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The incidence of Type 1 diabetes (T1D) in childhood and adolescence is increasing globally with few exceptions. To date no national register of incident cases (Irish Childhood Diabetes National Registry (ICDNR)) using a standardised protocol which includes a measure of case ascertainment using capture-recapture methodology. In the period, 489 new cases were identified. All paediatric centres nationally participated. The directly standardised incidence rate was 27.5 per 100,000 per year (95%CI: 24.0, 30.9) and 26.0 (95%CI: 22.7, 29.3) in 2008 and 2009 respectively. The ICDNR is widely acceptable, it has confirmed a high incidence of T1D and is vital to monitor changes in disease incidence, optimise resource utilisation and diabetes management in the Irish population.

Introduction
The aims of this study were to: explore the epidemiology of T1D in the Irish population aged under 15 years; determine if the ROI is an area of high disease incidence by establishing an Irish Childhood Diabetes National Register (ICDNR).

Methods
All 20 centres (1 private facility) nationally caring for children with T1D were identified and invited to participate in the study. Prospective notification of incident cases were made by Paediatricians and Diabetes Nurse Specialists from Jan 1st 2008. The case definition employed was similar to the Diabetes Mondaie–Diamond Study (1991) and national 1997 study, requiring that cases: were diagnosed by a physician with T1D before their fifteenth birthday; required daily insulin injections; and were resident in the ROI at diagnosis. Exclusions were over 15 years and diabetes secondary to non-type 1 diabetes. On notification of an index case, clinical and demographic data were obtained from the reporting centre for case verification, to exclude multiple reports and facilitate secondary ascertainment. Regular contact was maintained with reporting centres to ensure optimal reporting with a minimum of quarterly contacts with all centres. As some cases over the age of 14 years may present to adult services, adult Endocrinologists were contacted. Information was obtained from the State-held computerised support scheme for those with diabetes was employed as the secondary source of case identification.

Results
Information regarding new insulin prescriptions for the target group in the time period was requested but declined. Participants were enrolled in the National Register following signed informed consent. A Steering Group was established to oversee the Register. Data collection and management was conducted in line with the National Data Protection Legislation. Crude and category specific incidence rates were calculated for age- and sex- category using revised,intercensal estimates of population for 2008 and 2009, provided by the Central Statistics Office (CSO). The direct method of standardisation, using the common standard population, which assumes equal numbers in each, age- and sex- category was employed to permit comparison of incidence rates internationally and across time.

Data was analysed using SPSS 16. Confidence intervals (CIs) for Crude and category-specific rates were derived from confidence intervals for Poisson counts using STATA Release 9 and for directly standardised rates were calculated using the normal approximation to the binomial using Microsoft Excel. Capture-recapture methodology was used to estimate the degree of completeness of ascertainment. The Students t-test and Chi squared test were used where appropriate to compare groups.

Data is not available for ascertainment purposes for those who did not consent to join the ICDNR (n=12). Of these 241 (97.2%) consented to join the National register in 2008, as five migrated and two refused and 236 (97.9%) in 2009 where five patients declined. Minimal anonymous baseline data is available on all notified patients for calculation of incidence rates. Two additional cases, both 14 years old, were identified from Adult services in 2009, and none in 2008. The secondary source of case identification (Long-term Illness notifications) identified 279 potential cases for 2008 and 226 for 2009 respectively, with 168 (60.6%) in 2008 and 150 in 2009. Using capture-recapture methodology the estimated overall case ascertainment was 91.5% (95% CI 87.8-95.5%) for 2008 and 91.5% (95% CI 87.7-95.8%) for 2009, for both sources combined. The completeness of ascertainment of the ICDNR was estimated at 66.3% (95% CI 63.7–69.2%) in 2008 and 73.1% (95% CI 70.0 – 76.4%) in 2009.

Discussion
Establishing a national childhood diabetes register is complex and time consuming. The ICDNR is valued by patients, families, nurse specialists and doctors caring for children with T1D. 100% of centres participate and consistently return data. There is a high participation rate among parents and young children at over...
A number of limitations and misclassifications were identified in the secondary ascertainment source which would result in an under-estimated ascertainment level for the ICDDR. Non-participants (n = 12) in the ICDDR would appear in the PCRS but cases who were registered late with the PCRS would not appear in the incident year resulting in a falsely low ascertainment rate. A number of misclassifications were identified but the researchers were not permitted to validate these cases identified by the secondary source alone to protect patient confidentiality and so ascertainment rates could not be further refined. Had information regarding new insulin prescriptions been made available this would have improved the accuracy of the secondary ascertainment. In an effort to improve the accuracy of the PCRS information from the ICDNR, information was sought from the Local Health Offices, who receive the initial signed notification from clinicians and provide the information to the PCRS. The majority of the Local Health Offices declined to provide data but 4 local Health Offices covering different geographical regions did participate, acting as a secondary source of case identification at a more local level.

These 4 regions reported fewer incident cases (n = 77) than were identified by the ICDDR (n = 80), yielding an ascertainment level of 92.2% for the ICDDR and 99.1% overall for both sources combined. This confirms the authors suspicion that the level of ascertainment by the ICDDR was higher than it would appear from the PCRS data alone. The receiving of information from Local Health Offices and the PCRS data. However, the PCRS data is becoming more reliable and refined through our collaboration. Secondary case ascertainment for those with TID in Ireland is challenging. A number of potential secondary sources were evaluated. The PCRS data set provides national coverage, is the only computerised system and despite its limitations remains the best source of secondary ascertainment currently available and the most amenable for the application of capture-recapture methodology. The authors are grateful to the PCRS who are collaborating closely to further refine the accuracy of the secondary capture data which is improving with time, increasing from 66.3% in 2008 to 73.1% in 2009.

This study confirms Ireland has a high incidence of TID in those under 15 years as reported in the 1997 national study. Comparing the Irish 2008 directly standardised incidence rate of TID with European data from 16 Eurodiab centres from 14 countries, who provide complete data for both time periods 1994-8 and 2004-2008, confirms that the 16 of ROI remains in the top quartile for Europe. From 1997 to 2008, the incidence of TID in the Irish population has increased substantially between 1997 and 2008. From 16.3 to 27.5/100,000/year, Most other areas of Europe have also reported increased incidence in T1D in the time period. A number of studies have described the greatest increase in diabetes in children under five. We found no evidence that the growth rate was different for each of the genders or age groups. We found the increased incidence of diabetes in children aged 5-14 years to be higher in the female age group. The Australian National Register also found the mean incidence increased with age, although they reported significantly higher incidence in boys aged 5-14 and 10-14 years. North West Saudi Arabia also reported an increased incidence in the older age groups. In the Irish population there is no statistical evidence to suggest that the average age at diagnosis is decreasing. In the ROI the increased IR is noted with increasing age category, being highest in the 10-14.99 age category, this could possibly reflect an environmental effect 5-10 years previously affecting the older age groups more. Perhaps, the slight though not significant reduction in IR in 2009 may reflect a stabilisation in the Irish population. It may be that the Irish childhood population has experienced increased environmental pressure on those predisposed to the development of Type 1 diabetes in the recent past and are now approaching influence coming to a point of stabilisation. The Republic of Ireland being a small island and the high incidence area of Type 1 diabetes. The incidence has risen substantially since 1997 and further monitoring is required to determine if this is stabilising in this population. Data was collected with a high participation rate and provides an important mechanism to monitor future trends in diabetes epidemiology in the Irish population. The ICDDR provides for the first time robust data for health planning and audit purposes. Understanding the cause of Type 1 diabetes and the environmental agent (s) that is causing this disease to occur more frequently and at an earlier age in some populations is crucial to ultimately prevent this disease. National disease registries are essential for the provision of reliable information to enable better understanding of this process and the allocation of appropriate resources to manage this important disease.
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