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We devised liquid crystal cell substrates with different surface roughnesses at the molecular scale by controlling the density of two types of trichlorosilanes with different alkyl chain lengths. We investigated surface melting of liquid crystals on these surfaces by observing the anchoring transition (change in the direction of alignment) that usually accompanies surface melting. Surface melting was observed only on substrates that had undulating surface morphologies due to either a low molecular density or interspersed arrangement of the two types of trichlorosilanes. We attributed the surface melting to the influence of molecular-level surface roughness on liquid crystal order parameters near the surface. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

Surface, which is the end of continuity of a material, gives rise to various interesting phenomena such as surface plasmons in optics,1 surface magnetism in ferromagnets,2 and pretransitions in fluid systems.3 Both molecular ordering and phase changes of thermotropic liquid crystals (LCs) are also significantly influenced by surface effects. LCs near the surface are exposed to “surface fields,” and transit to nematic phase above the bulk isotropic to nematic phase transition temperature (TNI,B), which is commonly called “pre-wetting.”4 When the free energy difference between two thermally consecutive phases is small, the surface field influences the bulk phase transition temperature as well, as reported by Manna et al. who examined the shift in bulk phase transition temperature of tilted smectic LC phases in thin cells.5 Sheng explained the prewetting phenomenon based on the Landau-de Gennes theory by assuming strong anchoring of ordered LC molecules near the surface.6,7 and observed surface ordering of LC molecules over the entire range of temperatures. Boamfa et al. showed experimentally that surface ordering persists in the range of 10–26 °C above the TNI temperature depending on the surface anchoring energy. Thus, the phase transition temperature near the surface (TNI,S) is thought to be higher than TNI,B due to restriction in the degrees of freedom or hindered thermal vibrations at the surface. However, this is not universally true. LCs on certain surfaces have a lower TNI,S than TNI,B.8,9 Yokoyama et al. investigated the shape of isotropic droplets within the nematic phase and the shape of nematic droplets within the isotropic phase near TNI on various surfaces.10 As the preference of the surface for a nematic (or isotropic) droplet became stronger, the contact angle of the nematic (or isotropic) droplet became smaller. Pre-wetting and surface melting may occur when the preference of the surface for nematic or isotropic phases, respectively, is extremely large. Yokoyama and colleagues found that SiO layer and bare glass (silica 72%) weakly preferred the isotropic phase to nematic phase, and recently, Aya et al. reported that the surface transition in a LC mixture with silica (SiO2) nanoparticles occurred several degrees below the bulk transition temperature.8 Evans et al. and Son et al. used another type of surface to exhibit surface melting: a self-assembled monolayer (SAM), which has long alkyl-chains that are also found in polymer alignment layers.9,11 SAM has proven to be an important method for achieving vertical alignment of liquid crystals on glass substrates and indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrates.12 Surface melting in these cases is thought to be due to the disordering effect of certain types of surfaces. However, the mechanism of surface melting is still not understood, particularly for SAM surfaces composed of alkyl chains.

Detection of pre-wetting and surface melting is also a challenging task, because the surface phase is extremely thin and its material composition is almost identical to that of the bulk material. Lee et al. directly observed that surface-induced nematic ordering on a rubbed polymer layer reached up to about 20 nm or less above the polymer surface using an in-house optical nanotomographic method.13 Boamfa et al. used precise field-induced birefringence measurements,14 and Aya et al. used highly sensitive differential scanning calorimetry to detect the surface phase transition temperature. Recently, Son et al. reported that surface melting in certain cases was accompanied by an anchoring transition - a transition from vertical alignment of LCs to planar alignment of LCs.5 Nematic LCs on the boundary bordering the isotropic phase exhibited planar alignment with no azimuthal anchoring owing to the slippery boundary. Surface melting accompanied by the anchoring transition was readily discernible because of an abrupt change in birefringence observed by polarized optical microscopy (POM). Surface melting can therefore be monitored by detecting the anchoring transition.

In this work, we studied the surface melting of LCs on various SAM layers by detecting the anchoring transition. In
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particular, we examined the effect of controlling the molecular-level morphological roughness of these layers by changing the densities of two SAM compounds with different molecular lengths on surface melting. The molecular-level roughness was obtained as follows. A uniform morphology was first achieved by depositing short SAM alkyl chains (C8) on the substrate. This arrangement was inter-dispersed with long chain SAM (C18). This caused density modulation of surfaces due to the presence of alkyl chains with varying chain lengths on the surfaces. The morphology of surfaces therefore ranged from uniform to undulating. We found that molecular-level roughness exerted a significant influence on the surface melting phenomenon, although direct detection of molecular level roughness was challenging. We designed our study to determine how molecular-level surface morphology affected the melting of LCs on surfaces at the N-I transition and how the melting phenomenon was related to surface molecular order parameters.

Bare glass substrates were cleaned in piranha solution (70 vol.% H2SO4 + 30 vol.% H2O2) for 1 h and subsequently rinsed with distilled water. First set of SAM substrates (SAM1) were prepared by dipping the rinsed bare glass substrates into a 2 mM octadecyltrichlorosilane [CH3(CH2)17SiCl3, C18, Aldrich] anhydrous toluene solution for various times ranging from 1 min to 1 h. Substrates were washed with toluene followed by ethanol, dried with nitrogen, and annealed at 125 °C for 20 min. Contact angles of water droplets and friction force were measured on each surface to investigate how the surface was covered and its molecular-level morphology. SAM layer with long chain alkylsilane had a low surface energy and exhibited moderately high contact angles. As shown in Fig. 1, the change in water contact angle over time indicated that the glass surface was gradually covered by C18 as immersion time increased, and that coverage reached a saturation level after a 30-min immersion. Friction force measured using an AFM tip increased for low immersion times and decreased again with a further increase in immersion time (Fig. 1). Surface roughness at the molecular scale is likely to vary according to surface SAM coverage, as described in Fig. 2.

A cell was constructed with SAM1 as the bottom substrate and a planar-aligned polyimide-coated substrate as the top substrate. The two substrates were separated by a 3.75 μm spacer. A LC mixture (MLC-7026–000, Merck Advanced Technologies Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) was used in the cell. A LC droplet was injected into the cells by capillary action. SAM layer usually induces vertical alignment of LCs. Surface packing density and morphology of SAM are important factors for aligning LC molecules on the surface.9,15 LC alignment was examined as a function of increasing temperature (near TN) by POM. The shape of isotropic phase appears differently depending on the SAM density on the surface of the substrate at the phase transition temperature. At low and high densities of SAM, isotropic bubbles appeared and the size of the bubbles expanded with increasing temperature. The presence of bubbles indicated that the bulk LCs had transited to isotropic phase. However, for the mid-range of densities, an anchoring transition was observed on the surface prior to the phase transition, as shown in the POM image in Fig. 2(a). The anchoring transition was due to surface LC melting on the SAM1 substrate, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). In the boundary between the nematic and isotropic phases, liquid crystal molecules in the nematic phase are likely to align in a planar rather than a vertical manner, resulting in an anchoring transition from vertical to planar alignment. Bulk phase transition occurred after the anchoring transition as the temperature increased. The bulk transition was confirmed by the appearance of isotropic bubbles, as shown in the inset image in the middle of Fig. 2(a), which was taken at a temperature ca. 2 °C higher than the temperature the larger image was taken at.

Surface melting is likely to be affected by molecular order parameters on the surface. When LC molecular ordering is sufficiently high, LCs near the surface are likely to maintain nematic ordering, even at temperatures higher than the bulk TN, which is the origin of the prewetting phenomenon. In contrast, when LC molecular ordering is low, LCs near the surface are likely to transit to an isotropic phase at temperatures even lower than TNI,B, which is surface melting. The temperature difference (ΔTNI,B) between surface melting and the bulk transition was measured and plotted in Fig. 2(c). Interestingly, surface melting appeared only in the middle range of SAM concentrations, implying that molecular order parameters at the middle range of SAM densities were relatively low.

These findings indicated that LC molecules near the SAM surface in the middle range of SAM densities were disordered. Two different mechanisms can account for this. The first mechanism is illustrated schematically in Fig. 2(b). It is intuitively apparent that when the SAM density is in the middle range, the long alkyl chains are entangled, which increases molecular-level surface roughness. As the SAM density increases further, roughness decreases due to packing effects.16 In this way, molecular level surface roughness may influence LC order parameters.

The second potential mechanism is the surface energy difference between the glass and SAM layer due to variation in surface coverage by SAM molecules according to immersion time. The glass substrate and SAM layer have different surface energies, which gives rise to different LC alignments on the two substrates.9 LCs on a bare glass substrate are likely to align in a planar manner, while those on a SAM layer are likely to align vertically. This explains why the
POM images for the 1-min immersion cell exhibited brighter luminance due to the presence of a pretilt angle than cells immersed for longer periods of time. Hence, in the middle range of SAM densities, planar-aligned LC molecules and vertically aligned LC molecules coexisted on the surface, which may have reduced molecular order parameters.

To elucidate which of the two mechanisms described above was the dominant mechanism underlying surface melting, we designed another set of experiments. We prepared a new set of SAM substrates by following the same processes we used to generate the SAM1 substrates except that a shorter alkyl chain (C8 trichlorosilane) (SAM2-A) was used. SAM2-A substrates were coated with different densities of C8 SAM, as illustrated in the top of Fig. 3(a). Then, substrates were dipped again into a toluene solution of 2 mM C18 trichlorosilane for 240 min at 30 °C, washed with toluene followed by ethanol, dried with nitrogen, and annealed at 125 °C for 20 min (SAM2-B). Unlike the SAM1 substrates, the SAM2-B substrates were fully covered by C8 or C18 SAM molecules, and no glass was exposed to the liquid crystal layer, as illustrated in the top of Fig. 3(b). However, surface roughness was determined by immersion time in C6 trichlorosilane solution. Because of the chain length difference between C8 and C18 trichlorosilane molecules, surface roughness was determined by the relative ratio of C8 to C18 molar concentrations. When the surface was covered by only one of the two molecules, the surface was very even. However, when the two molecules were distributed at similar concentrations, the surface was rough.

Contact angles of a water droplet and a diiodomethane (DIM) droplet were measured on the surfaces of SAM2-A and SAM2-B substrates, and surface energy was calculated using the Owens-Wendt method. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the pattern of variation of the contact angle and surface energy of SAM2-A according to different densities of C8 was similar to that observed for the SAM1 layer. However, the variation of the surface energy of SAM2-B is much less than that of SAM2-A, as shown in Fig. 3(b), because all areas that remained uncovered after C8 treatment were covered by C18 molecules during the second immersion. The DI contact angle decreases at short C8 immersions and increases again as the C8 immersion time increases further. As a result, the surface energy is relatively high in the mid range of immersion time.

We made a LC cell using SAM2-B substrates and performed POM observations of different cells. Similar to the
results for the SAM1 cells, surface melting was observed only in the middle range of C8 immersion times. An example of surface melting in a cell made by immersing the substrate for 20 min in C8 solution is shown in Fig. 4(b). We measured the temperature difference ($\Delta T_{S,B}$) between the surface melting and bulk transition for the SAM2-B cells. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the shift in surface phase transition temperature was similar to that observed for SAM1 substrate cells, which implies that the main mechanism underlying surface melting of LCs is surface roughness conferred by variations in molecular-scale spatial undulation of different alkyl chains, but not exposure to two heterogeneous surfaces. The cell used in Fig. 4(b) was a thick cell with more than 10 $\mu$m, and a planar alignment was used on the other surface to clearly observe the surface melting. As the temperature increased, blue domain appeared. The blue color indicates a large birefringence. The blue color expanded into all area of the cell, and the color changed gradually into brown and again white indicating low birefringence. The brown and white colors at 82.41 °C and 82.81 °C indicate the decrease in thickness of bulk nematic phase.

The DI water contact angle and the surface energy shown in Fig. 3(b) qualitatively match with the shift in surface phase transition temperature in Fig. 4(b). However, neither polarized ellipsometric measurements, AFM friction force measurements, nor AFM adhesive force measurements gave reasonable discrimination for molecular-scale roughness (data not shown) of the SAM2-B substrates. Direct measurement of molecular-scale roughness remains a challenging task.

In conclusion, we found that the anchoring transition is an excellent way to quantitatively detect the surface melting of liquid crystals on surfaces. We controlled the surface roughness at molecular scale using two types of trichlorosilanes with different alkyl chain lengths. The surface roughness at molecular level directly caused the surface melting. Recently, it was reported that the surface roughness at larger scales (approximately hundreds nm) influences the macroscopic alignment of liquid crystals. On the other hand, the surface roughness at molecular scale influences the order parameter of liquid crystals.
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