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Introduction: Football involves frequent changes in direction and intensity, leading to demands placed on both aerobic and anaerobic energy systems. Despite the increased popularity of women’s football, there is limited scientific research into this cohort.

Study aims: evaluate player characteristics and determine which field-based measure if any is the most valid in assessing football specific fitness in this cohort.

Methods: 20 elite women players were recruited. Fitness was assessed through laboratory-based graded incremental test (GXT) and field-based Yo-YoIR1 and Hoff test.

Results: Mean (±SD) age 21 ± 3.59 years; height 1.67 ± 0.06 m; body mass 63.02 ± 4.59 kg; percentage body fat 23.88 ± 3.41%. Mean (± SEM) GXT: VO2max 49.71 ± 1.06 mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹, HRmax 190.6 ± 2.86 beats.min⁻¹ and velocity at TLac 11.79 ± 0.19 km.hr⁻¹. Mean (± SEM) Hoff distance 1487 ± 35.51m and Yo-YoIR1 distance 1133 ± 66.4m. The mean (± SEM) predicted VO2max from Yo-YoIR1 45.91 ± 0.56 mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹. Significance difference between VO2max and predicted VO2max (p = 0.009), the predicted underestimating VO2max (difference between means -3.05 ± 1.09 mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) with effect size of r² = 0.22 (r = -0.453832). Significant difference in rank between the Hoff vs Yo-Yo (p = 0.0005), Hoff vs GXT (p = 0.0001) and the Yo-Yo vs. GXT (p < 0.0001).

Conclusions: Ranks from the field-based tests showed greatest correlation, Hoff test had greater correlation to rank of GXT than that of the Yo-YoIR1. Predicted VO2max underestimate the true VO2max but effect size was small.