Dynamics of unusual debris flows on Martian sand dunes
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[1] Gullies that dissect sand dunes in Russell impact crater often display debris flow-like deposits in their distal reaches. The possible range of both the rheological properties and the flow rates are estimated using a numerical simulation model of a Bingham plastic flow to help explain the formation of these features. Our simulated results are best explained by a rapid debris flow. For example, a debris flow with the viscosity of $10^2$ Pa s and the yield strength of $10^2$ Pa can form the observed deposits with a flow rate of 0.5 m$^3$/s sustained over several minutes and total discharged water volume on the order of hundreds of cubic meters, which may be produced by melting a surface layer of interstitial ice within the dune deposits to several centimeters depth.

1. Introduction

[2] The observation of gullies and often associated debris aprons ignited discussions concerning the near-surface and surface presence of liquid water and its role in resurfacing the martian landscape in relatively recent times [Malin and Edgett, 2000]. Because liquid water is unstable under present atmospheric conditions [Clifford, 1993], any hypothesis explaining the formation of these features should address this significant aspect. Gullies have been identified on crater, trough and valley slopes, and also on aeolian dunes. Proposed hypotheses for gully formation include: surface water runoff from subsurface aquifers [Malin and Edgett, 2000], where discharge may be saline ground water [Knauth et al., 2000], melting of near-surface ice during past, warmer climatic conditions [Costard et al., 2002], and melting of water-rich snow packs [Christensen, 2003]. Hartmann et al. [2003] suggested that differential melting of surface ice could recharge local aquifers which, in turn, would trigger gully flow. Hypotheses that do not involve water include dry flows of eolian dust and silt [Treiman, 2003]. For the aeolian dunes, the thawing of near surface ice is the preferred mechanism [Costard et al., 2002; Mangold et al., 2003; Reiss and Jaumann, 2003].

[3] Since most hypotheses assume that water-related flows carved the gullies, flow rate and water volume estimates are critical to modeling and testing these hypotheses. Possible quantitative estimation of these values based on the morphologic appearance of the gullies, however, is limited because the erosion rate of water-carved valleys, channels, and gullies is difficult to estimate, as is often the case in terrestrial investigations.

[4] Debris flow-like deposits are observed on the slopes of aeolian dunes in Russell impact crater, located in the boundary region separating Argyre and Hellas impact basins (54.5S and 12.7E). These deposits are significant because of their associated distinct levees, which provide morphologic clues to the physical conditions involved during their formation. In this work, we focus on the formation of these depositional features by analyzing their morphology using a numerical simulation model of a time-varying 2-D Bingham plastic flow. Our approach provides newly constrained flow rates and a more accurate assessment of flow rheology. Previous estimates of rheology [Mangold et al., 2003] used a 1-D analytical approach to estimate velocity from the small difference in thickness between both sides of a levee.

2. Modeling of the Debris Flow

2.1. Major Modeling Assumptions

[5] In this paper, a debris flow-like deposit refers to a complex feature, which includes the following primary components: lateral levees, a central channel, and sometimes a deposit at the terminus (Figure 1). The debris flow-like deposits in Figure 1 have an average length of about 1–2 km on an approximate 10° slope. Detailed descriptions of their morphologies are found in Mangold et al. [2003].

[6] The deposits and associated channels follow the direction of the slope, which suggests that they are formed by gravity-driven processes [Mangold et al., 2003]. These features differ from those described by Malin and Edgett [2000], as each gully has a continuous lateral deposit, the channel displays an almost constant width, but does not terminate in a fan. We interpret this morphology to be highly diagnostic and suggest that the deposits are likely formed by a single major flow, though there might be other minor events that contribute. Combinations of these feature types are associated with the emplacement of debris or ice-slush flows in cold environments on Earth [Rapp, 1985; Hartmann et al., 2003]. In those environments, the channels and levees form after the emplacements of the flow deposits: the formations of the channel and levees are primarily due to the subsidence of the flow surface related...
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2.2. Bingham Approximation
[8] We do not assume any particular flow-related process
for the formation of the debris flow-like deposits. Rather,
we assume that a deposit is formed by a plastic flow
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2.3. Numerical Simulations of Bingham Flows
[10] We performed numerical simulations of a Bingham fluid
[Miyamoto and Sasaki, 1998] with a small modification
on the expression for the critical thickness to increase
the accuracy [Miyamoto et al., 2004]. This model is based
on a Bingham-approximation version of the shallow-water
equations for a slow-moving flow and is validated against
terrestrial flows [Miyamoto and Sasaki, 1997]. In this
model, volumetric fluxes in the x and y directions are
calculated separately and placed into the continuity equation
in order to determine parameter values that best represent the flow characteristics (see
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an ice-slush flow; (2) a flow with a very high viscosity
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glaciers always displays a greater width than those observed
(Figure 2); and (3) a wide range of viscosity values can
account for the observed widths, heights, and formation
time of the debris flow-like deposits if the flow rate is
properly balanced with the viscosity value (Figure 2).

3. Discussions and Implications
[12] The estimated viscosity values in this work range
from 10 Pa s to 10¹¹ Pa s. Therefore, pure water or a diluted
flow with very low solid content is not considered as a sole
agent in the emplacement of the debris flow-like deposits. Highly viscous flows, such as ice and rock glaciers, are not likely candidates for the formation of these deposits. In addition, a dry granular flow is not likely because of the friction angle of sand grains (typically larger than 25°).

[13] The simulated results show that higher viscosity flows ($10^7$ to $10^{11}$ Pa s) may account for the deposits. However, flows with viscosities higher than $10^7$ Pa s require durations of more than $10^7$ years and extremely low flow rates. These are considered unlikely because there are no terrestrial analogs to explain both the viscosity and the duration. Also, the low and long-lasting flow rate may be unrealistic considering current martian conditions. Although there might be several ways to explain a high viscosity value when considering an ice-rich slush and gelification, we consider this interpretation to be highly questionable.

[14] On the other hand, a rapid debris flow origin [Mangold et al., 2003] is most consistent with our results. The lower end member of the estimated viscosity value, $10^2$–$10^5$ Pa s, and estimated yield strength and flow rate, $10^2$–$10^3$ Pa and 0.005–5 m$^3$/s, respectively, are consistent with typical values of terrestrial debris flows. The existence of rapid debris flows over sand dunes implies an external origin of water, since subsurface seepage from an aquifer is unlikely near the dune crests [Mangold et al., 2003]. This has been explained by the melting of water ice in the top few meters of the martian subsurface at high obliquity [Costard et al., 2002]; in this case, the formation time of the deposits would be well shorter than a few hours. Considering this short time, our simulated results show that the estimated viscosity values would be lower than $10^3$ Pa s (Figure 4).

[15] In the case of the rapid debris flow, the minimum estimated volume of water from our calculations is only ~100 m$^3$. This amount of water is not a large volume: if we assume that the water is from the eroded area, which is about 1 km by 20 m width from MOC images, and that this

Figure 2. Width vs. viscosity for different yield strengths and supply rates. Flow widths ranging from about 15 m to 35 m are used to constrain the possible values of viscosity and supply rate based on observations using MOC imagery (gray highlights the estimated widths of debris flow-like deposits). The range of the supply rate for the calculations is from $10^{-12}$ m$^3$/s to 50 m$^3$/s. Left, results determined with lower viscosity flows using a yield strength of $10^3$ Pa (solid lines) and $10^2$ Pa (dashed lines). Right, Larger viscosity results using a yield strength of $10^7$ Pa. Time required to form a deposit with a typical length of debris flow-like deposits (600 m) is more than $10^3$ years (solid lines) or more than $10^4$ years (dashed lines).

Figure 3. Diagram shows the water volume necessary to form a debris flow-like deposit that has a length of 600 m. Results determined using a yield strength of $10^3$ Pa (solid lines) and $10^2$ Pa (dashed lines). The range of the supply rate is from 0.005 m$^3$/s to 50 m$^3$/s. Gray highlighted areas show the possible ranges of parameters constrained by both widths (Figure 2) and thicknesses. Water volume is calculated by total volume from the simulations to achieve the length of 600 m, and a rough estimate of water contents for viscosities (10% for $10^5$ Pa s, 20% for $10^4$ Pa s, 30% for $10^3$ Pa s, 40% for $10^2$ Pa s, 45% for 10 Pa s, and 50% for 1 Pa s). Though the estimates of the water contents are based on the general trend of that of terrestrial debris flows, the estimated values should be considered as order of magnitude estimates.

Figure 4. This diagram displays the time of formation of debris flow-like deposits for different viscosities and supply rates. Results determined using a yield strength of $10^3$ Pa (solid lines) and $10^2$ Pa (dashed lines). The range of the supply rate is from 0.005 m$^3$/s to 50 m$^3$/s. Gray highlighted areas show the possible ranges of parameters constrained by both widths (Figure 2) and thicknesses. Dotted line indicates a possible duration of meltwater during the daytime at high obliquity.
area was covered by sand and ice mixture with ice content of 50%, only several centimeters melting depth would produce $\sim 100$ m$^3$ of water. Therefore, in the periods of high obliquity, for example, sufficient warming on pole-facing slopes may melt near-surface ice deposits and increase pore pressure near the surface. Explanations for the origin of the near-surface ice include: (1) diffusion from the atmosphere [Mellon and Jakosky, 1995] (2) burial of precipitated snow and frost layers by rapidly aggrading sand layers [Calkin and Rutford, 1974; Bourke et al., 2004] (3) Blown snow transported simultaneously with sedimentary particles and deposited as niveo-aeolian deposits [McKenna Neuman, 1993; Bourke et al., 2004].

[16] Our interpretation of the results presented here include the following sequence of events: (1) melt water at the near-surface of the dune causes a decrease in the critical strength to produce a sufficient amount of material to slip, triggering a debris flow, as seen in terrestrial debris flows, (2) the debris flow continues down the slope, collecting the sand and water/ice mixture, and (3) the flow begins to slow at the break in slope and the internal higher-velocity flow material dissects the debris flow material forming the channel and levees.

[17] This process can explain why the gullies initiate at nearly the same elevation where the slope is greatest and therefore easiest for surface materials to slip. The rheological property of the debris flow is probably controlled by the surface material, which is the mixture of sand and water. This is consistent with first, that each deposit displays an almost constant width along its length without branches and second, that a single debris flow-like deposit displays almost the same geometric pattern as another in the same area. This could explain why many gullies in the dune field of Russell crater display similar morphologies to those in other dune fields. Although terrestrial data show that small difference in water concentration can significantly change the morphology of terrestrial debris flows. The spacing of each debris flows probably reflects the uniformity of the property of the slope, possibly due to the homogeneity of both the sand particles and the volumetric fraction of ice in the dune material, and melting rate of the deposited ice by insolation.

[18] The debris flow-like deposits usually show simple structures rather than episodic debris aprons. This may be due to the depletion of the volatile along the flow. The formation of the dune might be so recent that there might be no chance for ice to recharge after the formation of deposits, because obliquity varies from 15 to $>$35 on timescales of $10^5$ to $10^6$ years [Ward, 1979].
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