Article

The Experiences of Youth Who Identify as Trans* in Relation to Health and Social Care Needs: A Scoping Review

Edward McCann¹, Brian Keogh¹, Louise Doyle¹, and Imelda Coyne¹

Abstract
There is an increased interest in the experiences of youth who identify as trans* to promote individual human rights and provide socially inclusive health and social care. This scoping review aimed to explore the experiences of youth who identify as trans*. A full search of relevant electronic databases was undertaken from the years 2006 to 2016. The search resulted in 1,656 hits and following the application of rigorous criteria, 20 papers were included in the final review. Date extraction was executed by two of the authors and a quality assessment tool was used to review the papers. The data were analyzed, and the key themes that emerged included the following: stigma, discrimination, and mental health; family relationships and supports; educational concerns; health care experiences; and vulnerability and health risks. The findings from the review are discussed, and the implications for policy, research, education, and practice are highlighted.
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Background

Transgender or trans* is an “umbrella” term that relates to people who do not “fit” with the prevailing gender binary categorization of male or female and where a person’s gender identity or expression differs from their birth sex (Gridley et al., 2016). For the purpose of this paper, the authors have used the term trans* throughout to encapsulate the spectrum of identities and experiences of people whose assigned sex at birth does not match their own feelings of gender identity nor conform to societal gender norms (National Center for Transgender Equality, 2014; National LGBT Health Education Center, 2016). There is still a lack of understanding and acceptance of people who identify as trans* and many psychosocial challenges exist (Agius, Köhler, Aujean, & Ehrt, 2011; Baur & Schiem, 2015; Grant, Mottet, & Tanis, 2011; McCann, 2014). In recent years, there has been an increased interest in the experiences, needs, and rights of children and young people who identify as trans* as evidenced in the growing and evolving literature (Clark et al., 2014; Dunne & Turraoin, 2015; Kosciw, Greytak, Palmer, & Boesen, 2014; Veale et al., 2015). Although adolescence is a challenging time for all young people, it can present additional stressors for trans* young people. With the mean age of awareness being between 10 and 14 years of age (Higgins et al., 2015), coming to terms with gender nonconformity that may be compounded with sexual orientation issues, adds another layer of complexity to the lives of young trans* people. In relation to gender dysphoria, trans* youth are presenting in significantly higher numbers at gender clinics (de Vries & Cohen-Kettensis, 2012). Gender dysphoria, described as a strong, persistent discomfort, and distress with one’s own gender, anatomy, and birth-sex, may present additional stressors for children and young people. Negative societal attitudes toward an individual’s gender variance can also add to experiences of distress in trans* youth (Stein, 2012).

In addition to these challenges, trans* youth are often subjected to increased incidences of discrimination and transphobic abuse including having hurtful things written about them on social media and the use of biased language and insults (Higgins et al., 2015; Kosciw et al., 2014). Violence toward sexual minority youth also remains problematic. Studies indicate that between 30% and 80% of trans* youth have experienced some form of victimization (DiFulvio, 2015). High incidences of mental distress, including depression, anxiety, substance use, and suicidality, are evident among this group (McCann & Sharek, 2015; McDermott, Hughes, & Rawlings, 2016; Schneider, 2013). A recent report from New Zealand, Health and Wellbeing Survey 2012 highlighted that 40% of trans* students had significant depressive symptoms, almost half had self-harmed in the previous 12 months, and one in five had
attempted suicide in the last year (Clark et al., 2014). In the United States, the National Transgender Discrimination Survey (n = 6,450) showed that 41% of respondents reported attempted suicide, compared with 1.6% in the general population (Grant et al., 2011). In a recent Canadian report concerning trans* youth, Being Safe, Being Me, 66% of the study sample had self-harmed in the last year, with 33% having attempted taking their own lives (Veale et al., 2015). Furthermore, trans* youth are overrepresented among the homeless and there may also be drug and alcohol issues (Yu, 2010). In addition, young people may have difficulty accessing and using mental health services and other supports, which can lead to further isolation and marginalization (Gridley et al., 2016; Olson, Schrager, Belzer, Simons, & Clark, 2015).

At the same time, there is a distinct lack of supports available to families and significant others (Benson, 2013; Dierckx, Motmans, Mortelmans, & T’sjoen, 2015). One study, investigating practitioners’ clinical experiences of providing care to trans* youth, found that less than half of study participants reported feeling confident about providing trans-related care. Lack of training in trans-specific issues was identified as a key barrier to effective care (Vance, Halpern-Felsher, & Rosenthal, 2015). Furthermore, globally, there has been a noticeable change in the attitudes toward children and young people with an increased recognition of their ability to contribute to society. There is more acceptance of the young person’s fundamental right to be listened to and to openly express their opinions on issues that concern them (Coyne, 2015; United Nations, 1989). This is further expressed and reflected in recent reports (Centre for Mental Health, 2016; Clark et al., 2014), but how well their views are heard remains unclear. Therefore, the current review will examine the views and experiences of trans* identified youth, to identify the key concerns for young trans* people, families, and service providers. This information may be helpful for practitioners who support trans* youth and inform good practice and service provision for trans youth and their families.

**Method**

**Aim**

To establish the experiences of youth who identify as trans* and highlight issues in terms of policy, education, supports and service provision.

**Procedure**

A comprehensive search of electronic databases from August 2006 to August 2016 was conducted (Figure 1). The search string included the following
terms: Transgender*, Transsexual*, gender dysphoria, genderqueer, nonbinary, youth, young adults, and adolescent*. The databases used were CINAHL, PsycINFO, PubMed, and Sociological Abstracts. Limiters were used, and the Boolean operators AND and OR were utilized. An example of the search strategy and results of one database are provided (see Table 1).
The search yielded 1,656 hits in total. Following the removal of duplicates and a check for relevance, 86 papers remained. Full papers were screened, and finally 20 papers were considered suitable for the review. To be included in this review, studies had to (a) be empirically researched, (b) be peer reviewed, (c) be in English, (d) focus on the health care experiences of youth whom identified as trans*, (e) involve trans* people under 25 years of age. Studies not meeting these criteria were excluded.

**Quality Assessment**

A recognized quality assessment tool was used to review the papers (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme, 2013). Specific questions were consistently applied to each of the selected studies (Table 2). Each question was scored zero, one, or two out of a possible score of 20 points. A score of zero was assigned if the paper contained no information, one if there was a moderate amount, and a score of two indicated that the question was fully addressed (Rushbrooke, Murray, & Townsend, 2014). A score of 17 and above, indicating a high-quality study, was achieved by 13 studies (Arcelus, Claes, Witcomb, Marshall, & Bouman, 2016; Corliss, Belzer, Forbes, & Wilson, 2007; de Vries et al., 2014; Grossman & D’Augelli, 2007; Grossman, D’Augelli, & Frank, 2011; Grossman, D’Augelli, & Salter, 2006; McGuire, Anderson, Toomey, & Russell, 2010; Olson et al., 2015; Reisner et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2009; Wilson, Iverson, Garofalo, & Belzer, 2012; Yadegarfard, Ho, & Bahramabadian, 2013). A total of five studies scored between 14 and 16, indicating shortcomings in relation to clarity of

### Table 1. CINAHL Search Strategy and Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search code</th>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>transgender*</td>
<td>2,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>transsexual*</td>
<td>1,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>gender dysphoria</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>genderqueer</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>nonbinary</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>youth</td>
<td>25,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>young adults</td>
<td>14,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>adolescen*</td>
<td>397,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5</td>
<td>3,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>S6 OR S7 OR S8</td>
<td>410,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>S9 AND S10</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. CASP Quality Scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clear statement of aims</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Appropriate methodology</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Appropriate research design</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Appropriate recruitment strategy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Appropriate data collection methods</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Research relationships considered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Consider ethical issues</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Rigorous analysis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Clear findings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Value of the research</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total scores out of 20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** CASP = Critical Appraisal Skills Programme.
aims, data collection methods, research relationships considered, and ethics considerations (Dowshen et al., 2016; Garofalo, Deleon, Osmer, Doll, & Harper, 2006; Greytak, Kosciw, & Boesen, 2013; Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006; Singh, Meng, & Hansen, 2014). The remaining two studies received scores of below 14, due to limited information that impacted on the quality and were related to the aims, ethics, and clarity and detail of findings (Simons, Schrager, Clark, Belzer, & Olson, 2013; Singh, Meng, & Hansen, 2013). All the studies were deemed suitable for the review as they fulfilled the study inclusion criteria.

**Characteristics of the Included Studies**

The 20 studies that addressed the review questions are presented in Table 3. The majority of studies were conducted in the United States (n = 17), and one each in the Netherlands, United Kingdom, and Thailand. The studies had sample sizes ranging from 18 to 442 participants. The ages ranged from 12 to 25 years, and participants self-identified as male or female. Eleven of the studies used quantitative methods, and seven studies used qualitative methods. There were two mixed methods studies.

**Data Extraction and Analysis**

The data extraction was conducted by two of the authors (E.M. and I.C.). The scoping review was guided by methods allowed for the development of the mapping and “narrative integration” of the relevant evidence (Arksey & O’Malley, 2005). Data were analyzed, whereby core concepts were identified and coded from the results section of each paper. The extracted concepts were then compared and contrasted to enable grouping into themes. The themes and underpinning concepts were subsequently reviewed by the team to obtain agreement, verification, and trustworthiness (Mays, Pope, & Popay, 2005).

**Results**

Following a systematic analysis of the studies, five themes were identified: (a) stigma, discrimination, and mental health; (b) family relationships and supports; (c) educational concerns; (d) health care experiences; and (e) vulnerability and health risks.

An ecological framework has influenced the organization and presentation of the results of the scoping review moving from the societal or macro level to the meso or individual level (Bronfenbrenner, 1979).
Table 3. Papers Included in the Review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Country</th>
<th>Aims</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arcelus, Claes, Witcomb, Marshall, and Bouman (2016) UK</td>
<td>Identify risk factors for NSSI in trans youth</td>
<td>Trans youth ($n = 268$) &lt;25 years ($M = 19.9$ years)</td>
<td>Questionnaires: Self-injury; psychopathology; self-esteem; victimization; transphobia; and social support</td>
<td>46% had NSSI in lifetime showed greater psychopathology, lower self-esteem, experienced more transphobia, and had greater interpersonal problems</td>
<td>To decrease psychopathology, need interventions that decrease transphobia, increase social support and help trans youth with interpersonal relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corliss, Belzer, Forbes, and Wilson (2007) USA</td>
<td>Evaluation of service use by trans youth</td>
<td>Trans youth ($n = 18$) 16-24 years</td>
<td>In-depth interviews</td>
<td>Participants identified health and social risks and complex needs around health care, education, employment, housing, safety, and personal relationships. Range of positive and negative experiences discussed.</td>
<td>Improve the availability of and access to appropriate services for young people and their families. Develop culturally competent medical, social and mental health services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Vries et al. (2014) The Netherlands</td>
<td>Determine psychological outcome after puberty suppression and gender reassignment</td>
<td>Trans youth ($n = 55$) 11-23 years</td>
<td>Questionnaires: GD, psychological factors, well-being</td>
<td>Post reassignment, GD alleviated, psychological state improved, well-being was the same or better than youth in the general population. Better psychological functioning was positively correlated with postsurgical subjective well-being.</td>
<td>Clear clinical multidisciplinary protocol (mental health, medical surgical) to guide interventions, care and support to trans children and youth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowshen et al. (2016) USA</td>
<td>Describe health and psychosocial outcomes of HIV+ young transgender women</td>
<td>Trans (MTF) youth ($n = 66$) 12-24 years</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>Young trans women reported: Higher unemployment, poor educational achievement, less antiretroviral treatment adherence. Psychosocial factors predicted viral detection.</td>
<td>HIV prevention strategies for trans youth e.g. life skills training. Appropriate psychosocial and medical care by multidisciplinary teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garofalo, Deleon, Osmer, Doll, and Harper (2006) USA</td>
<td>Explore ethnic MTF trans youth lives and HIV-risk factors</td>
<td>MTF trans youth ($n = 51$) 16-25 years</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>High risk of HIV. Main life stressors were: sex for resources, job issues, forced sex, and poor access to health care. 98% had sex with men. 49% unprotected anal sex and 53% with drugs or alcohol.</td>
<td>Unmet needs of this group. Need more research to understand the specific needs. Develop targeted broad-based interventions that reduce risky behaviors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Aims</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greytak, Kosciw, and Boesen</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Investigate the benefits of good school resources for trans youth</td>
<td>Trans youth (n = 409)</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>Topics: GSAs, supportive educators, LGBT-inclusive curricula, and comprehensive anti-bullying/anti-harassment policies. All but anti-bullying/anti-harassment policies related to lower levels of victimization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman, D’Augelli, and Salter</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore trans youth gender expression, victimization and parental responses</td>
<td>Trans youth (MTF) (n = 31)</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>Youth felt they were different between eight and nine years of age. Many were called sissies and made change their behavior by parents; more gender-atypical youth reported childhood parental abuse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman and D’Augelli</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore factors affecting the experiences of trans youth</td>
<td>Trans youth (n = 24)</td>
<td>Focus group interviews</td>
<td>Average age of gender identity awareness 10.4 years. Most had negative reactions and confusion between their gender identity and sexual orientation. Also lack of safe environments, poor access to health services, no mental health input and poor caregiving by families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman and D’Augelli</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore trans youth and suicidal behaviors</td>
<td>Trans youth (n = 55)</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>Almost 50% thought seriously of taking their life; 25% reported suicide attempts that were related to trans identity, parental verbal and physical abuse, and lower body esteem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grossman, D’Augelli, and Frank</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore resilience among trans youth</td>
<td>Trans youth (n = 55)</td>
<td>Interviews and questionnaires</td>
<td>Greater personal mastery, self-esteem, and social support equated with better mental health outcomes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
| Author                     | Country | Aims                                                                 | Sample                  | Data collection method         | Key findings                                                                                      | Recommendations                                                                 |
|----------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| McGuire, Anderson, Toomey, and Russell (2010) | USA     | Explore trans youth experiences of school climate                     | Trans youth: Survey (n = 68) Focus groups (n = 35) 12-23 years | Survey and focus group interviews | School harassment due to trans identity was common and strongly related to feelings of safety. Strategies to tackle harassment resulted in better connectedness with teachers thus increased feeling of safety. | Increase opportunities to create positive change in schools. Policies that support the establishment and maintenance of Trans support groups and specific training for staff. |
| Olson, Schrager, Belzer, Simons, and Clark (2015) | USA     | Describe baseline characteristics of trans youth seeking care for gender dysphoria | Trans youth (n = 101) 12-24 years | Surveys                         | Youth recognized gender incongruence at mean age 8.3 years, disclosed to family at mean age 17.1 years. Depression (35%), suicidal thoughts (over 50%), and suicide attempts (almost 33%). | Early psychosocial interventions. Research evaluations of the interventions. |
| Reisner et al. (2015) | USA     | Investigate stress, bullying and substance use disparities           | Trans youth (n = 442) 13-18 years | Online survey                   | Increased substance use in past 12 months linked to victimization experiences.                   | Early detection and intervention strategies and increased supports for bullying and harassment. Increase in interventions that promote parental support. Systematic evaluation of specific interventions. |
| Simons, Schrager, Clark, Belzer, and Olson (2013) | USA     | Investigate parental support and mental health among trans youth    | Trans youth (n = 66) 12-24 years | Survey                          | Trans youth face rejection, marginalization, and victimization. Parental support was significantly associated with higher life satisfaction, lower perceived burden of being trans, and fewer depressive symptoms. | Increase in interventions that promote parental support. Systematic evaluation of specific interventions. |
| Singh, Meng, and Hansen (2013) | USA     | Explore affirming college environments for trans youth              | Trans youth (n = 18) 15-25 years | Interviews                      | Main issues: campus-wide trans-affirming language; campus training on trans student concerns; trans-affirming campus health care access, developing a community of trans-allies on campus; nurture resilience in trans students. | All staff to be trained in trans-specific issues. Advocate for trans-affirming health care with competent staff. Develop a community of trans allies on campus Produce protocols. |
Table 3. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Aims</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Data collection method</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al. (2009)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore trans female youth, HIV risk, and sex work</td>
<td>Trans female youth (n = 151)</td>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td>Lower education status, homelessness, use of street drugs and perceived social support were highly related to sex work.</td>
<td>More sex work research needed. HIV prevention interventions at individual, social and community levels for trans youth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Iverson, Garofalo, and Belzer (2012)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Explore HIV risk, condom use, and parental support among trans female youth</td>
<td>Trans female youth (n = 21)</td>
<td>In-depth interviews</td>
<td>24% had HIV. Just under half felt unsupported by parents and got support from the trans community. Consistent condom use in those with supportive parents.</td>
<td>Involve parents in HIV prevention interventions. Increased social support to parents. More research into HIV interventions and evaluation studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al. (2015)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Examine HIV risk for racial/ethnic minority trans female youth</td>
<td>Trans female youth (n = 282)</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>Racial/ethnic minority youth had did less well educationally, did not live with their parents as a child and more likely to have condomless sex than Whites.</td>
<td>Research to assess the impact of multiple-minority stress. Interventions to address disparities and prevent incident HIV cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yadegarfard, Ho, and Bahramabadian (2013)</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Determine the influences on loneliness, depression, sexual risks, and suicide</td>
<td>Trans youth (n = 190)</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Level of education impacted on depression and loneliness. The number of sex partners had a significant influence on sexual risk behavior. Suicidal ideation and age had a significant impact on sexual risk behavior and suicidal behavior.</td>
<td>Very limited studies on the topic exist. Further “more in-depth” research is required in the future.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. LGBT = lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender; NSSI = nonsuicidal self-injury; GD = gender dysphoria; MTF = male-to-female; GSAs = gay-straight alliances.


**Stigma, Discrimination and Mental Health**

Some of the studies included in the analysis discussed important societal and cultural issues, including the challenges faced by trans* youth who do not generally “fit” within the dominant and accepted social paradigm. The resulting discriminatory experiences can often lead to individuals feeling rejected, ostracized, and marginalized leading to feelings of shame and social isolation (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006; Reisner et al., 2015). Furthermore, persistent negative attitudes and behaviors from others can lead to an increased susceptibility to mental health problems (Baur & Schiem, 2015). In one U.S. study, trans* youth were compared with cisgender youth, and were 2 to 3 times more at risk for anxiety, depression, and suicidal behaviors. Furthermore, strong associations have been demonstrated between substance misuse and bullying toward trans* youth (Reisner et al., 2015). Current evidence suggests that people who identify as trans* are a greater risk of suicidal behaviors. In a U.K. study, trans* youth were almost twice as likely to have self-harmed and 1½ times more likely to have planned or attempted suicide compared with cisgender study participants (Arcelus et al., 2016). Furthermore, the risk factors associated with nonsuicidal self-injury (NSSI) were investigated. Research findings have demonstrated that those with a lifetime presence of NSSI had significantly greater general psychopathology, lower self-esteem, had suffered more transphobia, and experienced greater interpersonal problems than those not affected by NSSI (Arcelus et al., 2016). The authors concluded that more interventions should be made available that challenge transphobia, increase social support, and help trans* young people develop and maintain relationships. Other significant factors associated with suicidality include suicidal ideation related to trans* identity, parental physical or verbal abuse, and low body esteem (Grossman and D’Augelli (2007). Also, higher levels of sexual risk behaviors have been related to increased incidences of self-harm (Yadegarofard et al., 2013).

Despite increased mental health issues, existing studies demonstrate that trans* young people often develop positive resilient traits, including coping strategies, to deal with potential psychosocial challenges. Young people learn how to deal with discrimination and prejudice and manage to convert adverse experiences into feelings of pride and strength (Corliss et al., 2007). One study that investigated the experiences of youth who were socially transitioning reported that the levels of depression were no different to young people in the nontrans* population. The authors found that youth who are supported in their trans* identity become less distressed, stronger, and more resilient (Olson et al., 2015). The key features of resilience identified in the literature includes the following: the ability to self-define and theorize one’s gender;
proactive agency and access to supportive educational systems; connection to a trans-affirming community; reframing of mental health challenges; and the navigation of relationships with family and friends (Singh et al., 2014). In terms of psychological resilience following physical and verbal abuse, positive attributes were identified in one study. Participants reported improvements in a sense of personal mastery, self-esteem, perceived social support, and emotion-oriented coping (Grossman et al., 2011).

**Family Relationships and Supports**

Another major theme that emerged from the studies addressed the interrelations between situations or contexts in which the individual has direct contact, including family relationships that can significantly affect the lives of youths. Family acceptance can have a major impact upon the lives of trans* children and youth (Veale et al., 2015). One early study, involving female-to-male (FTM; \( n = 24 \)) and male-to-female (MTF; \( n = 21 \)) trans* youth, showed that both groups “felt different” at a mean age of 7½ years. A majority of each group were verbally abused, with more MTF youth reporting physical assaults (Grossman et al., 2006). Within the family context, a majority of trans* youth reported negative responses from parents. The more gender non-conforming, the more likely the young person was to be physically and verbally abused by the parent. The implications for school and family counselors are highlighted (Grossman et al., 2006). One study, that looked at associations between parental support and mental health outcomes, found that parental support was associated with higher quality of life and was beneficial toward addressing depression in trans* youth (Simons et al., 2013). Trans* youth can experience rejection, marginalization, and victimization. Families may experience a range of emotions including shock and uncertainty. There may be a lack of information and support. As a result, families may remain fearful, frustrated, isolated, and alone. Families may report feeling of guilt and seek assistance from professionals who may lack sufficient experience and training in the field. Appropriate family supports can safeguard against potential psychosocial challenges such as depression and anxiety, not just within the individual, but for family members too (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006; Grossman et al., 2006; Simons et al., 2013).

**Educational Concerns**

An important place in the lives of trans* youth is the learning environment. Some of the studies in the current review supported the view that schools are influential in the experiences of youth and can have a major impact upon the
inequalities and disparities that exist in the broader social context (McGuire et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2013). Trans* youth face many interpersonal challenges particularly related to their gender identity. They are also at high risk for negative educational experiences and poor outcomes. In a recent U.S. study, the results showed that 63% of trans* youth felt unsafe at school, tended to have lower grades and a significant number (59%) absented themselves from school. There were elevated levels of harassment and assault with the negative effects of a hostile school climate being acknowledged educationally and psychologically. Students also reported being less keen on continuing their education as a result of hostile and unsafe environments (Greytak et al., 2013). For college-age trans* youth, one study that investigated affirming educational environments discovered four major themes on the topic: use of campus-wide trans-affirming language, campus training on trans* student concerns, trans-affirming campus health care access, and developing a community of trans-allies on campus (Singh et al., 2013). In terms of specific school-based resources for trans* youth related to anti-victimization procedures, positive outcomes were demonstrated in terms of support and alliance groups, supportive educators, and inclusive curricula (Greytak et al., 2013). Where anti-bullying and harassment policies existed and were implemented, trans* students felt more connected with school staff and felt more safe. Focus group interviews with trans* youth highlighted important issues, such as tackling bullying and harassment, that would inform future educational interventions (McGuire et al., 2010).

Health Care Experiences

People who identify as trans* have unique and individual health care needs. How trans* youth access and use support services has been highlighted in existing studies included in this review (Corliss et al., 2007; de Vries et al., 2014; Grossman et al., 2011). The subjective views and experiences of MTF trans* youth around health and social services provision have been considered in terms of physical and emotional well-being. For example, although 83% of trans* youth wanted access to services to receive hormones, a significant number (60%) of respondents were having issues accessing appropriate medically managed hormonal therapy (Corliss et al., 2007). A major barrier to accessing appropriate health care is the fear of rejection and negative attitudes of service providers (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006). Trans* youth have identified positive attributes that they expect to see in practitioners including being supportive, caring, nonjudgmental, knowledgeable, and accessible (Corliss et al., 2007). Trans* youth spoke strongly about their psychosocial needs. Some of the issues were related to sexual health concerns,
discrimination, and victimization experiences. There was a distinct lack of resources for mental health supports such as psychological counseling and access to talking therapies that are trans* affirmative. A significant number of trans* youth were resorting to avoidance tactics to deal with marginalization experiences rather than being supported in developing more adaptive ways of coping (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006). Trans* youth articulated suggestions for improving services including the need to provide access to more trans*-specific supports. Barriers to accessing hormone therapy need to be sufficiently addressed. Health and social care services should be designed that are tailored to individual needs and delivered by knowledgeable and skilled practitioners. (Corliss et al., 2007)

**Vulnerability and Health Risks**

At an individual level, the review findings suggest that trans* youth may be more susceptible to a number of health risks. Several studies indicate that youth who identify as trans* are at a significantly higher risk of contracting HIV (Dowshen et al., 2016; Garofalo et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2012). In a study involving trans* female youth, the findings indicated a potential link between HIV-related risk behavior and parental support. Youth with adequate parental support reported regular condom use, while those without such support reported inconsistent condom use (Wilson et al., 2012). Another study, that examined behavioral and health outcomes for HIV positive young trans* women, found higher rates of unemployment, limited educational achievement, and poor antiretroviral treatment adherence compared with nontrans HIV infected youth. These issues were compounded by other psychosocial factors such as housing issues, depression, and poor social support (Dowshen et al., 2016). In one study, examining sexual risk behaviors in female trans* youth \((n = 151)\), 67% of the study participants had engaged in sex work and 19% reported their HIV positive status. The findings revealed that lower education status, homelessness, use of street drugs, and perceived social support remained significantly associated with sex work (Wilson et al., 2009). In terms of ethnicity, trans* female youths were significantly more likely to engage in condomless anal intercourse than their White counterparts, thus presenting higher risks for HIV (Wilson et al., 2015). The authors recommended further research that addresses the potential challenges presented by multiple-minority stress among trans* youth. Other psychosocial issues may include homelessness, employment difficulties and problems accessing and using health care (Garofalo et al., 2006). Important vulnerability and safety issues among trans* youth were revealed including a lack of safe spaces, a lack of continuity of caregiving by their families and communities, and
inadequate resources to address individual mental health concerns. However, the most prominent issue identified was the risk of violence on the disclosure of their trans* identity (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006).

Issues and concerns may manifest around gender dysphoria beginning when a child may express behavior incongruent with and dissatisfaction related to their assigned gender. They may experience hostility and rejection because of their perceived differences and may be forced to hide and repress their feelings (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006). Body esteem of trans* youth was measured in one study, and findings revealed that there was a marked increase in suicidal behaviors in trans* youth who were dissatisfied with their weight and overall body perception. The issues may be compounded by practitioners refusing to treat youth or the fear of negative reaction from health care providers (Grossman & D’Augelli, 2006). One study described the baseline characteristics of trans* youth over 12 years old ($n = 101$) attending a trans* youth clinic for gender dysphoria. More than half of the youth had contemplated suicide, and almost one-third had attempted suicide (Olson et al., 2015). A research team in the Netherlands investigated psychological outcomes in young adults ($n = 55$) after puberty suppression and gender reassignment. Psychological functioning (body image, depression, anxiety, emotional and behavioral issues), social functioning, and quality of life were measured. Following gender reassignment, all areas showed improvements when correlated with similar aged young people in the general population (de Vries et al., 2014).

**Discussion**

This review has uncovered key issues that exist in relation to the experiences and needs of children and young people whom identify as trans*. For trans* youth, tensions exist between integrating gender identity concerns with societal and cultural issues, family circumstances, educational concerns, and individual resources. The findings indicate concerns and challenges in terms of policy, education, service, and research developments. Bronfenbrenner’s (1979) ecological model provides a useful framework for understanding the issues and concerns resulting from this review, and offers a strengths-based approach to understanding and discussing the needs of trans* youth and how these may be addressed. The Ecological Model is multi-systemic and contains elements that have been used to help practitioners better understand the experiences and health needs of particular populations (Institute of Medicine, 2011). Practitioners are able to identify windows of opportunity for the provision of various psychosocial interventions. The ecological model outlines different levels that “nestle” within each other and interact to shape the
environment that includes the macro, micro and meso systems. Each system refers to the different environments and interactions throughout a person’s life span that may influence human behavior (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). To make service improvements for trans* youth, health and social care providers need to be aware of and seek ways of addressing social, cultural, and political determinants as they relate to inequalities. They are in a pivotal position to influence social, political, and individual change.

**Macro Level**

The macrosystem is overarching and contains a “blueprint” of the societal norms or cultural attitudes that can influence a person’s life. The process considers important aspects related to trans* youth including stigma and discrimination and the laws and social policies surrounding the topic. People who identify as trans* remain highly stigmatized with individuals being subjected to high levels of discrimination and victimization (Arcelus et al., 2016). In terms of social inclusion and health inequalities, policy makers are beginning to recognize that people from marginalized groups, including trans* children and young people, face an augmented risk of compromised mental health due to the particular psychosocial challenges that they encounter (House of Commons Women and Equalities Committee, 2015). Sociopolitical changes need to occur to tackle discriminatory social structures (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Worldwide, there have been significant changes and developments in identifying and responding to the human rights of people who identify as trans* (Agius et al., 2011; Coleman et al., 2011; Dennell & Logan, 2012; House of Commons Women and Equalities Committee, 2015; Ó hUiltacháin et al., 2016; Schneider, 2013). However, discriminatory attitudes exist in many jurisdictions and the experiences and specific needs of trans* people are not being addressed. This is particularly true for children and young people who identify as trans*. Policies should be put in place that ensure that the explicit needs of this group are established and appropriate, seamless and responsive services are provided. The services need to have shared interprofessional protocols that are well coordinated. Robust policies should ensure that discrimination is dealt with to prevent further prejudice toward children and young people who identify as trans*. A majority of European countries now have policies that support gender recognition. In Ireland, the Gender Recognition was eventually passed into legislation in 2015, that allows trans* people over the age of 18 to have full legal recognition of their preferred gender. However, young people aged 16 to 18 years must secure a court order to obtain these rights (Government of Ireland, 2015).
**Micro Level**

The microsystem contains the young person’s direct contacts including family, peer, friends, and romantic relationships (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). All services providers, including education, health, and social care, must appreciate that trans* people face heteronormativity, prejudice, and discriminatory attitudes in their daily lives (Gridley et al., 2016; McDermott et al., 2016). Teachers and health practitioners should be provided with opportunities to discuss trans* issues to allay some of their own fears, anxieties and misconceptions. They will then be in a better position to form alliances and challenge negative attitudes and stereotypes toward children and young people who identify as trans*. In terms of more responsive services and supports, collaborative working across all services needs to exist. This includes clear interdisciplinary protocols between mental health, medical, surgical, social work, and education services. There needs to be a dedicated family support service that provides psychosocial interventions that are tailored to the unique needs of each family. In terms of sexual health for trans* youth, effective HIV and STI (sexually transmitted infection) education and prevention strategies need to be provided. It is necessary to provide interventions that help trans* youth to address the negative effects of the challenges, such as discrimination and victimization, they face in their daily lives. The importance of early intervention strategies in terms of mental health provision has become clearer (Centre for Mental Health, 2016). Current research has revealed a 10-year average delay in children and young people accessing and receiving the help that they require (Veale et al., 2015). There should be interventions to promote resilience and to tackle stress related experiences. This may include talking therapies such as Dialectical Behavioural Therapy (DBT) to develop coping skills and build self-esteem. Depression- and anxiety-related experiences can be successfully treated with Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) (Austin & Craig, 2015).

Parental support is fundamental to the well-being and development of all young people including trans* youth, who often lack support from peer and classroom settings (Grant et al., 2011). Furthermore, studies have shown negative correlations between family rejection and health outcomes including high rates of depression, suicidality, and drug and alcohol use (Grossman et al., 2006; Simons et al., 2013). In addition, relationship quality and positive parental attitudes were associated with higher self-esteem and reduced depression and suicide attempts in trans* youths (Grossman et al., 2011). Health and social care practitioners and relevant support services should follow the Standards of Care of the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (Coleman et al., 2011). For young people, specific mental health
guidelines have been issued in the United Kingdom (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2013). This includes information about accessing and using counseling and talking therapy services. Furthermore, family education programs can help provide parents with necessary information, professional support, parenting strategies, and peer support (Riley, Sitharthan, Clemson, & Diamond, 2011). Health care providers need to be adequately prepared to effectively provide this support (Cohen-Kettensis, Delemarre-van De Waal, & Gooren, 2008; Corliss et al., 2007). Education and training to health professionals in trans* issues is often lacking or nonexistent. Policies that promote and support the development of such initiatives need to be in place to ensure that more affirmative practices toward trans* youth and families exist (Baur & Schiem, 2015; Edwards-Leeper, Leibowitz, & Sangganjanavanich, 2016). A good example of current developments is the Family Acceptance Project, who have developed a wealth of resources including information, publications, and training resource materials. They have also provided a useful resource guide for practitioners to help them to engage more effectively with diverse families, to address specific challenges, and to promote greater well-being (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014).

**Meso Level**

The mesosystem includes the relationships and interactions between individuals contained in the microsystem. For example, the trans* person’s family experience may be related to school experiences and vice versa. The findings indicate that children and young people who identify as trans* clearly need education and support. Families and professionals often have limited knowledge about individual health and social care needs with reports of trans* people having to educate their health care provider about trans* specific issues (Grant et al., 2011). There should be access to education about being trans*, sexuality and relationship issues and opportunities to discuss experiences, hopes, and future desires and wishes. There should be education and training for counselors and mental health professionals in trans-affirmative and resilience practices (Edwards-Leeper et al., 2016). For families, there need to be an increase in interventions that recognize, support, and promote parental support (Malpas, 2011). Safe and supportive learning environments should exist for all students, including trans* people, that are free from the threat of violence and discrimination. Schools need to ensure the development of peer support networks, transphobia incentives, and specific training for teachers and other staff. There needs to be clear anti-bullying and harassment strategies. It would be helpful for schools and colleges to instigate a community of “trans-allies” on campus and produce protocols to support
such an initiative. There should be a program encouraging young people to develop their own campaigns (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual Resource Centre, 2017). Also, trans* young people should have greater involvement and a stronger voice in determining future service developments. There also needs to be the development of resources with partner organizations (nongovernmental organizations [NGOs]) for trans-specific work. A good example of innovative community advocacy and support is the work of GLSEN in the United States. Ally Week is an annual event that encourages national dialogue about how everyone in society can become greater allies to marginalized groups including trans* youth (GLSEN, 2017).

Another important ecological consideration is the workplace and neighborhood community supports. These can be strongly associated with mental health outcomes. Also, greater visibility and more acceptance led to less hate crimes and lower rates of depression and suicidal ideation (Baur & Schiem, 2015). Local trans* organizations and advocacy groups can fulfill the need for a greater sense of belonging (GLSEN, 2017; Parents, Families and Friends of Lesbians and Gays, 2017).

Conclusion

There is an increased interest in the experiences and concerns of children and young people who identify as trans*. This review has summarized the current available evidence on the needs of this population and identified opportunities and gaps in terms of practice, policy, and support needs. However, in terms of empirical evidence, the review is somewhat limited by the small number of studies from European countries, recruitment challenges, and the broad age of participants. In view of the limited research, future studies should delimit age parameters and work with trans* youth to codevelop interventions to meet their needs (Bränström & Van Der Star, 2016). This review clearly shows that significant challenges exist for trans* young people around human rights, social inclusion, and equality initiatives. All services providers need to be aware that trans* people face heteronormativity, prejudice, and discriminatory attitudes in their daily lives (Gridley et al., 2016; McDermott et al., 2016). In terms of more responsive services and supports, collaborative working across all services needs to exist. Trans* youth have unique needs, and services should actively identify and respond appropriately to those needs in health, social care, and education sectors.
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