Atypical Alstrome syndrome with novel ALMS1 mutations precluded by current diagnostic criteria
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ABSTRACT

We report on clinical and genetic studies in a non-consanguineous Irish sib-pair with infantile dilated cardiomyopathy and retinopathy. A diagnosis of Alström Syndrome (AS) was considered and diagnostic testing pursued. The Alström gene (ALMS1) is very large (23 exons) and diagnostic testing of mutational hotspots (exon 6, 8 and 10) was negative. Furthermore the siblings were tall and did not have the typical phenotype of nystagmus, photophobia, obesity or hearing loss and so the AS diagnosis was removed. We then sought to identify the causative gene in this family using whole exome sequencing. Unexpectedly, the exome analysis identified novel compound heterozygous ALMS1 mutations in exon 5 (c.777del:p.D260fsX26) and exon 20 (c.12145_12146insC:p.S4044fsX36) that segregated with the phenotype. Although the siblings show some clinical overlap with AS, their phenotype is not classical. It is plausible that their atypical presentation may be due to the location of the ALMS1 mutations outside the usual mutational hotspots. Our findings show how atypical cases of AS may be missed under the current diagnostic guidelines and support consideration of complete ALMS1 sequencing in children with two or more features, even if all of the core clinical features of AS are not present.

1. Introduction

We report on a pair of Irish siblings (male and female) with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and cone-rod dysrophy born to non-consanguineous, phenotypically normal parents (Fig. 1). Abnormalities of the heart and eye are part of the spectrum of clinical features observed in Alström Syndrome (AS) [OMIM 203800]. Nearly all AS patients (96%) develop nystagmus and photophobia as well as truncal obesity (>100%) in the first year of life [Marshall et al., 2005]. Progressive sensorineural hearing loss presents in the first decade in as many as 70% of patients and may be detected as early as one year of age [Marshall et al., 2005]. Infantile DCM is observed in approximately 60% of patients and diabetes, hepatic and renal dysfunction can present later in life [Makaryus et al., 2001].

AS is caused by mutations in ALMS1, a large gene comprising 23 exons. Most affected individuals are compound heterozygotes, having mutations involving one or more of exons 8 (25%), 10 (27%) and 16 (41%) which are referred to as the “mutational hotspots” [Marshall et al., 2007, 2011]. A screening strategy that first targets the mutational hotspots has been successfully used [Marshall et al., 2011]. Given the heart and eye involvements in the current patients, a diagnosis of AS was considered. Linkage analysis with microsatellite markers showed that the siblings shared the same haplotype at the ALMS1 locus and diagnostic sequencing of ALMS1 mutational hotspots was undertaken. No pathogenic variants were identified. At the time of testing, complete gene sequencing was only pursued in cases where at least one pathogenic variant was identified in a mutational hotspot. Due to the absence of variants in the ALMS1 mutational
hotspots and the atypical presentation in these siblings, the AS diagnosis was excluded. Extensive investigations subsequently excluded a wide differential but the cause of the disorder remained unknown. We undertook whole exome sequencing to identify the recessive gene responsible for the DCM and retinopathy in this family.

2. Methods

2.1. Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient’s parents and the study was approved by the ethics committee of Temple Street Children’s University Hospital, Ireland.

2.2. Exome sequencing

Exome sequencing was performed on genomic DNA from one affected child [H2]. Libraries were prepared and hybridised with the SureSelect 50 Mb Human All Exon capture probes (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The enriched libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq at GATC (Konstanz, Germany). The paired-end reads were aligned to the hg18 reference genome with the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool 0.5.7 [Li and Durbin, 2009]. Reads of inadequate sequence quality and potential PCR duplicates were discarded. The quality scores for the aligned reads were recalculated using GATK [McKenna et al., 2010]. Regions containing clusters of SNPs were identified and the reads in these regions were realigned using GATK Variants and indels were identified using SAMtools [Li et al., 2009]. Assuming an autosomal recessive model, we prioritised variants that were (i) autosomal, (ii) homozygous or potential compound heterozygous, (iii) not present in dbSNP137, (iv) absent or present with a frequency <1% in our 50 Irish control exomes, (v) located within an SNP linkage interval identified using Merlin (data not shown) and (vi) absent or present with a frequency <1% in the NHLBI Exome Variant Server database.

2.3. ALMS1 validation

PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing of the amplicons were used to validate the ALMS1 NM_015120.4 variants identified by exome sequencing (Supplementary Table S1).

3. Results

3.1. Clinical report

3.1.1. Patient II:1

Patient II:1 was born at term following an uneventful pregnancy weighing 4.185 kg. At 10 days old she was noticed to be a slow feeder. At 4.5 weeks she was admitted to hospital with a 2.0 day history of lethargy, poor oral intake and respiratory and cardiac distress and a diagnosis of dilated cardiomyopathy was made. She was commenced on diuretics and digoxin and subsequently improved. At 9 months, her heart function had almost normalised. She was commenced on an ACE inhibitor at age 8 years and has stable cardiac function. During the first few years of life, she experienced recurrent upper respiratory tract infections, but these lessened with time.

She was noted to have poor eyesight at 2 years of age and had a reduction in her field of vision. She was prescribed glasses. Although the retina appeared normal, electroretinography showed a flat response, confirming a cone–rod dystrophy. The visual acuity and the electrophysiology suggested that the cones were more affected than the rods, although the rods system was also significantly affected. Significant visual impairment was diagnosed at the age of 6 years. Her central vision continues to deteriorate but the peripheral vision remains satisfactory. Currently, at aged 11 years, she has no visual phenomena. An MRI brain scan was essentially normal. Metabolic investigations were all within normal limits.

At age 6 years 4 months her weight was 24.4 kg (75th centile), height 116.6 cm (75th centile) and head circumference 51 cm (<30th centile). Repeat measurements performed aged 11 years showed a weight of 31.2 kg (90th centile), height of 150.4 cm (90th centile) and head circumference of 52.2 cm (<50th centile). Her Body Mass Index is 18. General physical examination including examination of the heart, lungs, abdomen, tone and reflexes was normal. She has no dysmorphic features. Her general health is good. Abdominal and pelvic ultrasound was normal. She has dyslexia (10th percentile for reading), requires a special needs assistant in school and receives 13h resource teaching a week.

3.1.2. Patient II:2

Patient II:2 was sent for cardiac assessment at 12 weeks of age because of his sister’s history. This revealed a dilated cardiomyopathy and he was treated with diuretics and digoxin. He was taken off digoxin at age 5 years and commenced on an ACE inhibitor and an α1 blocker. His left ventricle, however, remains enlarged on echocardiogram. Ophthalmologic assessment at age 1 years and 2 months he was noted to be mild hypertonic with symmetrical degree of astigmatism. A follow-up visit at age 1 year and 7 months showed that vision in both eyes was 6/12 equivalent with Certifi Grids. At this time, the refraction was quite less hypertonic but a significant astigmatic component remained. Ophthalmologic examination found no specific features of metabolic or mitochondrial problems. He has a history of recurrent upper respiratory tract infections. He had a tonsillar and adenoidectomy done and grommets inserted aged 4 years. At age 3 years 7 months his height was 174 cm (75th centile), height 104 cm (75th centile) and head circumference 51.5 cm (50th centile). At his most recent review, aged 5 years, the patient’s height was 124.5 cm (75th centile) and height 137.4 cm (75th centile). His Body Mass Index is 18.5. General physical examination including examination of the heart, lungs, abdomen, gait, tone and reflexes was normal.

3.2. Exome sequencing

To identify the gene responsible for the DCM and retinopathy in this family we undertook exome sequencing of one affected child
Assuming an autosomal recessive model, our prioritisation strategy identified a single candidate gene, ALOX15 (Supplementary Table S3). Both affected children share two novel compound heterozygous frameshift mutations; a paternally inherited deletion in exon 5 (c.777delT:p.D260fs*26) and a maternally inherited insertion in exon 20 (c.12145_12146insC:p.S4048fs*36) (Fig. 2). Validation and segregation of the ALOX15 variants with the disease phenotype was confirmed by Sanger sequence analysis (Fig. 3).

### 3.3. Follow-up clinical investigations

The patients underwent general paediatric review following confirmation of the ALOX15 diagnosis (Table 1). Cardiac function remains satisfactory with current medication. Both children have visual impairment which is more severe in the older affected child (II:1). Importantly, both children are not overweight. Their Body Mass Index (BMI) is in the normal range. In the affected female (II:1), pulmonary function tests indicated mild small airways disease/asthma. This was not noted in the affected male (II:2). Initial testing in the affected female (II:1) showed abnormal liver function tests which have normalised over the past 6 months. A very mild coagulation abnormality with normal synthetic function was also detected in both patients but was considered to be drug-related. A hearing test showed a slight dip at high frequencies within normal thresholds in II:1 which is asymptomatic. The hearing test in II:2 was normal.

### 4. Discussion

Clinical diagnostic criteria are essential tools to aid the clinician to determine when and whether to order expensive genetic tests. However, clinical diagnostic criteria for disorders such as Rett and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome have become obsolete as it became apparent that many cases had mutations in the associated disease genes despite not meeting the diagnostic criteria. When the ALOX15 gene was identified, the size and nature of the gene predicted comprehensive sequencing as a diagnostic test. A testing strategy was adopted which required at least one mutation to be identified in the mutational hotspots before further analysis was pursued. Patients

---

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of ALOX15 mutations. The paternally inherited exon 5 deletion removes a frameshift at residue 209 and introduces a premature stop codon at residue 214, eliminating 518 wild-type amino acids. The maternally inherited insertion in exon 20 causes frameshift at residue 499 and premature termination at residue 500. The frameshift occurs directly within the ALOX15 exon (exon 20) (exon 20). The ALOX15 mutations are denoted with an asterisk (*). Four reported patients have two ALOX15 variants (homozygous or compound heterozygous) outside the mutational hotspots. The exonic locations of these variants are indicated with cross-hatching and the asterisks are outlined in bold.

**Fig. 3.** Sanger sequence validation of ALOX15 frameshift mutations. Both affected children are compound heterozygous for a paternally inherited 1 base-pair deletion in exon 5 and a maternally inherited 1 base-pair insertion in exon 20 of ALOX15. The healthy sibling (II:3) is a carrier of the exon 20 insertion but did not inherit the exon 5 deletion.
without mutations in the hotspots were re-assessed clinically and alternative diagnoses pursued. We have identified novel compound heterozygous truncating mutations in ALMS1, the gene associated with Alström Syndrome, in non-consanguineous fresh siblings with an atypical presentation. The absence of a number of key clinical features (obesity, nystagmus, photophobia, short stature and hearing loss) together with the absence of a mutation in the ALMS1 hotspot had initially deterred us from this diagnosis. Our study suggests that the current clinical diagnostic criteria and genetic testing strategy will miss atypical cases.

Similar to the reported AS disease mutations, the mutations identified in the patients in the current study (a deletion in exon 5 and an insertion in exon 20) are also framed and result in premature protein truncation. The exon 5 deletion causes frameshift at residue 260 and introduces a premature stop codon at residue 284. The resulting truncated protein lacks 3983 wild-type amino acids (59.8%) and is predicted to undergo nonsense-mediated decay. The 1 base-pair insertion in exon 20 causes frameshift at residue 4049 and premature termination at residue 4051. Although located at the C-terminus of the transcript, the frameshift occurs directly within the ALMS1 localization to the centrosomes by Knoerz and colleagues showed that constructs lacking the 3' end (3176–4167) of ALMS1 show diffuse or less compact chromosomal staining and constructs missing from residues 5 onwards show no detectable centrosomal staining [Knoerz et al., 2010]. Therefore, based on the work of Knoerz et al. [2010], it is likely that both mutant proteins identified in the affected siblings will show reduced or absent localization to the centrosomes.

The variants identified in our patients are outside of the mutational hotspots and are the first report of mutations in ALMS1 exons 5 and 20 [Ashtari and Barrett, 2014]. Review of the EURO-WABF ALMS1 LOVD genetic database and PubMed identified four patients with two pathogenic ALMS1 variants, both located outside of the ALMS1 mutation hotspots (Supplementary Table S4). The spectrum of clinical features in patients with two variants outside of the commonly mutated ALMS1 exons 8, 16 and 18 differs from the patients reported in the current study (with variants in exons 5 and 20) but also deviates from the classical AS presentation; one or more common AS features are absent and additional non-AS features are present in some patients. The spectrum of clinical features in patients with two variants outside of the commonly mutated ALMS1 exons 8, 16 and 18 varies greatly and no genotype-phenotype correlation is apparent. We hypothesise that the nature and location of the ALMS1 mutations may account for the atypical presentation in the siblings reported in this study. It is plausible that the milder phenotype of the siblings described here relates to this mutation in exon 20 which is located towards the 3' end of the 23 exon ALMS1 gene. These ALMS1 isoforms have been reported with different tissue-specific expression and function [Collin et al., 2012]. ALMS1 isoform 3 lacks exon 20 (one of the exons mutated in these children). It is possible that expression of ALMS1 isoform 3 in the brain results in a mixture of wild type (isoform 3 lacking exon 20 mutation) and mutant ALMS1 protein in these tissues, possibly sparing the patients from obesity and hearing loss.

Childhood-onset obesity is a cardinal feature of AS and a normal BMI (<25 kg/m²) is extremely rare. Childhood hyperpyrexia has been suggested as a possible cause contributing to obesity, although the evidence remains anecdotal [Maffei et al., 2002; Marshall et al., 2005]. It has been proposed that a defective ALMS1 protein in the brain and pancreas could impair normal functioning of satiety factors leading to overeating [Marshall et al., 2011]. Another possible explanation for childhood obesity in AS relates to the role of ALMS1 in ciliary function. Obesity in ophthalmic syndromes suggests cilia are utilised in the neural circuitry that monitors food intake [Loudu and Grove, 2011]. There have been three published reports of patients with AS without obesity, although the specific ALMS1 mutations in the patients were not reported [Koc et al., 2006; Marshall et al., 2011].

To our knowledge, this is the first report of AS without nystagmus, photophobia, obesity and hearing loss. Although the updated 2013 guidelines recommend sequencing of all ALMS1 exons, the patients in our study do not meet the criteria for a clinical diagnosis of AS (due to the absence of photophobia) and hence we would not warrant ALMS1 sequencing under current guidelines [Marshall et al., 2013]. The atypical presentation in the siblings described here suggests that the diagnostic criteria for AS may need to be broadened to include patients with an isolated eye and heart phenotype. Our study expands the clinical spectrum associated with ALMS1 mutations and supports complete ALMS1 gene sequencing in children that present with infantile cardiomyopathy and retinopathy, even in the absence of the full complement of classical AS features.
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