A comparison of catabolic pathways induced in primary macrophages by pristine single walled carbon nanotubes and pristine graphene
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Understanding the correlation between the physico-chemical properties of carbonaceous nanomaterials and how these properties impact on cells and subcellular mechanisms is critical to their risk assessment and safe translation into newly engineered devices. Here the toxicity, uptake and catabolic response of primary human macrophages to pristine graphene (PG) and pristine single walled carbon nanotubes (pSWCNT) are explored, compared and contrasted. The nanomaterial toxicity was assessed using three complementary techniques (live–dead assay, real time impedance technique and confocal microscopic analysis), all of which indicated no signs of acute cytotoxicity in response to PG or pSWCNT. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) demonstrated that PG was phagocytosed by the cells into single membrane lysosomal vesicles, whereas the primary macrophages exposed to pSWCNT contained many double membrane vesicles indicative of an autophagic response. These distinct catabolic pathways were further verified by biochemical and microscopic techniques. Raman spectroscopic mapping was used to explore the nanomaterial uptake and distribution. Based on the G-band, significant uptake and accumulation of the PG in discrete vesicles was recorded, whereas the pSWCNT were not taken up to the same extent. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the cells treated with PG revealed that ∼20–30% of the remaining dry mass was made up of PG. No detectable amount of pSWCNT was recorded using TGA. TEM analysis confirmed that PG was still graphitic even after 24 hours of accumulation in the lysosomal compartments. In conclusion, these two nanomaterials, with similar surface chemistries but unique geometries, differ significantly in their uptake mechanisms and subsequently induced lysosomal and autophagic catabolic pathways in human primary macrophages.

Introduction

The emergence of a myriad of forms of engineered nanoparticles and their potential applications in a range of technologies, from composites to nanomedicine has led to concerns regarding their potential detrimental impact on human health and the environment. This is particularly the case for carbonaceous nanomaterials, such as fullerenes, single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), graphene and their derivatives, as the conjugated π-electron densities which give rise to their specific functional characteristics also render their surfaces particularly chemically active. The inevitable surge in large-scale synthesis and use of such carbonaceous nanomaterials implies that, from a manufacturing, application and disposal perspective, there is a broad range of biological exposure routes that could be potentially hazardous, and thus it is of paramount importance to assess their potential risks. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) recognises graphene and single walled carbon nanotubes as nanomaterials that require appropriate safety assessment at the different levels of a biological organisation, where negative health effects such as cellular responses or adverse molecular interactions can occur, with an ultimate focus on the reduction and replacement of animal testing (OECD). Since the first reports on the potential hazards of nanomaterials and the emergence of the field of nanotoxicology, however, it has become clear that a more systematic approach to nanomaterial screening is required, and, in particular, the importance of...
relating biological responses and their underlying mechanisms to the physico-chemical properties of the nanomaterials has become apparent.\textsuperscript{2–4}

In this study, the \textit{in vitro} response of human primary macrophages following exposure to pristine single walled carbon nanotubes (pSWCNT) and pristine graphene (PG) is examined. Notably, these two types of nanomaterials have similar surface chemistries, but feature very different shapes, and thus their cellular uptake and intracellular response mechanisms can be compared and contrasted. Primary macrophages are employed as the model \textit{in vitro} test system, as they represent one of the first lines of defence against foreign invaders in the human body, and, using a combination of advanced microscopic, spectroscopic and biochemical techniques the toxicity, uptake, ultimate location and degradation of these two nanomaterials following exposure to phagocytic cells is explored. It is demonstrated that the two carbonaceous nanomaterials with similar surface chemistries but distinct geometries differ significantly in their uptake mechanisms and subsequent induced catabolic pathways.

**Results**

**Nanomaterial characterisation**

Correct interpretation of the bio–nano interactions can only be achieved when the physico-chemical properties of the nanomaterial in question are fully understood. Using a number of imaging and spectroscopic techniques, the PG and pSWCNT used in this study were characterised in detail. The graphene and SWCNT, along with the exfoliation methods, used here have been characterised extensively previously.\textsuperscript{5–8} Representative scanning electron microscopy images of the pSWCNT and PG flakes cast onto silicon are shown in Fig. 1A and B. The pSWCNT samples were predominantly of dimension \textasciitilde500 nm and the PG contained flakes of mean length \textasciitilde500 nm. These measurements were supported by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements. Although this technique is not recommended for non-spherical samples, it does confirm a normal distribution of sample size (E and F). Representative Raman spectra of the PG and pSWCNT are shown in Fig. 1G, along with a photograph of the PG and pSWCNT (Fig. 1F). Representative Raman spectra of the PG and pSWCNT are shown in Fig. 1G, along with a photograph of the PG and pSWCNT suspensions. In Raman spectroscopy of graphitic materials, the intensity of the D band relative to the G band can be used to indicate the defect content in a sample.\textsuperscript{9} Importantly, the relative intensity of the D band shown in Fig. 1G is significantly larger than that of the graphite starting material. Previously, it has been shown that an increase in the relative intensity of the D band with respect to the starting material for liquid phase exfoliated samples is consistent with the creation of edges, as flakes are cut during sonication.\textsuperscript{10–12} It is also important to note that the change in the 2D band for graphene films compared to the bulk graphite is indicative of exfoliation.\textsuperscript{13} X-ray photoelectron carbon 1s core level spectra were measured on relatively thick vacuum deposited PG and pSWCNT films, as shown in Fig. 1C and D. Both the flakes and the nanotubes show a dominant sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon component, as is expected for high quality samples, and show similar relative amounts of the different oxide species (C–O, C≡O and COOH), as shown in Table 1, at higher binding energy values indicating that the two samples are chemically very similar. The presence of these oxides is consistent with previous results obtained for liquid phase exfoliated graphene and is due to residual surfactant (containing these oxide species) adsorbed to the surface of the films.\textsuperscript{14} The most pronounced difference lies in
the intensity of the sp\(^3\) carbon component at a binding energy of 285.2 eV. This difference is most likely due to different levels of residual surfactant. Graphene and nanotubes predominantly contain sp\(^3\) bonded carbon atoms, whereas the surfactants are entirely composed of sp\(^3\) bonded carbon atoms. A greater amount of residual surfactant on the tubes would hence increase the sp\(^3\) contribution. This is plausible since the surfactant to tube ratio is much greater than the surfactant to graphene ratio in dispersion. Raman spectroscopy and XPS confirmed that the exfoliated graphene flakes used throughout this study are un-oxidised and feature very low defect density.

### Cytotoxicity assessment

Acute toxicity of the PG and pSWCNT suspensions in the primary macrophages was explored using different approaches, a live–dead assay and a whole cell-based electrical impedance sensing technique. For the live–dead assay, the primary macrophages were exposed to PG or pSWCNT suspensions (Fig. 4a, d, b and c respectively). Another set of primary macrophages was grown on glass coverslips, PG thin films, or pSWCNT thin films for 10 days (Fig. 4a, d, b and c respectively). Using cytoskeletal stains for F-actin, tubulin and a nuclear stain, these cells were imaged and

Table 1  XPS relative content of different oxide species populations (%) for the graphene (PG) and nanotubes (pSWCNT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XPS – species populations (%)</th>
<th>C==C</th>
<th>C–C</th>
<th>C–O</th>
<th>C=O</th>
<th>C–COOH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSWCNT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results for cells following the treatment with the highest nanomaterial concentration of 10 μg ml\(^{-1}\). The average cell viability is determined by the ratio of dead to live cells.

### Detection of primary macrophage cell adhesion by real time impedance measurement over 11 days.

Following attachment of the cells to the bottom of the well they partially insulate the electrodes which causes a rise in impedance (cell index). After 7 days (168 h) monocytes differentiated into macrophages and adhered to the bottom of the plate. The untreated cells and those treated with PG or pSWCNT showed no significant difference in cell index over 96 h, indicating the fully preserved cell adhesion and confirming the absence of toxic effect from either nanomaterial.
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed the formation of filopodia in response to both the PG and pSWCNT. The presence of the large single membrane lysosomal vesicles was not observed in cells treated with the SWCNT. The most striking subcellular features observed in the primary macrophages following treatment with pSWCNT was the formation of multiple double membrane vesicles which are characteristic of autophagic vesicles (Fig. 6d–f). All of these autophagic vesicles were very dense and contrasted to the rest of the subcellular regions. However, as it was not possible to confirm whether or not they contained pSWCNT using TEM imaging, further experiments using Raman spectroscopy and TGA were used to further explore this. It should be noted that no such double membrane vesicles containing similar dense regions were observed in the control cells or in those treated with PG. These TEM data clearly suggest, firstly that the graphene is phagocytosed by the primary macrophages and, secondly that two distinct catabolic pathways are induced in response to the pSWCNT and PG, an autophagic and lysosomal pathway, respectively.

Confocal microscopy was used to explore further the autophagic and lysosomal response of the cells to the pSWCNT and however, there is only evidence of uptake of the PG by the primary macrophages enabled by this phagocytic mechanism. At 4 h post treatment, the formation of filopodia around the PG is evident (the filopodia are indicated by black arrows and the PG is indicated by white arrows in Fig. 5). Although the cells treated with the pSWCNT similarly showed the formation of filopodia in response to the nanomaterial, there was no evidence of phagocytosis of the pSWCNT. TEM images taken 24 h post treatment show that the PG was taken up in abundance by the primary macrophages and is ultimately located within single membrane vesicles (Fig. 6a–c). The PG is present in densely packed multi-layered aggregates within these single membrane lysosomal vesicles. This phagocytic pathway of uptake is not surprising for nanomaterials in the 500 nm range and has been well documented previously.\(^{15}\) The presence of the large single membrane lysosomal vesicles was not observed in cells treated with the SWCNT. The most striking subcellular features observed in the primary macrophages following treatment with pSWCNT was the formation of multiple double membrane vesicles which are characteristic of autophagic vesicles (Fig. 6d–f). All of these autophagic vesicles were very dense and contrasted to the rest of the subcellular regions. However, as it was not possible to confirm whether or not they contained pSWCNT using TEM imaging, further experiments using Raman spectroscopy and TGA were used to further explore this. It should be noted that no such double membrane vesicles containing similar dense regions were observed in the control cells or in those treated with PG. These TEM data clearly suggest, firstly that the graphene is phagocytosed by the primary macrophages and, secondly that two distinct catabolic pathways are induced in response to the pSWCNT and PG, an autophagic and lysosomal pathway, respectively.

Confocal microscopy was used to explore further the autophagic and lysosomal response of the cells to the pSWCNT and
PG. Firstly, LAMP proteins were stained, which are the most abundant constituents of lysosomal membranes. In untreated cells, the LAMP protein staining has a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution (Fig. 7a). In contrast, following the treatment with the PG, LAMP proteins are now arranged in a pattern of large vesicles, indicative of the formation of lysosomes (Fig. 7c). The lysosomes formed following the treatment with SWCNT were considerably smaller in size and not as abundant (Fig. 7d). These images are consistent with the type of subcellular vesicles observed using TEM.

The cells were also stained for microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3) which is located on the inner and outer membranes of the double membrane autophagic vesicles. LC3 modification is essential for the formation of autophagosomes. The lipidded form LC3-II of the cytosolic LC3-I is a definitive marker of autophagy induction in mammalian cells. In untreated cells, the LC3-II was predominantly distributed diffusely throughout the cell, apart from the presence of a couple of larger puncta (Fig. 8a). The presence of these larger puncta is not unusual, as the autophagic process is a homeostatic process and occurs at a basal level in all cells enabling the degradation of old proteins and organelles. Chloroquine was used as a positive control as it arrests autophagy causing a build-up of autophagic vesicles, and therefore the accumulation of LC3-II protein in the cells (Fig. 8b). Following a 24 h exposure to PG, the LC3-II is distributed diffusely throughout the cells (Fig. 8c), similarly to the pattern also observed in the untreated cells. This dramatically contrasts with a marked increase in the size and distribution of autophagic vesicles in the cells treated with pSWCNT (Fig. 8d). This observed size difference in autophagic vesicles

![Fig. 7 Lysosomal response of cells explored by confocal microscopy. Primary macrophages were stained for the lysosomal marker LAMP protein (green), actin (red), and nucleus (blue). Each image represents a 0.9 μm optical slice through a cell. Control untreated cells (a), cells treated with chloroquine for 4 h (b), 1 μg ml⁻¹ PG (c) or SWCNT (d) for 24 h. Compared to the untreated cell (a), where the LAMP staining has a diffuse distribution throughout the cytoplasm, there is a marked increase in the formation of lysosomes following treatment with the PG (c) which are significantly larger than those formed following pSWCNT treatment (d). These observations are consistent with the TEM findings.](image)

![Fig. 8 Autophagic response of primary macrophages investigated by confocal microscopy. Cells were stained for the autophagic marker LC3-II protein (green) and nuclei (blue). Cells were treated with 1 μg ml⁻¹ PG (c), or pSWCNT (d) for 24 h, and chloroquine (b) for 4 h as a positive control for autophagy. The LC3-II was predominantly distributed diffusely throughout the untreated cells, apart from the presence of a few larger puncta (a) and a similar pattern was observed in the cells treated with PG (c). Cells treated with pSWCNT typically showed an increase in the presence of large puncta (d).](image)

![Fig. 9 Western blot analysis of the autophagy marker LC3 protein induction in primary human macrophages. Cells were treated with or without 1 μg ml⁻¹ of PG or pSWCNT for 4 or 24 h, or with chloroquine for 2 h as a positive control for autophagy. Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane, and probed with anti-LC3 or anti-α-tubulin antibodies. The amount of LC3-II protein expressed in the cells treated with pristine graphene is on par with the control cells, which indicates the presence of a basal level of autophagic activity. However, the expression of LC3-II protein following pSWCNT is significantly higher than in the control and this increased expression confirms an autophagic response to the pSWCNT, which is in consistency with the TEM imaging and confocal microscopy observations.](image)
and distribution was further investigated and quantified by SDS-PAGE and western immunoblotting. Following up to 24 h incubation with PG, the macrophages showed the LC3-II levels comparable with untreated cells, indicative of the absence of autophagic response (Fig. 9). Strikingly, pSWCNT treated cells showed a marked increase in the amount of LC3-II protein, which remained elevated even after 24 h (Fig. 9), clearly indicating the induction of autophagy.

Quantification of nanomaterial uptake

The TEM images confirmed that PG was taken up in abundance by the cells, but the level to which the pSWCNT were endocytosed remained unclear using this technique. Raman spectroscopy and thermogravimetric analysis were therefore employed to address this. Raman spectroscopic mapping was carried out using a 20× dry objective which gave a spot size of ~1.6 μm enabling a large volume of cell to be measured and analysed. Both PG and pSWCNT have a discrete Raman peak at ~1580 cm⁻¹ which is known as the G-band. The presence of this G band in a Raman spectrum would confirm the presence of PG or pSWCNT within the cells and therefore Raman maps generated based on the G-band sum were acquired along with an accompanying brightfield image. Fig. 10a and b illustrate a typical Raman map and brightfield image of primary macrophages following exposure to the PG. Raman mapping revealed the presence of PG throughout the entire cell, compared to little or no uptake of the pSWCNT (Fig. 10b and d, respectively). The PG appeared to be located in discrete regions of the cell in a vesicular pattern, consistent with the observed increase in lysosomal vesicles by fluorescent microscopy and single membrane vesicles packed with PG imaged by TEM. In contrast, following pSWCNT exposure, little or no uptake was observed by Raman spectroscopy compared to the amount of PG taken up by the cells. To quantify the amount of nanomaterial uptake, TGA analysis was carried out. It was found that approximately 20–30% of the remaining dry mass of the cells treated with PG was made up of PG whereas the amount of pSWCNT in the cells was below the detection limits of the system (Fig. 11). These data confirm that the PG was taken up in abundance by the cells whereas the pSWCNT displayed a dramatically lower level of endocytosis.

Analysis of biodegradation of pristine graphene

Finally, HRTEM analysis was carried out to explore the integrity of the pristine graphene within the cells after 24 h. Fig. 12 shows two examples of the typical PG lattice structures measured from within the cells at the 4- and 24 h time-points. The presence of the lattice fringes shows that the graphitic structure remained intact. The inset in Fig. 12 shows the line profiles taken across the transect A–B, measuring the periodic distance between the lattice fringes. The spacing between the lattice fringes of the structures was found to be approximately 0.34 nm for both time points, 4 h and 24 h, which is what is expected for pristine graphene. In addition, the second inset shows the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the TEM image. This further confirmed the presence of crystalline material in the region. It can be concluded from this data that the PG remains graphitic and...
does not undergo detectable degradation even after 24 h accumulation within the lysosomal compartments of primary macrophages.

**Experimental**

**Cell culture and treatments**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from the buffy coat of anonymous healthy donors (provided with permission by the Irish Blood Transfusion Service) by centrifugation on Lymphoprep (Axis- Shield, Oslo, Norway) density gradient, washed and re-suspended in RPMI-1640 culture medium, supplemented with 10% pooled human serum type AB (Sigma), with 100 mg of penicillin per mL and 100 mg of streptomycin per mL (Sigma, P4333). Cells were seeded at a density of $5 \times 10^6$ cells per mL onto glass coverslips that were placed in 24 well tissue-culture plates (Fisher Scientific Ireland Ltd., Dublin, Ireland). Non-adherent cells were removed by washing with warm medium every 2–3 days. MDMs were cultured for 7 days before treatment (apart from those that were seeded onto the thin films from day 1).

Immortalised bone marrow-derived macrophages (iBMM) from C57BL/6 mice stably expressing EGFP-LC3 (GFP-LC3) described previously (Harris et al., 2011; Hartman and Kornfeld, 2011) and cultured in Gibco® RPMI 1640 medium were used. In all cases the medium was supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 50 U ml$^{-1}$ penicillin and 50 mg ml$^{-1}$ streptomycin, and cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$. iBMM cells were maintained in 5 mg ml$^{-1}$ puromycin. All cell culture reagents were obtained from Life Technologies Corporation (Bio-Sciences, Dublin, Ireland). Cells were seeded onto round cover slips in 24 well tissue-culture plates (Fisher Scientific Ireland Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) at a density of $5 \times 10^3$ cells per well.

**Graphene/SWCNT dispersions and thin films**

The pristine graphene (PG) dispersions (TCD) used in this work were prepared by adding 2500 mg of graphite powder, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (product number 332461) to 100 ml of aqueous surfactant solution (0.5 mg ml$^{-1}$ sodium cholate) to give an initial graphitic concentration of 25 mg ml$^{-1}$. This mixture was sonicated using a sonic tip (a Sonics VX-750 ultrasonic processor with a flat head tip) for 60 min at 75% of the maximum power (i.e. 75% of 750 W nominal maximum power). The dispersion was left to stand overnight. The top 50 ml was decanted into a 28.5 ml vials and centrifuged (HettichMikro 22R) for 90 min at 1500 rpm. The top 15 ml in each vial was then decanted. UV-Vis-IR absorption spectroscopy (Varian Cary 6000i) was carried out immediately after centrifugation. The concentration of graphene remaining dispersed after centrifugation was calculated from the absorption spectra using an extinction coefficient of 6600 ml$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$. 18

Single walled carbon nanotube (Ilijin Nanotech) dispersions were prepared in a similar manner by adding nanotubes to a solution of sodium dodecyl sulphate surfactant in water (5 mg ml$^{-1}$ SDS) such that the nanotube concentration was 1 mg ml$^{-1}$. This dispersion was subjected to 5 min of high power tip sonication (Vibracell CVX; 750 W, 20% 60 kHz), then placed in a sonic bath for 1 h, and then subjected to another 5 min of high-power sonication. The resulting dispersion was left to stand overnight before being centrifuged (HettichMikro 22R) for 90 min at 5500 rpm.

Individual dispersions of PG and pSWCNT were sonicated in water surfactant solutions as described previously. Following centrifugation of these samples to remove any un-exfoliated material, the dispersed concentration was accurately determined by UV-Vis-nIR absorption spectroscopy. A predetermined concentration of PG or pSWCNT was filtered onto nitrocellulose membrane by vacuum filtration and washed with 1 l of deionised water to remove excess surfactant. After washing, the film was allowed to dry at room temperature in a vacuum oven.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

Suspensions of the PG and pSWCNT were prepared at a concentration of 0.007 mg ml$^{-1}$, 20 µl of the suspension was pipetted onto silicon and allowed to dry. A Hitachi SU6600 was used to record the SEM images. Both the PG and pSWCNT were imaged using an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and a magnification of ×130k.

**Dynamic light scattering**

Suspensions of the PG and pSWCNT were prepared at a concentration of 0.026 mg ml$^{-1}$. 1 ml of this suspension was added to cuvette and size measurements were carried out using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano Series Nano ZS system.

**Cell staining**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^6$ cells per ml on the two types of thin films or in 8 well Labtek chamber slides (Nunc, Thermo Fisher). After 10 days the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes at room temperature, washed once with PBS and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton-X for 3 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS and stained. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma), 1 : 1000 dilution, secondary anti-body alexa
A density of 10 single walled carbon nanotubes was performed using an previously optimized and reported converted into growth curves (a protocol which has been 

well) in a 96-well Nunc plates in RPMI media (Gibco, Life 

Real-time monitoring of electrical impedance (which depends on cell number, degree of adhesion, spreading, and proliferation of the cells) to determine cytotoxic effects of graphene or single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and nanomaterial surfactants at a series of dilutions in complete media (0, 0.1, 1, 2, 5, 10 µg ml⁻¹; 200 µl per well) for 24 h. Untreated cells (negative control) and cells exposed to 70% methanol for 30 min (positive control) were also included in the experimental design. After 24 h, cells were washed and stained using CyteLLTM Cell Viability Kit (GE Healthcare, Life Sciences). A 4x reagent master mix (50 µl) is added to 150 µl of serum free RPMI media (200 µl per well) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 45 min. Cell viability was measured using the cell viability BioApp 2-color protocol. Ten fields were imaged per well and an average cell viability was calculated, based on the ratio of dead to live cells. Samples were carried out in duplicate and to the n-3.

Transmission electron microscopy

Cells. Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were first fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Sørensen’s phosphate buffer for a minimum of 2 h at room temperature and post fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in Sørensen’s phosphate buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the specimens were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 100%). When dehydration was complete, samples were transferred from 100% ethanol to a mixture of 1 part of ethanol and 1 part of epoxy resin for 1 h. To complete the resin infiltration the samples were placed in 100% resin at +37°C for 2 h. Finally samples were embedded in resin, placed at +60°C for 24 h to complete polymerisation. For orientation purposes, 500 nm sections were cut from each sample at, stained with toluidine blue, and examined by light microscopy (Leica DMLB, Leica Microsystems, Germany). From these survey sections areas of interest were identified and ultrathin (80 nm) sections were cut using a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). These sections were collected on 200 mesh thin bar copper grids, stained with uranyl acetate for 20 min, lead citrate for 5 min and examined by transmission electron microscopy (Tecnai G2 12 BioTWIN using an accelerating voltage of 120 kV).

High resolution imaging

After initial characterisation at lower magnification, multiple ultrathin sections of each sample (4 h and 24 h exposure) were viewed in an FEI Titan 80–300 scanning/transmission electron microscope (S/TEM) operated at 300 kV. Bright-field TEM images were captured with a maximum acquisition time of 0.5 s.

An operating voltage of 300 kV was chosen over 80 kV after a beam damage study showing the increased radiolysis damage caused to the cells at lower operating voltage. In our HRTEM images, no visible damage to the f-MWNTs was observed after 488 nm (Molecular Probes) (1 : 1000 dilution), and actin stained with rhodamine phalloidin (Invitrogen) (1 : 250 dilution) was added for 60 minutes. Two more final washes with PBS and a cover slip was then mounted on the thin films using mounting media (DAKO) and left to dry overnight before imaging.

Lysoosomal staining. Cells were fixed with 4% para-formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, washed once with PBS and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton-X for 3 min. Lamp primary antibody (Lamp-1, H5G11) was added for 2 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and the stained for nuclei (Hoechst 33342, Sigma), actin (anti-α-actin, Sigma) and Alexa 488 nm secondary for 1 h. Two more washes were done before the coverslips with cells growing on them were inverted, mounted onto glass slides and left to dry overnight at ambient temperature before imaging.

Autophagosomal staining. Cells were fixed in methanol for 6 min at –80°C, washed once with PBS and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton-X for 3 min. Anti-LC3 (N-Terminal, Clone2G6 (nontools)) was added for 2 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and the stained for nuclei (Hoescht) and alexa 488 nm secondary for 1 h. The coverslips were further processed and mounted as described above for lysosomal staining.

Confocal and fluorescent microscopy

Confocal imaging was carried out using a laser scanning Zeiss LSM510-Meta microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging Inc., NY, USA) with a x63 oil immersion objective lens. Excitation wavelengths used were 405 nm, 488 nm and 561 nm and emission filters were BP 420–480 nm, BP 505–530 nm and 572–754 nm respectively.

Impedance measurements

Real-time monitoring of electrical impedance (which depends on cell number, degree of adhesion, spreading, and proliferation of the cells) to determine cytotoxic effects of graphene or single walled carbon nanotubes was performed using an xCELLigence system as per manufacturer’s instructions (Roche Applied Science, West Sussex, UK). Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 10 x 10⁶ cells per ml into 200 µl of media in the E-Plate® (cross interdigitated micro-electrodes integrated on the bottom of 96-well tissue culture plates by micro-electronic sensor technology) and left to attach onto the electrode surface for 7 days, allowing monocytes to differentiate into macrophages. The electrical impedance was recorded every hour. At 168 h point the cells were treated with graphene or SWCNT in triplicates and monitored for an additional 96 h. The cell impedance, expressed in the arbitrary units of ‘Cell Index’, was automatically calculated by the xCELLigence system and converted into growth curves (a protocol which has been previously optimized and reported[24–28]).

Cell viability screening using the CyteLLTM

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were seeded at a density of 1 x 10⁶ cells per ml (2 x 10⁶ cells per well; 200 µl per well) in a 96-well Nunc plates in RPMI media (Gibco, Life Technologies, cat no. 61870) supplemented with 10% human serum type AB male (Sigma, H4522) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Sigma, P4333). Cells were incubated for 3 days at 37°C, 5% CO₂ to allow the start of cell differentiation into macrophages, washed with warm culture medium and incubated over further 4 days until monocyte/macrophage differentiation has been completed. Primary macrophages were exposed to pristine graphene (PG) or single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and nanomaterial surfactants at a series of dilutions in complete media (0, 0.1, 1, 2, 5, 10 µg ml⁻¹; 200 µl per well) for 24 h. Untreated cells (negative control) and cells exposed to 70% methanol for 30 min (positive control) were also included in the experimental design. After 24 h, cells were washed and stained using CyteLLTM Cell Viability Kit (GE Healthcare, Life Sciences). A 4x reagent master mix (50 µl) is added to 150 µl of serum free RPMI media (200 µl per well) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 45 min. Cell viability was measured using the cell viability BioApp 2-color protocol. Ten fields were imaged per well and an average cell viability was calculated, based on the ratio of dead to live cells. Samples were carried out in duplicate and to the n-3.
direct exposure to the beam for the duration of the acquisition. Prolonged exposure (>10 min) to the beam at 300 kV, which is above the threshold for knock-on damage in carbon, was found to lead to a reduction, never an increase, in the graphitic structure of the material.

Western blotting

The cell lysis was performed as described previously (Verma et al., 2009). The protein content of the cell lysates was determined by Bradford assay. Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of the cell lysates and subsequent western immunoblotting were performed as described previously (Verma et al., 2009). The immunoreactive bands were visualized using the chemiluminescence detection system (Cell signalling Technology, Danvers, MA) and subsequently documented on Kodak light sensitive film (Cedex, France).

Raman spectroscopy

Graphene/carbon nanotubes: both suspensions were prepared at a concentration of 0.029 mg ml⁻¹, 20 µl of this suspension was pipetted onto silicon and allowed to dry. Raman spectra were acquired using a 100× objective, 532 nm, Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR system.

Cells. In preparation for spectral acquisition the primary macrophages were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, washed once with PBS and dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol (60% for 20 min, 80% for 20 min, 90% for 20 min and finally 100% for 30 min). Raman spectroscopic mapping was carried out using a Witec Alpha 300 upright Raman spectrometer (WITec, Germany) with a 20× dry objective lens, 532 nm excitation at a low power (~200 µW). For each scan three spectra were taken per µm in both x and y directions. Brightfield images of each scanned area were recorded. Following spectral acquisition, data analysis was carried out using the WITec analysis software.

Thermogravimetric analysis

In preparation for thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), primary macrophages were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁶ cells per ml in 6-well plates. Following treatment (untreated, graphene or SWCNT treated), the cells from three plates were scraped and pooled together for each TGA sample. The samples were spun for 180 min at 15 000 rpm.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a system equipped with a VG CLAM II electron analyzer and PSP twin anode source. Mg KR (hν) 1253.6 eV spectra were recorded at 10 eV pass energy and 2 mm slits, yielding an overall energy resolution of 0.85 eV. Samples were introduced via a loadlock, and measurement base pressure was better than 10⁻⁹ mbar. The C 1s core-level spectra were deconvoluted into several components which originate from different chemical environments of the carbon, using the Doniach–Sunjic line shape (with an asymmetry index of 0.07) for the graphitic (sp²) carbon component and the standard Gaussian–Lorentzian line shape for the other components.

Discussion

In the present study, the in vitro response of human primary macrophages following exposure to pristine (pSWCNT) and pristine graphene (PG) is explored. PG was phagocytosed readily by the macrophages and transported into single membrane lysosomal vesicles. PG did not induce an autophagic response and was not degraded following 24 h accumulation within the cells. In contrast, the pSWCNT were not readily phagocytosed by the macrophages. Nevertheless, they induced an autophagic response, which emphasises the fact that whether the nano-material is internalised or not, it can still have an indirect impact on the biological organisation. The literature predominantly reports on the bio-interaction of the graphene and carbon nanotube family of nanomaterials with very different edge effects and surface chemistries, all of which contribute to the cellular response but are not intrinsic to pristine graphene or pristine single walled carbon nanotubes. They have very different edge effects and surface chemistries, all of which contribute to the cellular responses, but are not intrinsic to the pristine nanomaterial. Importantly, in this study, the response of the primary macrophages to PG and pSWCNT is compared and contrasted. This enables the direct comparison of two different carbonaceous nanomaterials with similar surface chemistries but different geometries. Such studies are rare, making the overall comparative safety considerations of these two nanomaterials difficult.

The live–dead assay, real time impedance results and confocal microscopic analysis revealed that there were no signs of acute toxicity due to the PG or pSWCNT following the exposure to the concentrations up to 10 µg ml⁻¹. Electron microscopy demonstrated that PG was phagocytosed in abundance by the cells, and transported into single membrane lysosomal vesicles. In contrast, there was no evidence of phagocytosis of the pSWCNT, no large single membrane lysosomal vesicles detected rather an increase in the presence of double membrane vesicles was observed. Raman mapping of the PG within the PM confirmed that PG was taken up by the cells in abundance and was located in discrete vesicular regions throughout the entire cell, which is consistent with the observations made by confocal microscopy and TEM, whereas the pSWCNT were not taken up by the cells to the same extent. Quantification of this uptake was carried out using TGA analysis and revealed 20–30% of the remaining dry mass was made up of PG. The integrity of the PG accumulated within the cells was analysed using HRTEM which revealed that even after 24 h of accumulation within the lysosomal vesicles, graphene remained graphitic showing no signs of biodegradation. This is not surprising as recent studies revealed short carboxylated SWCNT were indeed degraded by myeloperoxidase in neutrophils and to a lesser extent in macrophages. This suggests that some kind of a structural defect or carboxyl site is required
to trigger the biodegradation process of the carbon nanotubes which could also be applicable to graphene.

The fact that the SWCNT were not taken up as readily as the PG by the primary human macrophages comes as no surprise. Literature contains numerous reports on the plentiful uptake of SWCNT which have been functionalised.24-30 Any account on degradation of CNT within cells has been enabled by some degree of CNT surface modification such cutting or functionalization.12,31-35 Reports of direct imaging of SWCNT within cells using TEM are scarce as it is difficult to discriminate between the carbon nanotubes and the carbon rich sub-cellular environment.49 The focus here is on the subcellular response, as opposed to the uptake mechanism which have been previously documented, a schematic by Zhu et al. demonstrates very well the observations seen here for the uptake of a ~500 nm nanomaterial.13 They highlight the importance of the nanomaterial size and aspect ratio in determining cellular uptake with is a highly regulated process by the cells. Whereas other carbonaceous material such as fullerenes which fall into ~120 nm size range are taken up by clathrin mediated endocytosis.44

Here we present Raman mapping which shows trace amounts of SWCNT within the cells so uptake is not ruled out completely. The most striking subcellular features observed within the primary macrophages following treatment with pSWCNT was the formation of multiple double membrane autophagic vesicles packed with dense region which are not present in the untreated or PG treated cells. Microscopic and biochemical techniques confirmed that pSWCNT induced autophagy and PG did not. These data confirm that two different catabolic pathways are triggered in response to the pSWCNT and PG, two carbonaceous nano-materials with similar surface chemistries but unique geometries, an autophagic and lysosomal response, respectively. Despite the fact that a broad range of nanomaterials have been found to induce autophagy,42-46 it is still difficult to say at this stage what primarily drives this autophagic response. Interestingly, the key difference between the PG and pSWCNT in our study is the shape, as both have similar surface chemistries. Previous reports have shown the autophagic response induced by silver nanowires in macrophages.18 Could this imply that the fibrous shape of the pSWCNT and silver wire type nanomaterials is one of the key contributing factors inducing an autophagic response? The hazards of high aspect ratio nanomaterials, where fibre pathogenicity is observed across a multitude of materials, are well studied and understood.47-50 It is entirely possible that autophagy serves as an important contributing factor in this process. On the other hand, there are a number of disease conditions where the autophagic process is disrupted such as Parkinson’s, Huntington’s and Alzheimer’s disease51 and the ability to mimic the properties that drive such an autophagic response would be desirable. Perhaps a biodegradable nanomaterial that mimics the properties of the pSWCNT, which induce this autophagic response, could be developed. All evidence points to the nanomaterial shape as one of the key factors driving this autophagic response.

Conclusions

Here, for the first time the catabolic processes induced in primary human macrophages by two different pristine carbonaceous nanomaterials with similar surface chemistries but different geometries are compared and contrasted. PG does not behave like any of its derivatives, it is phagocytosed by primary macrophages in abundance, does not induce autophagy and is not degraded following 24 h accumulation within these cells. In contrast, the pSWCNT are not phagocytosed by the primary macrophages yet induce an autophagic response. This emphasises the importance of taking a comparative multimodal approach in assessing the biocompatibility of various nanomaterials. This study reveals that PG and pSWCNT differ significantly in their cellular interactions and subsequently induced catabolic, lysosomal and autophagic responses respectively. The dramatic influence of physico-chemical properties of nanomaterials on their subsequent impact at the cellular and sub-cellular levels is verified here.
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