Exosomes from triple-negative breast cancer cells can transfer phenotypic traits representing their cells of origin to secondary cells
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Abstract

Background
Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) accounts for 15–20% of breast cancers but is responsible for a disproportionate number of deaths. We investigated the relevance, in TNBC, of nano-sized exosomes expelled from cells. Specifically, we compared effects of exosomes derived from the claudin-low TNBC cell line Hs578T and its more invasive Hs578Ts(i)8 variant, as well as exosomes from TNBC patient sera compared to normal sera.

Methods
Exosomes were isolated from conditioned media (CM) of Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)8 cells and from sera by filtration and ultracentrifugation. Successful isolation was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy and immunoblotting. Subsequent analysis, of secondary/recipient cells in response to exosomes, included proliferation; motility/migration; invasion; anoikis assays and endothelial tubule formation assays.

Results
Hs578Ts(i)8-exosomes versus Hs578T-exosomes significantly increased the proliferation, migration and invasion capacity of all three recipient cell lines evaluated i.e. SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954. Exosomes from Hs578Ts(i)8 cells also conferred increased invasiveness to parent Hs578T cells. Hs578Ts(i)8-exosomes increased sensitivity of SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954 to anoikis when compared to the effects of Hs578T-exosomes reflecting the fact that Hs578Ts(i)8 cells are themselves innately more sensitive to anoikis. In relation to vasculogenesis and subsequent angiogenesis, Hs578Ts(i)8-exosomes versus Hs578T-exosomes stimulated significantly more endothelial tubules formation. Finally, our pilot translational study showed that exosomes from TNBC patients’ sera significantly increased recipient cells’ invasion when compared to those derived from age- and gender-matched healthy control sera.
Conclusion

This study supports the hypothesis that TNBC exosomes may be involved in cancer cell-to-cell communication, conferring phenotypic traits to secondary cells that reflect those of their cells of origin.
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1. Introduction

Exosomes and microvesicles expelled from eukaryotic cells have attracted much recent interest since the realisation that these are not merely debris, but rather miniature maps of their cells of origin with both physiological and pathological relevance.\(^1\) Accumulating evidence indicates that exosomes (\(\sim 30–120\) nm) and microvesicles (up to \(1000\) nm) may play an important role in cell-to-cell communication.\(^2\,^4\) In cancer, these entities have been implicated in carcinogenesis and tumour growth; moulding the tumour microenvironment; promoting angiogenesis and modulating immune response.\(^5\,^9\)

Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs), although constituting a minority of breast cancers (15–20%), cause a disproportionately high number of metastatic cases and breast cancer deaths. TNBCs, which have \(\sim 70–80\%\) overlap with the basal subtypes,\(^10\,^11\) are classified by their lack of expression of oestrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) and neither over-expression nor amplification of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) gene. This substantially limits treatment options because of their insensitivity to widely used targeted approaches such as endocrine therapies and trastuzumab that have proven effective at reducing breast cancer mortality. Additionally, compared to other breast tumours, TNBCs occur most frequently in younger patients (<50 yrs), and those tumours tend to be of higher-grade at presentation with more aggressive behaviour. These cancers typically relapse with distant metastases rather than with local, more manageable, recurrence and have increased likelihood of developing visceral metastases including central nervous involvement. TNBC is associated with shortened disease-free interval post-surgery and post-adjunctive treatment and, ultimately, a shortened overall survival.\(^12\,^15\) Furthermore, TNBCs are themselves a heterogeneous group within which the claudin-low subtype is associated with worst prognosis.\(^16\)

Compared to the overall prevalence of breast cancer, a limited number of reports have indicated exosomes and/or microvesicles to be of relevance in breast cancer.\(^17\,^19\) The focus, however, has typically been on HER2-overexpressing cells and their exosomes. Here, in what we believe to be the first study specifically considering exosomes in the context of TNBC, we have included analysis of exosomes from the claudin-low TNBC cell line Hs578T\(^20\) and its isogenic subclone, Hs578Ts(i)\(^{21}\) as well as exosomes from TNBC patients compared to healthy controls. Hs578Ts(i)\(^{20}\), compared to Hs578T parent cells, has the same genetic background but significantly increased capacity to proliferate, migrate, invade through extracellular matrix and produce tumours in mice. This cell line pair is, therefore, very useful for investigating the capabilities of exosomes to transfer phenotypic traits representative of their cells of origin to secondary recipient cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

All cell lines are from breast tissue and were obtained from ATCC and cultured at 37 °C/5% CO\(_2\). Hs578T and its isogenic subclone, Hs578Ts(i), were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich), 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (PAA), 2 mM l-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 \(\mu\)g/ml of insulin (Sigma-Aldrich). SKBR3, MDA-MB-231, and HCC1954 were grown in RPMI-1640 (Sigma-Aldrich), 10% FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine.

2.2. Exosome isolation

2.2.1. Conditioned medium (CM)

Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i) were seeded (1 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) cells/flask) in 9XT75 flasks in complete medium overnight. Medium was then replaced with DMEM containing 10% exosome-depleted-FBS (eFBS; depletion of exosomes as we previously described\(^{22}\)), 2 mM l-Glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 \(\mu\)g/ml insulin. Cells were cultured for 5 days to \(\sim 80–90\%\) confluence. Exosomes were subsequently isolated from CM as previously detailed.\(^{22}\) In brief, CM was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min at 4 °C prior to filtering to eliminate cells and dead cells; then filtered using 0.45 \(\mu\)m pore filters and subsequently ultracentrifuged at 110,000 g for 75 min at 4 °C. Exosome pellets were washed in phosphate buffered solution (PBS) and ultracentrifugation repeated. Exosome quantities used are detailed in Section 3.

2.2.2. Human serum specimens

Serum specimens were obtained from Asterand biobank. As for CM, exosomes were isolated from 250 \(\mu\)l
aliquots of serum from TNBC patients and aged-matched healthy controls (n = 12). Information on 10 TNBC and 10 control donors is summarised in Table 1. Information currently accessible on the other two pairs of TNBC and control sera is age only; which was approximately 75 yrs and approximately 55 yrs, respectively.

2.3. Immunoblotting

Total cellular proteins were extracted from cells and exosomes using sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) lysis buffer (250 nM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4; 2.5% SDS). Proteins (20–30 μg) were resolved on 12.5% SDS gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (BioRad). Blots were blocked in 5% (w/v) non-fat milk in PBS/0.1% Tween and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies to PDC61/Alx (1:1000; Abcam), TSG101 (1:1000; Abcam), CD63 (1:500; Invitrogen); Claudin 1 (1:200; Invitrogen); Claudin 3 (1:200; Invitrogen); Claudin 4 (1:400; Invitrogen); and Claudin 5 (1:200; Invitrogen). Secondary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were developed as previously described.62

2.4. Transmission electron microscopy

A ~10 μl aliquot of exosomes was placed onto parafilm (Sigma–Aldrich). A 300 mesh copper grid (Agar Scientific) was placed on top of the drop for 45 min. The grid was subsequently washed thrice in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (freshly prepared using dihydrogen potassium phosphate (Sigma–Aldrich) and dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (Merck)) for 5 min, fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde (Agar Scientific) for 10 min, washed thrice for 3 min in dH₂O and contrasted in 2% uranyl acetate (BDH). Grids were examined at 100 kV using a JEOL JEM-2100 TEM.

2.5. Confocal microscopy

Exosomes were labelled using PKH67GL (Sigma–Aldrich), following manufacturer's instructions, added to cells of interest and analysed by confocal microscopy. Briefly, 5 x 10^−6 M of PKH67GL was added to 1 μg exosomes for 3 min. Staining was stopped with 2 ml of FBS (1 min). Complete medium (4 ml) was added and centrifuged at 100,000 g, 4°C, 30 min; washed with complete medium; centrifuged at 100,000 g, 4°C, for 1 h, and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl PBS. Secondary/recipient cells were labelled with 2 μM CM-Dif (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen; probes.invitrogen.com) for 5 min at 37°C, 15 min at 4°C. Of note, manufacturer’s instructions indicate that incubation at this lower temperature appears to allow the dye to label the cell membrane but slows down endocytosis, thus reducing the changes of dye localisation into cytoplasmic vesicles. These cells were then washed twice with PBS.

PKH67GL-labelled exosomes (5 μg) were added to SKBR3 cells, incubated for 30 min at 4°C and then for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma–Aldrich), mounted with

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Tumour Stage</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>No. of pregnancies</th>
<th>No. of live births</th>
<th>Smoking Status</th>
<th>Alcoholic Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) TNBC patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32.72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>37.76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>21.58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>28.55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC-10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Healthy control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25.61</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26.49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Current use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Occasional use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>32.64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>41.52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>26.78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Never used</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6. Proliferation rate measurement

Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)6 cells (5 x 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in 24-well plates. After 72 h, cells were counted with a Neubauer haemocytometer. To assess if exosomes transfer proliferation characteristics representative of the differing Hs578T cell line variants, SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954 cells were seeded at 2 x 10^5 cells/well in 96-well plates, using 10% dFBS medium and 2 µg/well of either Hs578T-exosomes or Hs578Ts(i)6-exosomes. After 72 h, cells were counted.

2.7. Migration

SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954 cells (3 x 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in 24-well plates and grown to confluency, scratched with a 200 µl pipette tip, and 10 µg/well of Hs578T-exosomes or Hs578Ts(i)6-exosomes were added. The wounded areas were monitored by phase contrast microscopy and measured using Olympus Cell^A^ Image Acquisition Software.

2.8. Invasion assays

Transwell inserts were coated with extracellular matrix (ECM) as previously described. Medium containing 10% dFBS (500 µl) was added to each well. Hs578T (5 x 10^5), SKBR3 (5 x 10^5), MDA-MB-231 (5 x 10^5) or HCC1954 (2.5 x 10^5) cells, in 500 µl complete medium, were added to each insert. When cells had attached, medium within the inserts was replaced with medium containing 1% dFBS and 15 µg of Hs578T, Hs578Ts(i)6, or patients or control serum-derived exosomes. After 72 h, medium was removed, the inside of the insert was wiped with a PBS-soaked Q-tip, and stained with 1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich). The crystal violet was solubilised with 33% glacial acetic acid and read at 570 nm.

2.9. Apoptosis assays

Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)6 cells (5 x 10^5 cells/well) were plated onto poly-hydroxyethyl methacrylate acid-coated (p-HEMA; Sigma-Aldrich) 24-well plates – or 95% ethanol-coated control plates – and cultured for 24 h. Alamar blue (100 µl/well) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added for 3.5 h and read at 570 nm.

To assess if exosomes transfer apoptosis characteristics to recipient cells representative of their cells of origin, SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954 cells (5 x 10^4 cells/well) were seeded onto p-HEMA-plates with 10 µg of Hs578T-exosomes or Hs578Ts(i)6-exosomes, cultured for 72 h, and assessed as above.

2.10. In vitro vasculogenesis and angiogenesis

The effects of exosomes on vasculogenesis and angiogenesis were analysed using the V2a Kit (TCS-Cellworks) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, human endothelial cells were cultured over a period of 14 days in a 24-well plate, where the cells were subjected to Hs578T-exosomes or Hs578Ts(i)6-exosomes (10 µg) treatment; added freshly every 48 h. 14 days post seeding, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol. Tubules were stained for CD31 (PECAM-1) using an anti-CD31 antibody (1:400) (TCS-Cellworks). CD31 tubule visualisation was achieved with the addition of the insoluble substrate (BCIP/NBT), resulting in tubules forming a dark purple colour. Tubules were photographed, and quantitative measurement of tubule development (i.e. the combined total lengths of the network of tubules formed) was determined using ImageJ software ‘skeltonise’ function.

Tubules were photographed using ImageJ software to measure tubule formation.

2.11. Zymography

Zymography to detect matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activity was performed as previously described. In summary, total cellular proteins were extracted from cells and exosomes using SDS lysis buffer (250 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4; 2.5% SDS). Proteins (10 µg) were electrophoresed on an SDS–PAGE containing 0.2% gelatin (Sigma). Gels were run on ice at 150 V for 2 h and were subsequently washed with 2.5% Triton, twice for 20 min each, rocking and at room temperature. Three 20 min washes were then performed using zymography buffer (0.15 M NaCl, 5 mM CaCl₂, 0.05% Na₃, and 50 mM Tris·HCl buffer, pH 7.5), with fresh zymography buffer replaced after the final washing step. Gels were placed at 37 °C in zymography buffer for 48 h and stained over-night with 0.025% Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 (Sigma) in 25% MeOH, 10% acetic acid, in H₂O. The gels were subsequently destained over-night with 8% acetic acid and 4% methanol in H₂O, rocking and at room temperature. Gels were photographed using a Gel Doc™ XR + System (BioRad).

2.12 qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from cell lines and exosomes using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was prepared from 48 ng of cell- or exosomes-derived total RNA, respectively, using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse transcription Kit (ABI, UK). Both kits were used according to their manufacturer’s instructions.
mmp-2 (Hs01548724_m1, ABI, UK) and mmp-9 (Hs00957555_m1, ABI, UK) were amplified and threshold cycle (Cₜ) established, using a Mastercycler® ep Realplex (Eppendorf).

2.13. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Excel. p-Values were generated using Student's t-tests. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Results are displayed as n = 3 ± SEM. GraphPad was used for graph generation.

3. Results

3.1. Exosomes were successfully isolated and taken up by recipient/secondary cells

After performing the isolation procedure, the first step in our analysis was to establish if we had successfully isolated exosomes. To assess this we performed immunoblot analysis to determine the presence of three proteins accepted as markers of exosomes. These include PDC51/Alix, TSG101 and CD63. We also elected to perform transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis to identify if the vesicles isolated were typically with the expected size-range (30-120 nm) for exosomes. Comparative total protein content was assessed on exosomes expelled from Hs578T and Hs578Tsi(3) cells to establish if the quantities released were similar or significantly different. Laser scanning confocal microscopy was performed using samples of fluorescently-labelled exosomes to establish if they could interact with and/or be taken up by secondary cells to which they are added. Immunoblot analysis for the presence of PDC51/Alix, TSG101 and CD63 (Fig. 1A) and TEM analysis (Fig. 1B) confirmed successful isolation of Hs578T-exosomes and Hs578Tsi(3)-exosomes. As expected, the proteins assessed were present in the exosome donor cell lines (Fig. 1A). TEM analysis indicated the isolates to be mainly nanosized exosomes (~100 nm in diameter) with the characteristic round or 'saucer shape'. Exosome quantities expelled from Hs578T and Hs578Tsi(3) cells were similar (Fig. 1C). Confocal

![Fig. 1. Successful exosome isolation and their subsequent interaction with secondary cells. Successful isolation of exosomes from medium conditioned by Hs578T and Hs578Tsi(3) cells was confirmed by (A) immunoblot analysis of exosomal markers, PDC51/Alix, TSG101 and CD63. (B) Transmission electron microscopy showed nano-sized vesicles of 30–100 nm diameter, with a ‘saucer-like’ morphology typical of exosomes (scale bar = 100 nm). (C) Exosome protein analysis indicated similar quantities of exosomes to be expelled from Hs578T and Hs578Tsi(3) cells. (D) Confocal microscopy using optical sectioning of cells indicated successful uptake of exosomes by secondary cells with which they were cultured. This image plate illustrates: (i) phase contrast analysis of SKBR3 (recipient/secondary) cells; (ii) DAPI (blue) staining of SKBR3 cells, showing nuclear region; (ii) cytoplasmic localisation of PKH67GL fluorescently-labelled exosomes (green; Hs578Tsi(3) exosomes shown), and (iv) composite image showing exosomes (green) not in the nuclear (blue) region, but in the cytoplasmic region of SKBR3 cells. (Here SKBR3 cells have been labelled with CM-DiI (red). DiI binds to cellular thiol and is thus used to distinguish the SKBR3 interior and clarify cell structure. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)]
microscopy analysis of optically-sectioned cells to which PKH67CL-labelled exosomes had been added (Fig. 1D; SKBR3 shown) confirmed that exosomes are taken into their cytoplasmic region.

3.2. Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes increase the growth rate of recipient cells

To investigate if exosomes expelled from these TNBC cell line variants could transfer phenotypic characteristic representative of their cell lines of origin to secondary 'recipient' cells, we assessed the ability of the exosomes to communicate a number of characteristics that differ between Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)jej cells. The initial analysis was of growth rate. To ensure that any results obtained were not likely to be recipient cell line-specific but more general, effects on three different secondary cell lines were evaluated. Here Hs578Ts(i)jej cells were found to proliferate more rapidly (4.6-fold; \( p = 0.006; \) Fig. 2A) than Hs578T. In keeping with this observation, exosomes expelled from Hs578Ts(i)jej versus Hs578T cells significantly increased the proliferation of all three recipient cell lines. Specifically, the growth rate of SKBR3 cells was 1.52-fold (\( p = 0.016; \) Fig. 2B(i)) greater in the presence of Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes compared to Hs578T-exosomes. For MDA-MB-231 cells, the increase was 1.94-fold (\( p = 0.018; \) Fig. 2B(ii)); for HCC1954, the increase was 1.58-fold (\( p = 0.048; \) Fig. 2B(iii)).

3.3. Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes increase motility of recipient cells

Prior to the studies reported here, using migration inserts (8 µm pore size suitable for use with 24-well tissue culture plates), applying a fixed number of Hs578T or Hs578Ts(i)jej cells into respective inserts and assessing movement through the pores with time – defined as migration – Hughes et al.\(^{21}\) had established that the Hs578Ts(i)jej variant cells are 2.5 fold more migratory than Hs578T cells.\(^{21}\) In keeping with this trend, here we found Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes versus Hs578T-exosomes significantly increased the motility of each of the three recipient cell lines evaluated i.e. SKBR3 (2.64-fold, \( p = 0.033; \) Fig. 3A); MDA-MB-231 (3.92-fold, \( p = 0.010; \) Fig. 3B) and HCC1954 (1.27-fold, \( p = 0.001; \) Fig. 3C) cells.

3.4. Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes and MDA-MB-231-exosomes increase invasive potential of recipient cells

Using a similar approach as for the migration assays, but pre-coating the inserts with ECM, Hs578Ts(i)jej had previously been established as approximately three-fold more invasive than Hs578T cells.\(^{21}\) Here Hs578Ts(i)jej-derived exosomes applied to Hs578T were found to increase the invasion – of the previously less invasive parent cell line – by 1.29-fold (\( p = 0.017 \)) compared to

---

**Fig. 2.** Exosomes affect recipient cells' proliferation in a manner representative of their donor cells' innate characteristics. (A) Hs578Ts(i)jej cells were found to grow more rapidly than the parental Hs578T population. (B) Compared to Hs578T-derived exosomes, Hs578Ts(i)jej-exosomes significantly increased the proliferation of (i) SKBR3; (ii) MDA-MB-231 and (iii) HCC1954 cells. Results represent triplicate biological repeats, each including three technical repeats and are displayed as mean ± SEM, where \( p < 0.05, \) **\( p < 0.01, \) ***\( p < 0.001. \)**
the invasion of Hs578T cells only exposed to PBS (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, when this analysis was performed using autologous Hs578T-derived exosomes as comparator instead of PBS, the difference between the effects of Hs578Ts(i8)-derived exosomes compared to Hs578T-derived exosomes on Hs578T cells was still significant (p = 0.003), but the fold difference was less marked i.e. 1.12-fold (Supplementary Fig. 1). This suggests that a concentrated amount of autologous ('self') exosomes has some influence on their cells of origin, but significantly less effect than that of exosomes expelled from the more invasive Hs578Ts(i8) cells.

In relation to the effects on other recipient cells, Hs578Ts(i8)-exosomes versus Hs578T-exosomes were found to significantly increase invasion of SKBR3 (1.42-fold, p = 0.016), MDA-MB-231 (1.52-fold, p = 0.0004) and HCC1954 (1.19-fold, p = 0.021) (Fig. 4B).

In order to determine if these effects are specific to these Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i8) variants or potentially more broadly relevant, exosomes from another TNBC cell line, MDA-MB-231, were assessed. Following confirmation of their successful isolation (immunoblot: PDCD6i/Alix, TSG101, CD63 shown as example results; Fig. 4C(i)), MDA-MB-231-derived exosomes were found to significantly (1.24-fold, p = 0.001) increase SKBR3 invasion (Fig. 4C(ii and iii)).

3.5. MMP-2 and MMP-9 are apparently not transported in substantial quantities via Hs578T- or Hs578Ts(i8)-exosomes

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are zinc-dependent endopeptidases capable of degrading extracellular matrix. MMP-2 and MMP-9 secretion is elevated in several types of human cancers and their elevated expression has been associated with poor prognosis. The typical methods for MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity analyses are via zymography i.e. an electrophoretic technique, based on SDS-PAGE, that includes a substrate co-polymerised with the polyacrylamide gel, to enable detection of enzyme activity. qPCR is also a possibility for assessing corresponding mRNA levels and using Affymetrix microarrays, Hs578Ts(i8) cells have been shown to contain substantially higher levels of mmp-2 mRNA compared to that expressed by Hs578T cells.21

Here zymography analysis of Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i8) cell samples, performed in triplicate, showed significantly higher MMP-2 activity in Hs578Ts(i8) cells compared to Hs578T cells (2.37 fold;

![Fig. 3. Exosomes affect recipient cells' motility in a manner representative of their donor cells' innate characteristics. Wound-healing assays showed that exosomes from Hs578Ts(i8) cells, compared to those from Hs578T cells, significantly increased the motility of A, SKBR3; B, MDA-MB-231 and C, HCC1954 cells. Representative images of wound-healing assays at 0 h and 24 h are shown. Graphs represent triplicate biological repeats, each including three technical repeats and are displayed as mean ± SEM, where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.](image-url)
p = 0.0005) (Fig. 5A(i)). MMP-9 enzyme activity was undetected in both cell lines (not shown). The same analysis for MMP-2 using both cell types and their respective exosomes samples, confirmed MMP-2 activity in cells but not in the exosomes (Fig. 5A(ii)). To determine if the corresponding mRNA was present, qPCR analysis of aliquots of the same samples detected mmp-2 mRNA in Hs578T cells after approximately 34 cycles, while mmp-2 mRNA was detected in Hs578T cells (Fig. 5B). However, assessing the corresponding exosomes, mmp-2 mRNA was detected in both Hs578T-exosomes and Hs578T(St)₉-exosomes within 40 cycles. Similarly, mmp-9 mRNA was detected in both cell variants, with significantly (p = 0.004) higher levels in Hs578T cells compared to Hs578T cells; albeit levels of mmp-9 mRNA were overall lower than levels of mmp-2 mRNA (Fig. 5C). As for mmp-2, mmp-9 mRNA was undetected in corresponding exosomes within 40 cycles of qPCR.

3.6. Exosomes confer anoikis sensitivity/resistance to secondary cells, representing innate characteristics of their cells of origin

Most breast cancers are of epithelial cells. Epithelial cells typically are attached to a basement membrane, rather than existing in suspension. For such cells to survive in suspension, as required for circulating tumour cells to be transported in the blood stream or lymphatics and progress to forming tumour metastasis, these cells must evade a form of apoptosis termed anoikis.26-28 Here, using standard methods,29,30 we attempted to mimic this situation in vitro by coating tissue culture plates with p-HEMA and thus inhibiting the ability of the cells to attach to the tissue culture plastic. We subse-

Fig. 4. Exosomes affect recipient cells’ invasiveness in a manner representative of their donor cells’ innate characteristics. (A) Exosomes from the more invasive Hs578T(St)₉ cell line population were found to increase the invasion of the parent population, Hs578T. Furthermore, (B) Hs578T(St)₉ conferred increased invasion on (i) SKBR3, (ii) MDA-MB-231, and (iii) HCC1954 cells, compared to the effects of Hs578T-derived exosomes. This is not only specific to exosomes from one cell line as shown by (C), data derived from analysis of MDA-MB-231-exosomes when following successful isolation of exosomes (i) PDC61/Alix, TSG101 and CD63 immunoblot analyses of exosomes shown), these exosomes were found to stimulate recipient cell (SKBR3) invasion when compared to the effects of an equal volume of PBS vehicle control. Representative images of cells that have invaded through extracellular matrix (ECM) after 72 h are shown. Graphs represent triplicate biological repeats, each including three technical repeats and are displayed as mean ± SEM, where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
sequently assessed the ability of the cells to survive i.e. to resist anokisis. Possibly counter-intuitively, Hs578Ts(i)_exosomes were found to be 14% (p = 0.009) more sensitive to anokisis than Hs578T cells (Fig. 6A).

Subsequently determining if this characteristic could be carried to secondary cells via exosomes, we observed that the same trend was communicated i.e. Hs578Ts(i)_exosomes, compared to Hs578T-exosomes, conferred greater anokisis sensitivity to SKBR3 (13%, p = 0.006; Fig. 6B(i)), MDA-MB-231 (9%, p = 0.017; Fig. 6B(ii)), and HCC1954 (13%, p = 0.0001; Fig 6B(iii)).

3.7. Hs578Ts(i)_exosomes compared to Hs578T-exosomes stimulate greater vasculogenesis and angiogenesis

Two distinct mechanisms, vasculogenesis and angiogenesis, implement the formation of vascular networks. Vasculogenesis is the process of new blood vessel formation from endothelial cells. Angiogenesis is the subsequent process by which these vessels take shape from these existing blood vessels by ‘sprouting’ of endothelial cells thus expanding the vascular tree. For tumour mass survival and continuous growth, tumours must develop a blood vessel network to help carry oxygenated blood, nutrients, etc. to the cancer cells within the tumour mass. So here we were interested in establishing if the exosomes populations under analysis could in any way influence this phenomenon.

To investigate this, here we used endothelial cells (supplied as V2a Kit; TCS-Cellworks) which we exposed to either Hs578Ts(i)_derived exosomes or Hs578T-derived exosomes. We assessed the ability of the endothelial cells to form vessels and subsequently expand the network of vessels from these now pre-existing vessels over a period of 2 weeks. These studies indicated that Hs578Ts(i)_derived exosomes could induce greater (2.67-fold, p = 0.0004) angiogenesis after their addition to endothelial cells compared to the affects resulting from exposure to Hs578T-exosomes, as indicated visually (Fig. 7A) and quantitatively (Fig. 7B).

3.8. Exosomes from TNBC sera, compared to those from healthy control sera, have a stronger stimulatory influence on invasion of secondary cells

As the studies from our cell lines suggested that exosomes from TNBC cells could communicate (generally

---

Fig. 5. MMP-2 or MMP-9 in exosomes is apparently not substantial contributor to secondary cell invasion. (A(i)) Zymography analysis of Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)_cell samples, showed substantially higher MMP-2 activity in Hs578Ts(i)_cells compared to Hs578T (zymogram – top; densitometry-derived data – bottom). (A(ii)) The same analysis for MMP-2 using both cell and exosome samples confirmed MMP-2 activity in cells, but not in the corresponding exosomes. qPCR analysis of aliquots of the same samples detected (B) that mmp-2 mRNA in Hs578T cells after approximately 34 cycles, while mmp-2 mRNA was detected in Hs578Ts(i)_cell samples significantly (p = 0.004) earlier. mmp-2 mRNA was undetected in corresponding exosomes within 40 cycles. (C) Similarly, mmp-9 mRNA was detected in both cell variants, with significantly (p = 0.004) higher levels in Hs578Ts(i)_compared to Hs578T cells. mmp-9 mRNA was undetected in corresponding exosomes within 40 cycles of qPCR. Results are displayed as mean ± SEM.
Fig. 6. Exosomes affect recipient cell aneuploidy in a manner representative of their donor cells’ innate characteristics. (A) Hs578T cells were found to be more resistant to aneuploidy than their clonal Hs578 Ts(s) cells. (B) Analyses performed to establish if these populations of exosomes differed in their influences on recipient cells showed that Hs578 Ts(s) derived exosomes conferred greater aneuploidy sensitivity to recipient cells when compared to the effects of Hs578T-exosomes. This was observed for all cell lines tested (i) SKBR3; (ii) MDA-MB-231 and (iii) HCC1954. Results shown represent triplicate biological repeats and are displayed as mean ± SEM, where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

3.9. Exosomes from TNBC sera and from healthy control sera contain Claudin-1, -3, -4 and -5 proteins

Studies, focussing on ovarian cancer, have reported claudin-containing exosomes in the peripheral circulation; with claudin-4 containing exosomes associated with cancer, but not with exosomes from healthy volunteers. In our pilot study, we detected claudin-1, -3, -4 and -5 proteins in all TNBC exosomes. However, we also detected the same trend in all healthy control exosomes (Fig. 9).

4. Discussion

A limited number of studies have indicated breast cancer cell-derived exosomes and/or microvesicles to have functional relevance. Lau and Wong assessed the effects of MDA-MB-231 ‘exosome-like microvesicles’ on human submandibular gland (HSG) cells, using lysed exosome-like microvesicles as controls. Intact exosome-like particles, compared to controls, increased the total amount of RNA in HSG cells and resulted in increased levels of 88 proteins in subsequent HSG-derived exosome-like particles. Of particular relevance to HER2-positive breast cancers, exosomes from HER2-overexpressing breast cell lines, BT474 and SKBR3 (compared to those from melanoma cells, as control), sequestered the therapeutic antibody trastuzumab and thus substantially reduced its binding to
and relapsing with distant metastases rather than with local sites, we believe that it is timely to commence studies investigating the possible relevance of exosomes in this disease.

Hs578Ts(i) is an isogenic subclone of the TNBC cell line, Hs578T. Prior to this study, Hs578Ts(i) was identified and characterised by co-authors Hughes, McDonnell and others. Hs578Ts(i) is significantly more proliferative ($p < 0.019$), motile ($p < 0.001$) and invasive ($p < 0.0002$) than Hs578T cells. Here our initial analyses were focussed on determining if exosomal phenotypic effects on secondary cells would reflect the cellular origin of the Hs578Ts(i) and Hs578Ts(i) derived exosomes, respectively. In brief, having established that each of these three phenotypic differences was conferred on all three recipient cell lines tested, we subsequently progress to assess exosomal influence on recipient cell anaplasia and also on tubule formation by endothelial cells (mimicking, in as much as possible, events necessary for neovascularisation and subsequent angiogenesis).

Of note to highlight, a concern with studies aimed at elucidating the functional relevance of exosomes is identifying a suitable control. Depending on the scientific question being asked, exosomes from cells lacking a specific receptor (examples above) may be relevant. For other studies, saline/PBS or culture medium is used, but raise the concern of being unable to control for potential physical or mechanical effects, in addition to the biological effects of these nano-sized entities. Recent studies have involved generating nano-sized liposomes specifically for this purpose. Here, for the main parts of our analysis, we had the opportunity to compare exosomes isolated in parallel and under precisely the same conditions – from matching isogenic populations, to assess if phenotypic characteristics established as differing significantly between the cell populations would be passed on to secondary 'recipient' cells. As indicated, TEM and immunoblotting confirmed successful isolation of vesicles of the expected size, shape and markers indicative of exosomes. As typical for reported studies of exosomes, we cannot definitively claim a complete absence of microvesicles that are not exosomes. If so, however, this is likely to be consistent in both the Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i) isolates and, for this study, we were most interested in knowing if epigenetic information can be relayed and transfer phenotypic changes to recipient cells. To ensure that any observations made by analysing the effects of these exosomes were not specific to one recipient cell line, all subsequent analysis of these exosomes included three secondary recipient cell lines, SKBR3, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1954.

Confocal microscopy analysis of labelled exosome populations indicated their successful interaction/uptake by secondary cells. Without exception, differential characteristics (increased proliferation, motility and invasion) established between the Hs578Ts(i) and
Fig. 8. Effects of exosomes from triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) sera compared to those from healthy control. This proof-of-principle translational study showed successful isolation of exosomes from serum. (A) Representative immunoblot for exosomal markers PDC6I/Alx and TSG101. (B) Protein quantitation of aliquots of exosomes isolated from each serum specimens showed no significant differences in quantities of exosomes in TNBC and healthy control serum. C. exosomes from TNBC sera (n = 12 individually analysed) significantly increased cellular invasion (SKBR3 shown), when compared to the effects of exposing the same cells to exosomes from healthy control sera (n = 12, age matched). (C (top)) Representative images of cells that have invaded through ECM after 72 h are shown; (C (bottom)) graph represents quantitative results following crystal violet elution and absorbance assessment shown as mean ± SEM, where *p < 0.05.

Fig. 9. Claudin proteins in exosomes from TNBC and control serum specimen. Immunoblot analysis for claudin-1, -3, -4 and -5 (showing representative exosome analysis for TNBC and healthy controls (n = 3 each), respectively, showed all four claudins to be present in all specimens, with no substantial difference in levels between TNBC and control exosomes.

Hs578T populations were all portrayed by each of the three recipient cells. While we cannot claim precisely what may be contributing to this difference on recipient cell phenotype, it is noteworthy that Hs578Ts(i)8 cells have 30% more CD44+/CD24low cells than the parent Hs578T cells. CD44+/CD24low is the accepted phenotype marker set characterising breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs) attributed with features that are demonstrated by the disease itself, such as growth of tumour, recurrence, metastases. So we hypothesised that exosomes derived from the CD44+/CD24low population of Hs578Ts(i)8 may be substantially contributing to this difference. Future studies including exosomes derived from the Hs578Ts(i)8 CD44+/CD24low cells compared to exosomes from the remaining approximately 70% Hs578Ts(i)8 population will help to support (or otherwise) this hypothesis.

MMPs are involved in degrading extracellular matrix, necessary for invasion. So here we elected to study these enzymes in Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)8 variants and their corresponding exosomes. MMP-2, but not MMP-9, enzyme activity was detected in Hs578T
and Hs578Ts(i)8 cell samples, with significantly greater MMP-2 activity in Hs578Ts(i)8. Neither MMP-2 nor MMP-9 activity was detected in their corresponding exosomes. Furthermore, subsequent qPCR analyses indicated mmp-2 and mmp-9 mRNA present within Hs578T and Hs578Ts(i)8 cells, but neither transcript was detected in the corresponding exosomes—using the same quantity of starting total RNA and up to 40 cycles of real-time PCR.

Hs578Ts(i)8 were found to be more sensitive to anokisk than the parental Hs578T cells. This is somewhat unexpected, due to their generally otherwise more 'aggressive' nature. Interestingly, a similar observation was seen when assessing anokisk resistance in LNCAp isogenic variant cells. Briefly, two isogenic variants were isolated from LNCAp cells i.e. LNCAp-LN-4, a highly-metastatic variant and LNCAp-PRO-5, a non-metastatic variant. When analysing the anokisk resistance of the variants against each other, they observed that the highly-metastatic LNCAp-LN-4 were at least as sensitive to anokisk as the non-metastatic LNCAp-PRO-5 cells. While we are not in a position to speculate as to why this might be, this apparent disconnect between invasion and anokisk may be of interest to further investigate in subsequent studies.

While it was not possible, with exosomes, to model the increased ability of Hs578Ts(i)8 cells to produce tumours in mice, it is noteworthy that Hs578Ts(i)8-exosomes stimulated significantly more endothelial tubule formation, a characteristic that would support tumour growth and facilitate interactions of tumour cells with the microenvironment.

To assess if the phenotypic observations made with Hs578T- and Hs578Ts(i)8-exosomes are not simply a cell line-related phenomenon, but may have clinical relevance in TNBC, we performed a proof-of-principle study of exosomes isolated from relevant serum specimens. This pilot study of exosomes from TNBC patients compared to healthy controls found no substantial differences in exosome quantities between these populations of exosomes. This difference from observations made with ovarian cancer sera versus control sera, may be cancer-type specific (breast cancer is frequently diagnosed early when the tumour is small; ovarian cancer is frequently diagnosed when the tumour is at a more advanced stage), methods of isolation and methods of quantification. To investigate this further, larger studies co-analysing and comparing larger cohorts of many cancers and control specimens are warranted. In this study, using the invasion assay approach as a relevant starting point because of the fundamental importance of this step in metastasis, showed that TNBC-derived exosomes stimulate significantly greater invasion by secondary cells.

As claudin-4 has been associated with exosomes from patients with ovarian cancer (32/63 plasma-derived exosomes samples analysed), but not with exosomes from healthy volunteers (only detected in 1 of 50 exosome specimens analysed), here we chose to assess if any or all of claudin-1, -3, -4 and -5 proteins would show differential presence in exosomes from TNBC patients and controls. Our results indicated all 4 claudins analysed to be present in all (TNBC and control) exosomes. Possible reasons for the discrepancy between the healthy control exosomes in this study and those in the previously reported ovarian cancer study may be due to differences in antibodies used. Li et al. assessed claudin-4 in conditioned media-derived exosomes with an antibody from one supplier (i.e. this antibody, sourced from Invitrogen, was the antibody we consistently used for all our studies) but, for reasons that are unclear to us, they elected to use any antibody from a different supplier (Abcam) for their peripheral circulation exosome analysis. An alternative explanation may be the differences in exosome quantities used in the immunobots. Here we performed our assessment of exosomes by separating a fixed amount (based on protein analysis; 10 μg) from each exosomal specimen. While Li et al. re-suspended their isolated exosomes in a fixed volume and assessed on volume fixed basis. Significantly higher levels of exosomes have been reported in the circulation of ovarian cancer patients compared to controls, but we have not detected substantial higher levels of exosomes in serum from TNBC patients compared to controls, the difference in loading by fixed quantity or loading by fixed volume may also help explain the differing observation made.

In conclusion, in what we understand to be the first study of exosomes in the context of TNBC, here we provide support for a potential role of exosomes in cell-cell transfer of epigenetic information, resulting in phenotypic traits of donor cells being conferred to recipient cells. These results support our hypothesis that, in TNBC, cellular communication via exosomes may—at least in part—confer aggressive behaviour to secondary cells. Future studies focused on identifying the specific molecules involved (by profiling the contents of these exosomes) and including analysis of larger cohorts of serum specimens are warranted to expand our understanding of the functional relevance of exosomes in TNBC.
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