al. (1997) sought to investigate the effects of adding peer advocacy to a HIV group intervention, which included the use of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) techniques and social skills training. The analysis demonstrated a significant difference in favour of the group with the additional peer advocacy component at 3 months’ post-intervention. Berkman et al. (2007) added peer advocacy to a 10-session HIV-prevention group programme for outpatients with serious mental illness (including regardless of whether sexually active or not). This was adapted from a previously-successful programme delivered to homeless males with serious mental illness (Susser et al. 1998b). The outcome measure was the VEE score. The comparison group was a time- and attention-matched money management and social skills training intervention. The intervention also included booster sessions at 3, 6, and 9 months’ post-intervention (Susser et al. 1999b). However, Berkman et al.’s (2007) study failed to find significant differences in sexual risk behaviours, as measured by the VEE score at the 6- and 12-month time points, and between those who had HIV prevention and peer advocacy compared with the comparison. When the subgroup of sexually-active participants was analysed, there was a strong effect size in this group for the HIV-reduction intervention, but this failed to reach significance at the 6- and 12-month time points.

**Individual intervention**

Most of the studies identified were group interventions. Only one study out of 11 evaluated an individually-delivered intervention. It was debated whether this should be included in the final set of papers, as promoting safer sexual behaviour was only a small component of the whole intervention. However, as it was the only individual intervention, the decision was made by the review team to include. Rosenberg et al. (2010) conducted a trial of a new service, which comprised screening, testing, and immunization focused on blood-borne viruses (known as STIRR). This was targeted specifically at people with SMI who also had comorbid substance misuse problems because they are considered a high-risk group for blood-borne virus infection (Rosenberg et al. 2001). The STIRR intervention was delivered in addition to routine mental health care. It was composed of three 1:1 sessions over 6 months, and this mostly focused on clinical interventions (e.g. testing for blood-borne viruses and offering hepatitis B immunization), but also included discussions aimed at increasing awareness of risks and promoting behaviour change in relation to blood-borne viruses. Despite showing high recruitment and retention, as well as uptake of testing and vaccination in STIRR (compared with treatment as usual), there was no significant difference in sexual behaviour outcomes. This might be because of the brevity of the intervention.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of the systematic review was to establish the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions focused on the sexual behaviour of people with SMI in increasing sexual safety behaviours. The search revealed limited published work in this area. Eleven randomized, controlled trials of weak-to-moderate quality were identified, which fulfilled the inclusion criteria. All had been conducted in the USA between 1995 and 2013, and generated by three research centres. The trials were heterogeneous, both in participant characteristics and type of intervention, including content, dose, setting outcome measures, and follow-up time points; therefore, a meta-analysis was not possible. Given that the studies are potentially biased and heterogeneous, the findings from the studies must be viewed with some caution. Overall, seven of 11 studies showed some statistically-significant impact on sexual behaviour outcomes immediately after completion, compared with control or comparator, and only four trials showed significant differences at follow up. Where follow-up periods were greater than 6 months’ post-test, the significance differences disappeared. In addition, effect sizes were calculated using statistics reported in the papers (where possible), and these were extremely variable (Table 1).

The results should be interpreted in the context of common methodological shortcomings, namely small sample sizes, sample attrition, and possible bias from allocation and lack of blinding. However, it is promising that even where statistically-significant results were not found, there was an overall trend in the direction of the reduction of unsafe sexual behaviour and an increase in condom use. This is clinically important, as any preventative behaviour reduces the risk of infection. Trials in this review also demonstrated that people with SMI are able to willingly engage in these types of interventions, and make some changes to their behaviour as a result. However, not all those eligible for the trials agreed to participate. For example, in one study (Carey et al. 2004a), only 41% of those who screened positive for the trial agreed to participate. This then poses the question of how to address sexual behaviour for those who are perhaps less motivated to volunteer for this type of...
intervention. Reassuringly, those trials, which looked at the effect of the intervention on people who were not sexually active at baseline, showed that attending the intervention did not increase their sexual risk-taking behaviour (Susser et al. 1998b). Some of the studies targeted people with at least one recent sexual risk factor, and this seemed to demonstrate the most change in sexual behaviour at follow up. It was interesting to note that two demographic characteristics seemed to influence outcomes in some of the studies: gender and type of mental illness. In two trials (Katz et al. 1996; Weinhardt et al. 1997), with both male and female participants, the participants’ data could be analysed by gender. The results of those trials found that that women were more likely to reduce sexual risk taking following participation in the interventions compared to men. One possibility is that the group format suited women service users better, and there is a possibility that men might benefit from individually-delivered sessions. Gender differences in response to psychosocial interventions should be further explored. Carey et al. (2004b) compared outcomes from those with a psychotic (schizophrenia, schizoaffective) disorders to those with a non-psychotic disorder (mood disorders), and found that those with a mood disorder were more likely to benefit from a specific group intervention than those who had psychotic disorders. The intervention needs to be adapted to accommodate some of the specific difficulties and barriers that people with SMI might face in considering health behaviour change, which include the cognitive and affective effects of antipsychotic medication, negative symptoms, low self-esteem and stigma, and cognitive deficits. These deficits might impede the ability to digest new information, to plan ahead, reflect on behaviour and consequences, and translate learning from role-play into real-life situations (Bellack & DiClemente 1999). Other psychosocial interventions using MI in people with SMI have shown equivocal effects in reducing substance misuse (Barrowclough et al. 2010) and adherence to medication (Gray et al. 2006). MI seems to be most effective when there is a more immediate negative consequence to a specific behaviour. For example, in the MIDAS trial (Barrowclough et al. 2010), people with SMI who drank alcohol responded better to MI approaches. Bingeing on alcohol results in immediate unpleasant consequences, such as a hangover, whereas the negative effects of other drugs, such as cannabis, are more subtle and long term (e.g. risk of cancer, psychotic breakdown). Therefore, individuals with sexual risk behaviour might be less responsive to motivational approaches, as the negative consequences of unprotected sex (the realization of infection with an STI or HIV) might take weeks, months, or even years after the event.

Follow-up times were relatively short in the main, with few trials assessing impact beyond 6 months. One study with homeless males (Susser et al. 1998b) had the longest follow up at 18 months post-intervention, but failed to find any significant differences between groups beyond 6 months. How to maintain benefits over the long term (i.e. longer than 12 months) needs further evaluation. One possibility might be to develop a greater awareness of sexual risks and prevention within the mental health workforce, so that these questions become part of routine screening, assessment, and if necessary, targeted within the overall care plan. Some studies included booster sessions (Berkman et al. 2007; Kelly et al. 1997), but neither of these studies provided significant results. However, the effect of booster sessions should be investigated further in future trials.

LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW

There are some limitations to this review. Only published articles were included, and these were English-language only. This has the potential to exclude studies published in non-English-speaking countries. In addition, we did not search grey literature and unpublished literature, so might miss work because of this. We did contact as many authors as possible to check if there were any ongoing studies. In addition, the search was originally undertaken in 2011, but was repeated in May 2013 to check for new publications, but none were found.

IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE NURSING RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

Despite the mixed findings of the review, it is clear that people diagnosed with SMI are willing and able to engage with interventions that focus on sensitive subjects, such as sexual behaviour. Therefore, mental health nurses should recognize the potential opportunities that exist to develop their roles within sexual health promotion and recovery. Further research should address the issues raised in this review in terms of: (i) high-quality trial methodology to reduce the risk of bias; (ii) effective identification and recruitment of ‘at-risk’ people with SMI, so that the right people receive the ‘right’ intervention; (iii) development of the content of the intervention to maximize its benefits, particularly attending to the specific needs of people with psychotic disorders and addressing the gender differences that have been identified in the reviewed studies; (iv) exploring the dose and intensity of interventions to use.
the least intense intervention that optimizes behaviour change in the most cost-effective way; and (v) exploring long-term maintenance of safer sexual behaviours, including the addition and effectiveness of booster sessions, and other methods of promoting safer sex (e.g. poster campaigns, peer advocacy).

In conclusion, there is limited evidence that psychosocial interventions for people with SMI have a significant impact on improving sexual risk behaviour in the long term. Some trials have shown significant short-term effects on behaviour; however, the trials identified were of poor-to-moderate quality. In addition, all the outcomes were based on self-reported behaviour, and none used objective measures of sexual behaviour (e.g. infection with STI). However, given the severity of the consequences of someone with SMI being infected with HIV or other blood-borne viruses, there is a need to conduct more research that can feasibly be implemented in routine mental health-care settings.
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