THE PERICELLULAR ENVIRONMENT REGULATES CYTOSKELETAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE DIFFERENTIATION OF MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS AND DETERMINES THEIR RESPONSE TO HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to examine the interplay between matrix stiffness and hydrostatic pressure (HP) in regulating chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and to further elucidate the mechanotransductive roles of integrins and the cytoskeleton. MSCs were seeded into 1 %, 2 % or 4 % agarose hydrogels and exposed to cyclic hydrostatic pressure. In a permissive media, the stiffer hydrogels supported an osteogenic phenotype, with little evidence of chondrogenesis observed regardless of the matrix stiffness. In a chondrogenic media, the stiffer gels suppressed cartilage matrix production and gene expression, with the addition of RGDS (an integrin blocker) found to return matrix synthesis to similar levels as in the softer gels. Vinculin, actin and vimentin organisation all adapted within stiffer hydrogels, with the addition of RGDS again preventing these changes. While the stiffer gels inhibited chondrogenesis, they enhanced mechanotransduction of HP. RGDS suppressed the mechanotransduction of HP, suggesting a role for integrin binding as a regulator of both matrix stiffness and HP. Intermediate filaments also appear to play a role in the mechanotransduction of HP, as only vimentin organisation adapted in response to this mechanical stimulus. To conclude, the results of this study demonstrate that matrix density and/or stiffness modulates the development of the pericellular matrix and consequently integrin binding and cytoskeletal structure. The study further suggests that physiological cues such as HP enhance chondrogenesis of MSCs as the pericellular environment matures and the cytoskeleton adapts, and points to a novel role for vimentin in the transduction of HP.
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Multiple soluble and insoluble cues are known to regulate the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), although we are only beginning to understand how these factors interact to regulate cell fate (Discher et al., 2009; Engler et al., 2006; Kelly and Jacobs, 2010; McBeath et al., 2004; Steward et al., 2011; Thorpe et al., 2012). The matrix or substrate stiffness has been shown to play a role in regulating the differentiation of MSCs down specific lineages in both 2D (Engler et al., 2006; Park et al., 2011) and 3D environments (Huebsch et al., 2010; Parekh et al., 2011; Pek et al., 2010). Softer substrates tend to guide MSCs down neurogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic pathways, while stiffer substrates have been shown to support myogenesis and osteogenesis depending on the specific composition of the culture media (Engler et al., 2006; Huebsch et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Park et al., 2011), although the underlying mechanisms by which stem cells sense and respond to substrate stiffness is not fully understood. Integrins form the linkage between a cell and its extracellular matrix (ECM) and have long been associated with mechanotransduction (Ingber, 2007), with matrix stiffness known to regulate integrin binding as well as the organisation of adhesion ligands (Huebsch et al., 2010). Inhibition of integrin binding disrupts modulins-driven differentiation in both 2D and 3D culture systems (Huebsch et al., 2010; Parekh et al., 2011; Park et al., 2011), confirming the role of integrin bonds in determining stem cell fate. It has also been shown that cytoskeletal-integrin linkage strength becomes stronger with increasing matrix elasticity (Choquet et al., 1997), implicating the cytoskeleton as a possible downstream target of matrix stiffness mechanotransduction. For example, myosin and actin-generated cytoskeletal tension are integral to mechanotransduction of substrate stiffness in 2D (Engler et al., 2006; McBeath et al., 2004), although the effects of actomyosin-generated cytoskeletal tension are less clear in 3D (Huebsch et al., 2010; Parekh et al., 2011).

In addition to cues generated in response to alterations in the stiffness and composition of the pericellular environment in vivo, stem cells will also be exposed to extrinsic mechanical loading which is known to influence their ultimate fate. The type (i.e. compression, fluid flow, tension, hydrostatic pressure), frequency, magnitude, and duration of loading all affect MSC lineage commitment (Haugh et al., 2011; Kelly and Jacobs, 2010; Meyer et al., 2011; Miyanishi et al., 2006b; Thorpe et al., 2008). Specifically, hydrostatic pressure (HP) is a key regulator...
of chondrogenesis (Elder and Athanasiou, 2009). HP has been shown to increase chondrogenic gene expression and matrix production in MSCs (Angele et al., 2003; Luo and Seedhom, 2007; Meyer et al., 2011; Miyanishi et al., 2006a; Miyanishi et al., 2006b; Ogawa et al., 2009; Steward et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2008). HP also plays a role in maintaining the chondrogenic phenotype by suppressing the expression of type I collagen, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), matrix metalloproteinase 13 (MMP-13), type X collagen and Indian hedgehog (Ihh) (Steward et al., 2012; Vinardell et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2003). HP has been shown to disrupt actin stress fibre assembly in chondrocytes (Parkkinen et al., 1995), and inhibition of microtubules has been shown to suppress the beneficial effect of HP on chondrocyte matrix production in 2D culture (Jortikka et al., 2000). The exact mechanism through which HP is transduced is unknown. It has been proposed that increased HP would lead to an increase in entropy (under constant temperature and volume) by depolymerising cytoskeletal polymers into free monomers, therefore disrupting stress fibre assembly (Champeil et al., 1981; Crenshaw et al., 1996; Heremans, 1982; Mozhaev et al., 1996; Myers et al., 2007; Silva et al., 1996). Cell-matrix interactions have been shown to influence MSC response to HP during chondrogenesis in 3D culture, with a more robust response to loading observed in hydrogels that promoted stronger actin stress fibre formation (Steward et al., 2012). Similar to studies exploring stem cell response to matrix stiffness, these studies point to a role for integrins and cytoskeletal adaption in the mechanotransduction of HP.

The objective of this study was to examine the interplay between matrix stiffness and HP in regulating chondrogenesis of MSCs. Bone marrow-derived MSCs were encapsulated in hydrogels of differing stiffness and subjected to intermittent HP. Integrin binding was inhibited in some hydrogels in order to determine the role of integrin binding in the mechanotransduction of both matrix stiffness and HP. Actin microfilaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments were also examined to determine their prospective roles in mechanotransduction. Our hypothesis was that softer hydrogels would support a more chondrogenic phenotype, but that changes in cell-matrix interactions and cytoskeletal development in the stiffer hydrogels would result in a more robust response to the application of HP.

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation, expansion and encapsulation
Bone marrow was harvested from the femoral diaphysis of 4-month-old pigs (~50 kg) under sterile conditions. MSCs were isolated and expanded according to a modified method developed for human MSCs (Lennon and Caplan, 2006). Briefly, bone marrow was removed from the femur, washed and centrifuged twice, and sieved through a 40 μm pore-size cell sieve (Falcon Starstedt, Wexford, Ireland). The remaining cell suspension was counted by trypsin blue exclusion and seeded at a density of 10 x 10⁶ cells per 175 cm² T-flask in a humidified atmosphere of 37 °C and 5 % CO₂. Non-adherent cells were removed after 3 d in culture to allow MSCs to attach to the flask. At each passage, cells were reseeded at a density of 875,000 cells per 175 cm² T-flask. Cultures were expanded in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium (hgDMEM Glutamax®) supplemented with 10 % foetal bovine serum (FBS), and penicillin (100 U/mL)-streptomycin (100 μg/mL) (all Gibco Biosciences, Dun Laoghaire, Ireland). After expansion (third passage), MSCs were encapsulated in agarose (Type VII, Sigma-Aldrich, Arklow, Ireland) at a density of 15 x 10⁶ cells/mL. Briefly, MSCs were mixed with 5 % agarose at ~40 °C to yield final gel concentrations of 1 %, 2 % or 4 % (with equilibrium moduli of 0.5, 10 and 25 MPa, respectively). The agarose-cell suspensions were cast in stainless steel moulds, and cored using biopsy punches to produce cylindrical scaffolds (Ø5 x 3 mm thickness). Constructs were maintained in 2.5 mL/construct of a chemically defined media (CDM) consisting of hgDMEM Glutamax® supplemented with penicillin (100 U/mL)-streptomycin (100 μg/mL) (Gibco Biosciences), 100 μg/mL sodium pyruvate, 10 μg/mL L-proline, 50 μg/mL L-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate, 1.5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1 x insulin-transferrin-selenium, 100 nM dexamethasone (all Sigma-Aldrich) and either 16 % FBS (permissive) or 10 ng/mL recombinant human transforming growth factor-β3 (chondrogenic, TGF-β3; ProSpec-Tany TechnoGene Ltd, Ness-Ziona, Israel). Some groups were also cultured with the addition of a 167 μM RGDS peptide (RGDS+, Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) in order to inhibit integrin binding. Cells that were to be cultured with the RGDS peptide were equilibrated in the chondrogenic media supplemented with RGDS for 2 h prior to encapsulation in agarose, and RGDS was added to the media during each further media change. Constructs were allowed to equilibrate overnight before the initiation of hydrostatic pressure.

Application of hydrostatic pressure
Constructs (n = 9) were sealed into sterile bags with 2 mL of medium per construct during the daily loading period. After loading, constructs were removed from the bags and returned to culture dishes containing 2.5 mL medium per construct to allow gases to equilibrate overnight. Cyclic HP was applied in a custom bioreactor filled with water within a 37 °C incubator, as described previously (Meyer et al., 2011). The sealed bags exposed to HP were placed into the pressure vessel while the free swelling (FS) controls were placed into an open water bath next to the pressure vessel. The pressure vessel was connected to a hydraulic cylinder (PHD Inc., Fort Wayne, IN, USA) that was loaded using a computer controlled Instron 8874 materials testing machine. The pressure inside the vessel was measured using a pressure gauge (Omega Engineering Inc., Manchester, UK). The load applied to the hydraulic cylinder by the Instron was set such that the HP inside the vessel reached an amplitude of 10 MPa at a frequency of 1 Hz, 4 h/d, 5 d/week for 3 weeks. Half-medium exchanges were performed every 3-4 d and media samples were collected for biochemical analysis.
Biochemical Analysis
Constructs \( n = 4 \) were digested with papain (125 μg/mL) in 0.1 M sodium acetate, 5 mM L-cysteine-HCl, and 0.05 M EDTA (pH 6.0, all Sigma-Aldrich) at 60 °C under constant rotation for 18 h. Sulphated glycosaminoglycan (sGAG) content was quantified using the dimethylmethane blue dye-binding assay (DMMB; Blysantin Biocolor Ltd., Carrickfergus, Northern Ireland) with a chondroitin sulphate standard. Collagen content was determined by measuring the hydroxyproline content. Samples were hydrolysed at 110 °C for 18 h in 38 % HCl and assayed using a chloromine-T assay with a hydroxyproline:collagen ratio of 1:7.69 (Ignat’eva et al., 2007; Kafienah and Sims, 2004). Media samples were also analysed using the DMMB and hydroxyproline assays, and subsequently added to that accumulated within constructs to yield the total sGAG and collagen produced. Total sGAG and hydroxyproline values from the HP groups were normalised to the FS groups when applicable. All assays were performed in triplicate.

Confocal microscopy, histology and immunohistochemistry
At day 21, constructs \( n = 2 \) were cut in half and fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4 °C and rinsed with PBS. In order to examine focal adhesion formation and cytoskeletal organisation, samples were permeabilised in a 1 % Triton-X and 2 % BSA solution for 45 min and washed in PBS. The samples were then incubated in a 1.5 % BSA solution containing one of either 60 μg/mL monoclonal anti-vinculin FITC conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 U/mL rhodamine phallolidin (VWR International, Dublin, Ireland), 1 μg/mL anti-vimentin FITC (eBioscience, Inc., Hatfield, UK) or 1 μg/mL anti-alpha tubulin eFlour® 615 (eBioscience, Inc.) for 1.5 h, and then imaged using a Zeiss 510 Meta confocal microscope at 40x magnification.

The remaining halves were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin wax. Constructs were sectioned perpendicular to the disc face yielding 5 μm thick sections. Sections were stained with either 1 % alcan blue 8GX (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M HCl for sGAG, or picro-sirius red to detect collagen. Collagen types I and II were further identified through immunohistochemistry. Sections were treated with peroxidase, followed by chondroitinase ABC (Sigma-Aldrich) in a humidified environment at 37 °C for 1 h to permeabilise the extracellular matrix. Samples were then blocked with goat serum. Afterwards, the primary antibodies for collagen types I and II (mouse monoclonal, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) were applied for 1 h. Next, the secondary antibody (anti-Mouse IgG biotin conjugate, Sigma-Aldrich) was added for 1 h, followed by incubation with ABC reagent (Vectastain PK-4000, Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK) for 45 min. Finally, the slides were developed with DAB peroxidase (Vector Labs) for 4 min. Samples were washed with PBS between each step, and negative and positive controls of porcine ligament (positive for type I collagen, negative for type II collagen) and cartilage (positive for type II collagen, negative for type I collagen) were also assessed.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to determine relative gene expression changes in chondrogenic specific genes with respect to both application of loading and supplementation with RGDS peptide. Total RNA was extracted from agarose constructs \( n = 3 \) directly after loading on day 14 of culture. Total RNA was extracted from each construct by homogenising each construct with an Ultra-turrax IKA T10 basic homogeniser (Fisher Scientific, Dublin, Ireland) in 1 mL of TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), followed by a chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich) extraction. The extracted solution was incubated with an equal volume of isopropanol and 5 μL glycogen in a -20 °C freezer overnight. The solution was then centrifuged and the precipitate was washed once with 70 % ethanol. The precipitate was resuspended in 200 μL of 35 % ethanol and the RNA was then extracted with a PureLink™ RNA Mini kit (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA yield and purity were analysed using an ND 1000 NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Labtech International, Uckfield, UK) and adjusted to a standard concentration prior to cDNA synthesis. To quantify mRNA expression, 50 ng total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a high capacity reverse transcription cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems, Paisley, UK) as per manufacturer’s instructions. TaqMan® gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) which contain forward and reverse primers, and a FAM-labelled TaqMan probe for porcine Sox9 (Ss03392406_m1), aggrecan (Age, Ss03374822_m1), collagen type II alpha 1 (Col2A1, Ss03373344_g1), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, Ss03373286) were used in this study. qRT-PCR was performed using an ABI 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). 5 μL cDNA preparation (diluted 1:5 with RNase free water), 1 μL gene assay, 10 μL TaqMan Universal PCR Master mix (Applied Biosystems) and 4 μL RNase free water (20 μL total volume) were added to each well. Samples were assayed in triplicate in one run (40 cycles). qRT-PCR data were analysed using the ΔΔC\(_T\) method as described previously (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) with GAPDH as the endogenous control. Relative quantification values are presented as fold changes in gene expression relative to the control group.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 5.00, GraphPad Software). Biochemical results, both numerical and graphical, are expressed in the form of mean ± standard deviation. Differences between HP and FS samples or between samples cultured with or without RGDS were determined using a Student’s t-test. A level of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant. All sGAG, collagen, histological and confocal data are from samples collected on day 21. All gene expression data were collected on day 14.


**Results**

**Influence of matrix stiffness on MSC differentiation in a permissive environment**

The Young’s modulus of agarose hydrogels increases from 0.5 kPa for 1 % gels to 10 kPa for 2 % gels, to 25 kPa for 4 % gels. In order to assess how matrix stiffness affects differentiation of bone marrow-derived MSCs cultured in a permissive environment (Media + 16 % FBS), histological sections were stained for calcific deposits and sGAG accumulation as markers of osteogenesis and chondrogenesis, respectively. With increasing matrix stiffness, more pronounced mineralisation was observed (Fig. 1). However, no evidence of chondrogenesis was observed in these specific culture conditions regardless of the local matrix stiffness (Fig. 1).

**Chondrogenesis of MSCs is regulated by matrix stiffness, integrin binding and cytoskeletal organisation**

Cell seeded constructs were maintained in a chondrogenic medium (Medium + 10 ng/mL TGFβ-3) in order to assess the specific effects of matrix stiffness on the chondrogenesis of MSCs. Total sGAG and collagen production for each group was determined by summing the accumulation of specific matrix components within the hydrogels with that released into the medium. While sGAG accumulation within the constructs on day 21 was greater in the 4 % agarose hydrogels, overall levels of both total sGAG (1 %: 45.05 ± 2.65 μg, 2 %: 33.60 ± 2.58 μg, 4 %: 29.39 ± 1.32 μg) and collagen (1 %: 177.35 ± 24.72 μg, 2 %: 84.04 ± 7.00 μg, 4 %: 47.30 ± 3.00 μg) production decreased with increasing matrix stiffness (Fig. 2a,b). The pericellular environment was also found to depend on agarose hydrogel concentration, with a more well developed, intensely stained pericellular matrix (PCM, consisting of proteoglycans and collagens) observed in the stiffer hydrogels (Fig. 2e,f). To determine if interactions between MSCs and their local pericellular environment were regulating ECM synthesis, integrin binding was blocked with the addition of RGDS to the culture media. While RGDS had no significant effect on sGAG and collagen production in the softer 1 % agarose hydrogels (Fig. 2c,d), inhibition of integrin binding led to a significant increase in ECM synthesis in the stiffer 4 % agarose hydrogels, reaching levels comparable to those in 1 % hydrogels (Fig. 2c,d).

We next sought to explore how matrix stiffness and associated changes in the pericellular environment influence both focal adhesion assembly and the cytoskeletal development of MSCs undergoing chondrogenesis. Staining for vinculin, a protein found in focal adhesions, was disperse in the softer 1 % hydrogels, but had a more punctate appearance in the 4 % hydrogels. This punctate structure was not evident with the addition of RGDS (Fig. 3). No visible changes in tubulin structure were observed with changes in matrix stiffness or the addition of RGDS, suggesting that the microtubule network is relatively insensitive to changes in the pericellular environment. The intensity of actin fluorescence increased with increasing matrix stiffness, with the addition of RGDS reducing the staining intensity. Staining for vimentin intermediate filaments became more punctate with increasing stiffness; and, as with vinculin staining, this punctate structure was less evident and staining more diffuse with the addition of RGDS (Fig. 3).

**Influence of hydrostatic pressure on chondrogenesis and the cytoskeletal organisation of MSCs**

While focal adhesion assembly, cytoskeletal organisation and cartilage-specific ECM synthesis are all regulated by the pericellular environment, in a developmental or regenerative context, MSCs will be additionally subjected to extrinsic mechanical forces in vivo such as hydrostatic pressure. How MSCs sense and respond to both intrinsic (i.e. those that are generated within the cell in response to the composition and stiffness of the PCM) and extrinsic (i.e. those generated from external mechanical loading)
biophysical cues is poorly understood. The application of cyclic hydrostatic pressure (HP) was found to modulate ECM synthesis in a matrix stiffness dependent manner, with enhanced sGAG synthesis in response to HP (1%: 0.99 ± 0.14 fold, 2%: 1.19 ± 0.12 fold, 4%: 1.42 ± 0.23 fold) only observed in the stiffer 4% hydrogels over 21 d of culture (Fig. 4a). Hydrostatic pressure had no effect on total collagen synthesis (1%: 0.88 ± 0.14 fold, 2%: 0.94 ± 0.11 fold, 4%: 0.94 ± 0.20 fold) in any hydrogel (Fig. 4b). To further explore MSC response to HP in hydrogels of differing stiffness, the expression of a number of chondrogenic genes was analysed at day 14. Sox9, Agc and Col2A1 gene expression all increased significantly in the stiffer 4% hydrogels exposed to HP (Sox9: 1.41 ± 0.20 fold, Agc: 1.32 ± 0.13 fold, Col2A1: 2.19 ± 0.15 fold), while HP had no positive effect on gene expression in the softer 1% hydrogels (Sox9: 0.90 ± 0.17 fold, Agc: 0.43 ± 0.46 fold, Col2A1: 0.73 ± 0.16 fold) (Fig. 4c-e). Hydrostatic pressure had no visible effect on the intensity of vinculin, actin or tubulin staining or its localisation within the cell; however, the punctate structure of vimentin in the stiffer 4% hydrogels was no longer present after exposure to HP (Fig. 4f).

Integrin binding is necessary for mechanotransduction of hydrostatic pressure

Given that integrin binding was required for MSCs to respond to changes in the stiffness and/or composition of their pericellular environment, we explored whether a similar pathway was involved in the mechanotransduction of HP. Addition of RGDS abrogated the beneficial response of HP on sGAG synthesis in the stiffer 4% hydrogels (-RGDS: 1.42 ± 0.23 fold, +RGDS: 0.90 ± 0.11 fold) over the 21 d culture period (Fig. 5a). Hydrostatic pressure had
no effect on collagen production whether the media was supplemented with RGDS or not (-RGDS: 0.94 ± 0.20 fold, +RGDS: 1.21 ± 0.33 fold) (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, the increase in the expression of Sox9, Agc and Col2A1 due to the application of hydrostatic pressure was also abolished in the presence of RGDS (Sox9: -RGDS: 1.41 ± 0.20 fold, +RGDS: 1.26 ± 0.27 fold; Agc: -RGDS: 1.32 ± 0.13 fold, +RGDS: 1.05 ± 0.36 fold; Col2A1: -RGDS: 2.19 ± 0.15 fold, +RGDS: 0.86 ± 0.38 fold) (Fig. 5c-e). In the presence of RGDS, no changes were observed in vinculin, actin, vimentin or tubulin due to the application of hydrostatic pressure (Fig. 5f).

**Discussion**

In agreement with previous studies seeding MSCs onto 2D substrates (Engler et al., 2006) or embedding them into 3D hydrogels (Huebsch et al., 2010; Parekh et al., 2011), we found that the stiffer 4 % hydrogel supported a more osteogenic phenotype as evidenced by calcific deposits within constructs maintained in a permissive media that did not contain specific osteogenic supplements. Irrespective of hydrogel stiffness, this permissive medium did not support chondrogenesis of MSCs. As seen previously, when maintained in a medium supplemented with TGF-β3, cartilage matrix production was inhibited in stiffer hydrogels (Bian et al., 2013; Erickson et al., 2009). One potential explanation for this is that diffusivity of biomolecules (such as TGF-β3) would be lower in the stiffer, denser 4 % hydrogels; however, these hydrogels are still 96 % fluid and are therefore not expected to significantly inhibit biomolecule diffusivity. While MSCs cannot directly adhere to agarose, and hence initially are unlikely to be able to sense their local stiffness, they rapidly synthesise fibronectin and other extracellular matrix components in hydrogel culture (Nicodemus et al., 2011; Parekh et al., 2011) to which they can adhere, which may provide them with a mechanism through which they can sense the stiffness of the surrounding hydrogel. In agreement with previous studies (Bian et al., 2013; Erickson et al., 2009), a denser, presumably stiffer, PCM also develops in the higher concentration hydrogels to which the MSCs can adhere and sense. In addition to a stiffer micro-environment for MSCs in the 4 % hydrogels, it is also reasonable to assume that the more developed PCM in these constructs leads to an increase in the number of integrin binding sites per cell. It is therefore difficult to decouple whether the denser matrix exerts its effects *via* creating a stiffer pericellular environment, by increasing adhesion-ligand density (Connelly et al., 2008) or through some other feedback mechanism such as a change in the local charge density (or a combination of these factors). Previous studies have provided strong support for the hypothesis that MSCs interpret changes in the stiffness of their 3D pericellular environment as changes in adhesion-ligand presentation (Huebsch et al., 2010).
The observed changes in MSC phenotype in the stiffer 4% hydrogels were accompanied by a more developed actin cytoskeleton. It is well established that development of actin stress fibres is correlated with an inhibition of chondrogenesis (Daniels and Solursh, 1991). Previous studies have also observed that conjugation of RGD-adhesion ligands to agarose hydrogels inhibited sGAG synthesis by MSCs, and this inhibition could be blocked by the addition of a pharmacological actin inhibitor, further demonstrating that cellular adhesion and subsequent actin stress fibre formation inhibits chondrogenesis (Connelly et al., 2008). Based on these findings, we examined the roles of integrin binding and cytoskeletal organisation in the mechanotransduction of matrix stiffness. The addition of RGDS to the media, which blocks integrin binding to the PCM, impacted actin cytoskeleton development in the stiffer 4% gels and led to similar levels of ECM synthesis in these constructs relative to that observed in the softer hydrogels, further implicating integrin binding and actin as important elements in mechanotransduction. Vinculin, a component of focal adhesion complexes, exhibited a more punctate structure in the stiffer hydrogels, with the addition of RGDS leading to more diffuse staining, similar to that seen in the softer hydrogels. The more punctate organisation of vinculin in the stiffer hydrogels could indicate greater focal adhesion formation and FAK signalling, which has been shown to inhibit early chondrogenesis in MSCs (Pala et al., 2008). A punctate vimentin structure, perhaps indicative of filament formation, was observed in the stiffer 4% hydrogels, and again the addition of RGDS caused...
the structure to resemble that in the softer 1% hydrogels. Vimentin is known to regulate chondrogenesis of MSCs, with siRNA-mediated knockdown of vimentin inhibiting cartilage-specific ECM production (Bobick et al., 2010). These intermediate filaments also contribute to the stiffness of chondrocytes (Haudenschild et al., 2011). Previous research has shown that vimentin can directly interact with actin, integrins αvβ3 and α2β1, and their associated focal adhesions, which, together with the results of the current study, provides a mechanism by which vimentin may play a role in the transduction of mechanical cues (Esue et al., 2006; Gonzales et al., 2001; Kreis et al., 2005; Ruoslahti, 1996). The results of these and the present study demonstrate that although vimentin is critical for chondrogenesis, adaption of the intermediate filament network as the pericellular matrix becomes denser may play a role in the suppression of a chondrogenic phenotype in MSCs.

Prior studies have demonstrated that the application of HP can enhance chondrogenesis of MSCs (Elder and Athanasiou, 2009) and improve the mechanical functionality of tissue engineered cartilage (Liu et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2011). Previously, we observed that the application of HP enhances chondrogenesis of MSCs in fibrin hydrogels where cells adopt a spread morphology with clear stress fibre formation, while MSCs embedded in agarose hydrogels remained rounded and did not respond to loading. These findings implicated cell shape and cytoskeletal dynamics in modulating the response of MSCs to HP (Steward et al., 2012). In this study, MSCs

Fig. 5. (a) Total sGAG and (b) total collagen in 4% gels normalised to the FS condition. (c) Sox9, (d) Actin and (e) Col2A1 relative gene expression in 4% gels normalised to the FS condition. (f) Representative confocal images of vinculin, actin, vimentin and tubulin in 4% FS and HP groups cultured with RGDS peptide (scale bars = 50 μm and 12.5 μm). a: p < 0.05.
only responded to HP (as indicated by increases in the expression of certain chondrogenic genes and increased sGAG synthesis) in the stiffer 4% hydrogels, although MSCs retained a similar rounded morphology in both constructs, suggesting cell shape alone does not determine the response of MSCs to HP. Rather, it would appear that MSCs with clear focal adhesion assemblies, intense actin staining and a specific vimentin intermediate filament organisation respond anabolically to the application of HP. In such MSCs, HP would appear to disrupt the vimentin network, with more diffuse staining observed in MSCs exposed to HP, possibly implicating intermediate filaments in the mechanotransduction of HP. This diffuse staining may be indicative of depolymerisation of intermediate filaments due to the application of HP (Myers et al., 2007), which may occur due to an increase in entropy or alterations in phosphorylation pathways due to increased pressurisation (Crenshaw et al., 1996; Haskin and Cameron, 1993). Vimentin depolymerisation has been observed in certain cell types in response to HP (Crenshaw et al., 1996), with the same study reporting that microtubules appear more resistant to high levels of pressurisation. Induced swelling of cartilage explants was found to lead to vimentin disassembly, while swelling had no effect on actin organisation, further implicating an important mechanosensory role for vimentin in articular chondrocytes (Durrant et al., 1999). Together, these two studies, along with the current one, also suggest that different cytoskeletal elements may be more or less sensitive to different mechanical stimuli. The results of this study also provide further support for the concept that extrinsic mechanical cues can override the influence of the local substrate in determining MSC fate (Thorpe et al., 2012).

Given that chondrogenesis was suppressed in the stiffer 4% hydrogels via integrin-mediated binding to the pericellular matrix, and furthermore that the application of HP at least partially overcame this suppression of chondrogenesis, it seemed reasonable to assume that the response of MSCs to HP would be abrogated in the absence of integrin-mediated binding to the PCM. Indeed, HP had no effect on the expression of chondrogenic genes or cartilage specific matrix production in the presence of RGDS. One interpretation of this result is that MSC-PCM integrin-mediated bonds are essential for the mechanotransduction of HP. Indeed, integrins have been implicated in the mechanotransduction of multiple extrinsic mechanical cues, including tension, compression, and fluid flow (Katsumi et al., 2004). However, the finding that HP had no influence on chondrogenesis with the addition of RGDS may not necessarily imply a direct role for integrins in the mechanosensing of HP, as blocking integrin-mediated binding to the pericellular matrix also affected cytoskeletal components such as vimentin which may be the primary mechanosensors. The addition of RGDS in stiffer hydrogels led to the development of a cytoskeleton similar to that in the softer 1% hydrogels, where HP had no beneficial effect on chondrogenesis. For similar reasons, it is difficult to determine if changes in vimentin organisation are downstream or upstream of changes to integrin binding in the mechanotransduction pathway of HP (i.e. RGDS alters both integrin binding and vimentin organisation, making it difficult to decouple their relative roles in the mechanotransduction of HP). Furthermore, the application of HP has no noticeable influence on the pattern of vinculin staining, although more quantitative analysis is required to definitively state that this mechanical cue is not influencing the composition or assembly of focal adhesions.

In conclusion, the pericellular matrix plays a crucial role in mechanotransduction of both HP and matrix stiffness through integrin binding and cytoskeletal organisation. MSCs embedded in the stiffer 4% hydrogels develop a more mature PCM, leading to changes in focal adhesion formation and cytoskeletal organisation and an inhibition of cartilage matrix synthesis and gene expression. The application of extrinsic mechanical cues, such as HP, can disrupt this process and override the influence of matrix stiffness on cytoskeletal development, promoting the maintenance of a chondrogenic phenotype as the pericellular environment matures and becomes stiffer. Integrin-mediated binding to the PCM played a role in the mechanotransduction of both matrix stiffness and HP, although in the latter case downstream changes to the cytoskeleton following supplementation with RGDS make it impossible to state definitively that integrins are the primary mechanosensors of HP in MSCs. Finally, vimentin structure was also altered in the stiffer hydrogels when exposed to HP, suggesting a role for vimentin as a mechanotransductive element of HP.
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Discussion with Reviewers

Reviewer I: A discussion on the potential effects of HP on the agarose hydrogel properties would be useful.

Authors: We presume the reviewer is referring to the mechanical properties of the hydrogels. Based on research previously performed in our lab, hydrostatic pressure has been found to significantly increase the dynamic modulus of agarose hydrogels in a time- and donor-dependent manner (Meyer et al., 2011). For example, we have previously shown that the dynamic modulus of MSC-seeded agarose hydrogels is unaffected by the application of HP at day 21, but on day 42 of culture, loaded hydrogels were found to have a significantly higher dynamic modulus than free swelling (FS) controls. In agreement with this data, HP did not significantly affect the mechanical properties of cell-seeded agarose hydrogels on day 21 relative to FS controls in the current study (data not shown).

Reviewer II: The work of Connelly et al, who showed that appending RGD ligands to agarose resulted in a fundamental shift in differentiation response. It seems that the response here, being mediated by pericellular accumulation, would be informed by these previous findings. Also, aside from stiffness-mediated effects, could not feed-back inhibition (via charge density) play a role? Please comment.

Authors: It is true that the findings of this study can be related to the study performed by Connelly et al. (2008). They observed that conjugation of the RGD peptide to agarose hydrogels decreased chondrogenesis in an RGD density dependant manner and that disruption of the actin cytoskeleton suppressed this effect. As already discussed, the suppression of chondrogenesis in the stiffer/denser 4 % hydrogels observed in this study could be due to a greater accumulation of PCM molecules, and this higher density of binding sites could lead to a suppression of
chondrogenesis in a similar manner to that observed by Connelly et al. (2008). A feedback inhibition (via charge density) has been included as another potential mechanism for this result in the discussion section.

Reviewer II: The authors note: “While MSCs cannot directly adhere to agarose, and hence initially are unlikely to be able to sense their local stiffness, they rapidly synthesise fibronectin and other extracellular matrix components in hydrogel culture (Nicodemus et al., 2011; Parekh et al., 2011), to which they can adhere”, and suggest that this provides a mechanism by which the cells can sense the hydrogel stiffness. I am not sure that I understand this proposed mechanism. Do the authors believe that the formed material forms an interpenetrating network with the agarose, and that cell contraction then allows them to ‘pull’ on the agarose? Or, instead, does the denser hydrogel ‘compact’ the material in a smaller space, increasing the density of ligands for integrin and placing a compressive ‘pre-stress’ on the cell? Isn’t this what happens in traditional pellet culture, perhaps without the compressive pre-stress? Is the response to HP in pellet culture different from that at high agarose densities? The authors should perform studies to elucidate these potentially competing aspects.

Authors: Clearly the PCM is more developed in the stiffer/denser 4 % hydrogels, and hence one could reasonably expect the density of ligands to be higher in these constructs. This denser PCM is presumably also stiffer and the cells can ‘pull’ on it. In addition, it is also possible that the synthesised matrix forms an ‘interpenetrating network with the agarose’, allowing the MSCs to sense the hydrogel stiffness, although it is difficult to test this hypothesis directly. Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, it would seem reasonable to conclude that a stiffer cellular micro-environment exists in the 4 % agarose hydrogels which the cells can sense. Of course, as we have already discussed, as with any hydrogel system (whether ligands are conjugated or not) it is difficult to decouple the effects of matrix stiffness and ligand density in long-term culture as the hydrogel stiffness/density will impact PCM formation and hence alter ligand density. To summarise, what would seem reasonable to conclude is that the MSC micro-environment in the 1 % gels is less stiff and over the 21 d culture period presents a lower density of ligands for integrin attachment, while the 4 % gels provide a stiffer microenvironment and over time a higher density of ligands as the PCM develops faster. Regarding the comment on pellet culture, we and others have shown that the application of HP to MSC pellets enhances chondrogenesis and matrix synthesis over a 2-3 week culture period. This is a similar response to that observed in the stiffer/denser agarose hydrogels.