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A critical review of ALS imaging

Abstract

Background: While neuroimaging in ALS has gained unprecedented momentum in recent years, little progress has been made in the development of viable diagnostic, prognostic and monitoring markers.

Objectives: To identify and discuss the common pitfalls in ALS imaging studies, and to reflect on optimal study designs based on pioneering studies.

Methods: A “PubMed”-based literature search was performed in ALS based on neuroimaging-related keywords. Study limitations were systematically reviewed and classified so that stereotypical trends could be identified.

Results: Common shortcomings, such as relatively small sample sizes, statistically underpowered study designs, lack of disease controls, poorly characterized patient cohorts and a large number of conflicting studies remain a significant challenge to the field. Imaging data of ALS continue to be interpreted at a group-level, as opposed to meaningful individual-patient inferences.

Conclusions: A systematic, critical review of ALS imaging has identified stereotypical shortcomings, the lessons of which should be considered in the design of future prospective MRI studies. At a time when large multicentre studies are underway a candid discussion of these factors is particularly timely.

Keywords: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Biomarker, MRI, PET, Spectroscopy
A critical review of ALS imaging

Glossary

AD - axial diffusivity; C9orf72 - Chromosome 9 open reading frame 72;
DTI – diffusion tensor imaging; FA - fractional anisotropy; MD - mean diffusivity;
MEG - Magnetoencephalography; MRS - Magnetic resonance spectroscopy;
MUNE - Motor unit number estimation; PET - Positron emission tomography;
PNS - Peripheral nervous system; RD - radial diffusivity; ROI – Region of interest; SPECT - Single photon emission computed tomography; TMS - Transcranial magnetic stimulation; VBM – voxel-based morphometry

Introduction

ALS has seen an exponential increase in high-impact imaging publications in recent years. However, the majority of recent systematic reviews on the topic are technique-based, classifying and discussing studies based on the specific imaging method utilised, rather than highlighting common themes and shared conclusions. Furthermore, comprehensive reviews of ALS imaging have focused primarily on the achievements of landmark studies, and are insufficiently critical of shortcomings, discussion of which may contribute to improved study designs.

ALS imaging has been relatively successful as a descriptive tool, characterising features of specific ALS phenotypes and genotypes. Additionally, the anatomical bases of recent clinical observations; such as the concept of cortical focality, neuropsychological deficits, extrapyramidal dysfunction, sensory deficits, have been elucidated. Imaging studies of ALS have also contributed to our understanding of active biological processes, such as confirmation of inflammatory mechanisms, spread along functional connections, and dysfunction of inhibitory circuits. Recent work has provided evidence of network degeneration as opposed to preferential, focal white and grey matter pathology. 7 Landmark studies of presymptomatic genetic variants such as SOD-
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1 mutation carriers have highlighted structural and metabolic changes prior to symptom onset, and have offered unprecedented insights into the presymptomatic phase of the disease. 8, 9 PET and fMRI studies have revealed compensatory processes, suggestive of an attempted functional adaptation in face of relentless neurodegeneration. 10

However as in the case of Alzheimer’s disease and Multiple Sclerosis, one of the primary aspirations of ALS imaging remains the development of viable diagnostic, prognostic and disease progression markers at an individual level. Despite years of research, progress on this front has been relatively slow, results inconsistent, and the outcomes not readily transferable to the clinic. The aim of this study is to explore the factors that have led to a large number of inconsistent results, and to reflect on an optimal study designs which could be utilised in future multi-centre studies.

**Methods**

A formal literature review was conducted on PubMed with the individual search terms ‘Imaging’, ‘Neuroimaging’, ‘Magnetic resonance imaging’, ‘Positron emission tomography’, ‘Single photon emission computed tomography’, ‘Diffusion tensor imaging’, ‘Voxel-based morphometry’, ‘Spectroscopy’ in combination with ‘ALS’ and ‘Motor neuron disease’ separately. Publications were searched during a 2 month period between November 2013 and December 2013. Both original contributions and review papers 1, 11-28 were selected, but only articles published in English were reviewed. Where relevant, references of identified papers were also evaluated. Based on the above search criteria, a total of 184 original research papers and 21 review papers were identified.
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(Supplementary Table 1) Each original contribution was individually reviewed for author-reported and reviewer-identified study limitations, based on which distinct trends of common methodological shortcomings were observed. An additional objective was to identify reports of seemingly inconsistent results or potentially contradicting conclusions. Thirdly, constructive examples of innovative methods were sought in response to the identified stereotypical pitfalls, so that recommendations for optimised ALS study designs can be presented.

Results

Common methodological limitations
While disease heterogeneity is an inherent challenge of the field, common methodological study limitations can also be identified across individual studies, such as small sample sizes, lack of disease controls, suboptimal patient characterisation, technique-driven rather than clinical problem-driven studies, lenient statistical models and insufficient discussion of laterality and symmetry of pathology. (Table 1) In addition to the methodological shortcomings of single studies, fairly well-defined gaps in the ALS imaging literature as a whole can also be observed, indicating pressing, yet promising research opportunities. (Table 1)

Inconsistencies of conclusions
The above factors are likely to have contributed to the inconsistencies of various studies, particularly in the degree of extra-motor involvement, laterality of pathology and the extent of brain changes in lower motor neuron dominant conditions. Many studies have highlighted right precentral gyrus changes, 29-32
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while others have demonstrated bilateral motor cortex pathology. \(^2,^{33-36}\). Unilateral left \(^36\) and right \(^37\) parahippocampal pathology have both been reported. Similar discrepancies can be observed in studies of specific phenotypes. For example, relative sparing of corticospinal tract integrity has been reported in progressive muscle atrophy by some studies, \(^38\) while others have identified extensive diffusivity changes in the brain, concluding that widespread CNS involvement occurs. \(^39\) And while some drug-response studies have captured a Riluzole effect, \(^40\) others failed to replicate this. \(^41\) Accounts of extra-motor grey matter pathology also show considerable variation ranging from limited frontotemporal pathology to widespread occipital, parietal and subcortical changes. This wide range of inconsistent findings may reflect true disease heterogeneity, but is more likely to be a function of small sample size, inadequate power, and consequent over-interpretation of findings.
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Sample size and Statistical Analysis

The challenges of recruiting large patient cohorts in ALS imaging studies are obvious due to disease-specific factors such as orthopnoea, dyspnoea, and sialorrhoea. Yet, despite these recognised limitations, formal power calculations are seldom carried out. Methods for power calculations depend on the specific imaging technique utilised. Recent evidence suggests that the number of foci reported in small VBM studies and even in meta-analyses with few studies may often be exaggerated. 42 In contrast, whole-brain meta-analyses of large sample sizes identify fewer foci than single studies. 42 Region of interest (ROI) based studies, on the other hand are susceptible to strong reporting bias. 43 Methods for bias-corrected power calculations have been specifically developed for diffusion tensor imaging. 44 Sample size and power calculations for fMRI studies are relatively well established. 45,46 Several commercial software packages also exist for power calculations of imaging studies. 47

The number of ALS patients included in SPECT studies varies between n=14 48 and n=26 49, those in PET studies varies between n=7 50 and n=32. 51 Single-centre morphometric studies of ALS also show considerable variation in sample size; from n=12 52 to n=45. 34 Similarly, diffusivity studies report results from a range of sample sizes; from n=13 53 to n=87. 54 In general, task (paradigm) based functional MRI studies are particularly small; from n=6 10 to n=22. 55 Resting state fMRI studies are somewhat larger; from n=12 56 to n=25. 7 Spectroscopy studies range from n=8 57 to n=70. 58,59 Studies of specific genotypes and phenotypes often draw conclusions from even smaller - frequently single digit - sample sizes. (Table 2)
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The majority of ALS imaging papers use age and gender matched study groups. Age is sometimes included as a covariate in the analyses, but gender, education and handedness are seldom considered. The effect of gender on MR variables is well established in healthy populations \(^{79,80}\) and can also be demonstrated in ALS cohorts. \(^{81}\) Similarly, the link between handedness and corticospinal tract/motor cortex asymmetry has been confirmed in healthy individuals. \(^{82}\) In ALS, there is evidence that handedness may be associated with side of onset in ALS, \(^{83}\) therefore correction for handedness in ALS imaging studies may be judicious. Moreover, neuroimaging data from healthy aging cohorts also demonstrate the effect of education on structural data, especially in older populations which are typically studies in ALS. \(^{84,85}\) The typically small sample sizes of ALS imaging studies are often further subdivided to characterise specific phenotypes, which is likely to accentuate the confounding effects of the above demographic factors even more.

Disease controls
Neurological disease controls; patients with lower motor neuron syndromes, \(^{86}\) Kennedy’s disease patients, \(^{86}\) Alzheimer’s disease cohorts \(^{59}\) and Poliomyelitis groups \(^{87}\) have been previously included in ALS imaging studies. However, the large majority of ALS imaging studies utilise healthy controls as a reference group to highlight ALS-specific changes. For the development of diagnostic markers capable of discriminating ALS from other neurological conditions, the inclusion of disease controls, especially common mimics of ALS, is essential.

Laterality of findings
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Unilateral or asymmetrical imaging findings are frequently reported in ALS, yet they are seldom discussed comprehensively. Similarly to other neurodegenerative conditions, at an individual level, asymmetrical symptoms and brain pathology are established features early stage ALS. However, few imaging studies have examined the relationship of sidedness of symptoms and brain changes. Metabolite ratio changes in the motor cortex have been shown to correspond to the lateralisation of clinical symptoms. Morphometric studies report unilateral pathological changes in the left cingulum, left middle frontal gyrus, left inferior frontal gyrus, left thalamus, left medial frontal region, left insula, left anterior temporal region, left parahippocampal gyrus, right parahippocampal gyrus, right precentral gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus, right cerebellum, and right premotor regions. Diffusivity studies have reported unilateral pathology in the left inferior frontal lobe and right uncinate fasciculus. However, sample size effects, handedness, disability profile, disease duration and physiological CNS asymmetry are rarely considered in the interpretation of these unilateral findings. This is despite the recognition of physiological brain asymmetry in right-handed healthy populations and that asymmetry of the primary motor cortex and corticospinal tract architecture is particularly well established. Sample size limitations, disability profile and disease duration are likely to be the key factors contributing to asymmetrical findings. It is probable that asymmetry decreases on longitudinal follow-up. Until large meta-analyses and prospective studies with extensive data sharing are undertaken, reports on laterality should be interpreted with caution, and emphasis should be placed on the specific structure affected rather than the side of involvement.
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**Patient characterisation**

Multifaceted characterisation of patients is of importance given the unique clinical, psychological and imaging profile of specific ALS genotypes, such as those with *SOD1* mutations\(^3\),\(^66^\text{-}^68\) and those carrying the hexanucleotide expansion in *C9orf72*.\(^4\) Imaging studies of ALS often provide in-depth characterisation in selected domains, e.g. detailed psychological and limited genetic or post mortem profiling, or vice versa. This is frequently a function of local expertise and is likely to improve with the shared infrastructure of international collaborations.

**Multimodal studies**

In studies using whole-brain, functional imaging modalities, such as PET, SPECT or fMRI, single-technique approaches may be sufficient. However, studies using region-of-interest (ROI) or segmentation based MRI techniques such as VBM, DTI or or cortical thickness measurements, multimodal approaches may be superior by providing comprehensive characterisation of disease-specific pathology. Studies combining multiple imaging techniques that evaluate multiple measures of both grey and white matter integrity are more likely to capture the full spectrum of network degeneration in ALS. Multimodal papers have highlighted increased functional and decreased structural connectivity in ALS, suggesting inhibitory dysfunction in ALS.\(^7\) The benefit of using multiple imaging parameters can be further illustrated with the use of multiple diffusivity variables. Many DTI studies only use fractional anisotropy (FA) or mean diffusivity (MD), despite the fact that these are composite measures of eigenvalues and are not associated with the specific nature of white matter pathology. Conversely, axial (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD) are independent
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variables; AD is broadly considered an axonal marker and RD a myelin marker. Multimodal biomarker studies are also ideal as a method to compare the sensitivity and specificity profiles of various techniques. For example, multimodal studies have suggested that MR spectroscopy may be more sensitive to detect UMN degeneration than TMS.

A large longitudinal multimodal ALS study utilising DTI, MUNE, MRS and TMS has concluded that MUNE changes considerably over time in comparison with other markers (DTI, TMS) that showed less significant longitudinal changes. Multimodal studies are also optimal cross-validation platforms, establishing novel imaging approaches such as whole-brain MRS against more recognised techniques. Whole brain MR spectroscopy demonstrated that metabolic changes along the corticospinal tracts correlate with more established measures of CST integrity. Multimodal approaches are also essential in diagnostic, classifier analyses. Discriminant analyses utilising multiple imaging variables have been consistently shown to improve the sensitivity and specificity of group classification.

Presymptomatic studies

Very few studies have examined presymptomatic carriers of ALS causing mutations to date. In a large spectroscopy study of presymptomatic SOD1 carriers, metabolic changes were detected in the spinal cord prior to development of symptoms. A landmark DTI study of asymptomatic SOD1 carriers identified decreased fractional anisotropy and increased radial diffusivity in the posterior limb of the internal capsule compared to healthy SOD1 negative controls. These pioneering studies should help to pave the way for future studies, so this the relatively arcane, presymptomatic phase of ALS,
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representing a crucially important diagnostic and therapeutic window, can be explored.

**Multicentre ALS imaging studies**

The neuroimaging society in ALS (NISALS) had its founding meeting in 2010 attracting considerable technical, clinical, psychology, and imaging expertise from various centres around the world. The challenges, objectives and potential benefits of multicentre collaboration in ALS imaging have been candidly discussed. Another example of a multicentre ALS imaging and biomarker initiative is the SOPHIA consortium of the European Union Neurodegenerative Disease Research Programme (JPND). The obvious advantage of such collaborations is generating large patient numbers of relatively rare ALS phenotypes. The challenges of such initiatives include harmonisation across different scanner field-strengths and manufacturers, funding and authorship issues, time contribution of participating individuals, data management, storage and protection, ethics approvals etc. Despite these difficulties however, multicentre neuroimaging is routinely used in clinical trials of multiple sclerosis drugs with established cross-platform harmonisation and calibration protocols. Multicentre MR studies have also been successfully conducted in Alzheimer’s disease, as evidenced by the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). The cross platform calibration of ADNI, utilising travelling MRI phantoms has been comprehensively described. By contrast, few cross-platform ALS imaging studies have been published to date. A large two-centre imaging study of ALS and ALS-FTD has been conducted in Germany using identical scanners and imaging protocol, and the First NISALS coordinated DTI project is...
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currently underway with the participation of 12 European and North American centres.

**Meta-analyses**

While meta-analyses could potentially presage the sort of information large multicentre studies can offer, surprisingly few meta-analyses have been carried out in ALS. An individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of DTI data of 221 ALS patients and 187 healthy controls suggested that corticospinal tract DTI alone lacked diagnostic specificity.\(^{103}\) However, a voxel-based meta-analysis of DTI data from eight studies, comprising 143 ALS patients and 145 healthy controls highlighted bilateral corticospinal tract changes in the posterior limb of the internal capsule as well as bilateral frontal and cingulate diffusivity changes.\(^{104}\) A meta-analysis of 5 VBM studies demonstrated that right precentral grey matter atrophy is an important feature of ALS.\(^{105}\) The data repositories of multicentre MRI initiatives of ALS, such as NISALS and SOPHIA, will be ideal platforms for individual patient data meta-analyses.

**Correlative studies**

A number of ALS imaging studies have sought to correlate common clinical variables with various MRI measures. Decreased corticospinal tract FA\(^ {35, 75}\) has been associated with decreased ALSFRS-r\(^ {106}\), composite upper motor neuron scores\(^ {3, 33, 66}\) and disease progression rates.\(^ {53, 71, 90}\) Grey matter density measures\(^ {32, 36}\) and NAA/Cr ratios\(^ {107}\) have been correlated with disability scores. In addition to motor variables, cognitive\(^ {30 108}\) and behavioural\(^ {109}\) deficits have also been correlated to structural changes in ALS.
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Despite the abundance of clinically-correlated neuroimaging studies in ALS, important conceptual factors must be considered. The ALSFRS-r is heavily influenced by lower motor neuron degeneration which is not captured by current imaging technology. Correlation of disease duration with structural changes is relatively difficult to interpret, as progression rates vary considerably at individual level. Contrary to the conclusions of some studies, imaging should not be proposed as an alternative clinical assessment tool. Clinical disability scales and neuropsychological tests can be easily and routinely applied in a clinic room, home or bedside setting. They reflect on key functional aspects of the disability and with minimal training, excellent inter-rater and test-retest reliability can be achieved. The role of imaging in ALS on the other hand points beyond simplified clinico-structural correlations and could be regarded as a sensitive and objective descriptive tool, able to capture subtle, phenotype-defining pathology in cross-sectional and longitudinal, group-level and individual-level analyses.

Diagnostic applications

There is considerable interest in developing imaging technology that can discriminate ALS from non-ALS and mimic syndromes at individual level. Discriminant analyses of diffusivity measures, machine-learning and support vector machine classifier-analyses, are increasingly used in other neurodegenerative conditions and show considerable promise in the interpretation of individual imaging data. In ALS, a discriminant analysis, combining radial diffusivity, fractional anisotropy and voxel-based morphometry, achieved study group classification with 92% sensitivity, 88% specificity, and 90% accuracy. The use of disease state classifier machine learning approach
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(support-vector machine) on resting-state fMRI data achieved over 71% accuracy for disease state classification. 113

Future directions

The purpose of ALS imaging is twofold. The first is to further progress our understanding of disease pathology and pathophysiology, in which group analysis is appropriate; and the second is to develop an imaging based technology that enhances individualised diagnostic accuracy beyond best clinical practice. Based on the critical appraisal of the shortcomings and achievements of recent ALS imaging studies, optimised study recommendations can be outlined. ALS imaging studies should ideally encompass genetically, neuropsychologically, electrophysiologically, pathologically characterised patient cohorts, a healthy reference group and disease controls. Multiple complementary imaging techniques should be ideally utilised in the same study to provide multifaceted grey and white matter assessments. The effect of demographic variables, such as age, gender, education and handedness should be strictly accounted for, and comparisons of ALS sub-cohorts should be corrected for disease duration and disability. Correlative studies should take the network degeneration aspect of ALS into account and assess network integrity as opposed to selected grey or white matter measures. Individual patient data meta-analyses are required prior to initiating of harmonised multicentre studies, which in turn are eagerly awaited and are likely to generate sufficiently large sample sizes for meaningful data interpretation.
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From a technological standpoint, high-field MRI scanners i.e.:7T systems are increasingly available, promising unprecedented resolution and detailed spectroscopic evaluation. Nonetheless, only a few ALS studies have been carried out on these systems to date.\textsuperscript{114, 115} Similarly, no post-mortem MRI studies have been conducted in ALS, a method increasingly used in other neurodegenerative conditions. Quantitative muscle MRI is another relatively overlooked field of ALS biomarker research.\textsuperscript{116} Whole-brain MRS is particularly promising technique and its potential in ALS is far from being fully explored.\textsuperscript{97} Despite a number of very successful spinal cord MRI studies,\textsuperscript{117} quantitative spinal imaging methods seem surprisingly underutilised in ALS.\textsuperscript{11} Finally, the emergence of combined PET/MRI scanners and access to magnetoencephalography (MEG) are other exciting developments which are likely to contribute to our understanding of ALS pathophysiology.

Conclusions

A critical review of ALS imaging has identified stereotypical shortcomings, the lessons of which should be considered in the design of future prospective MRI studies. At a time when large multicentre studies are underway a candid discussion of these factors is particularly timely.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 - Common shortcomings for ALS imaging studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common methodological limitations of individual ALS imaging studies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technique-driven rather than clinical problem-driven studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confirmatory as opposed to original study designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Small to moderate sample sizes, lack of power calculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inadequate discussion or interpretation of unilateral findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Suboptimal clinical patient characterisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of comprehensive genotyping i.e.: C9orf72 which may contribute to extra-motor changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited imaging methods i.e. white matter only, grey matter only studies, as opposed to multifaceted, multimodal structural/functional, cortical/subcortical characterisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of disease controls and “ALS-mimic” controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Correlation of brain changes with clinical measures that also heavily depend on lower motor neuron function (ALSFRS-r, tapping rates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of post mortem validation of imaging findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lenient statistical models, insufficient correction for demographic factors (education, handedness, age, gender)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reports of statistical “trends” uncorrected for multiple testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shortcomings of the current literature of ALS imaging**

• Paucity of presymptomatic studies
• Paucity of classifier (diagnostic) studies
• Paucity of meta-analyses
• Paucity of high-field MRI studies
• Lack of large, cross-platform, multi-centre studies
• Lack of post mortem imaging studies in ALS
• Relative paucity of spinal cord studies
• Lack of quantitative LMN/plexus/PNS imaging studies
• Paucity of muscle imaging studies

**Table 1** Common limitations of ALS imaging studies
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**Table 2** Sample size limitations of ALS phenotype and genotype imaging studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype/Gene</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALS-dementia</td>
<td>n = 4 (^{60,61}), n = 8 (^{62}), n = 17 (^{54})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS-PD-Guam complex</td>
<td>n = 4 (^{63})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS-FTD</td>
<td>n = 10 (^{2}), n = 12 (^{64})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D90a-SOD1 genotype</td>
<td>n = 6 (^{65}), n = 7 (^{66,67}), n = 10 (^{68})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion in ALS</td>
<td>n = 9 (^{4,69}), n = 15 (^{64})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Lateral Sclerosis</td>
<td>n = 4 (^{70}), n = 6 (^{71,72}), n = 12 (^{73}), n = 19 (^{74})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Muscular Atrophy</td>
<td>n = 8 (^{75}), n = 9 (^{72}), n = 12 (^{76})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presymptomatic studies of homozygous D90A-SOD1</td>
<td>n = 2 (^{68}), n = 8 (^{8}), n = 24 (^{9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulbar onset ALS</td>
<td>n = 8 (^{77,78}), n = 12 (^{73}), n = 13 (^{51}), n = 13 (^{36})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal onset ALS</td>
<td>n = 8 (^{77,78}), n = 12 (^{73}), n = 19 (^{51}), n = 20 (^{36})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2* A selection of sample size examples from imaging studies characterising specific ALS phenotypes or genotypes. The highlighted studies also included larger reference groups of controls or sporadic ALS patients.
A critical review of ALS imaging

Highlights

- Stereotypical shortcomings can be identified in ALS neuroimaging studies
- A systematic discussion of ALS study limitations is particularly timely
- Individual patient data meta-analyses and multicentre studies are urgently required
- The gaps identified in the ALS imaging literature indicate exciting research opportunities

Supplementary table 1 - Quantitative neuroimaging studies of ALS

Studies are categorised by their main imaging methodology and listed by year of publication. Name of the first author, year of publication, sample sizes, and full reference are provided.