Anomalous magnetization reversal due to proximity effect of antiphase boundaries
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Here we report anomalous double switching hysteresis loop and high coercivity (∼0.1 T) in Fe3O4(110) thin films. Our analytical model based on spin chains confined within small antiphase boundary domains (APBDS) suggests a significant proximity effect of antiferromagnetic antiphase boundaries (APBs). Furthermore, the calculated domain size (D) follows the well-known scaling relation $D = C \sqrt{t}$. The results suggest that the interface exchange coupling between neighboring magnetic domains through antiferromagnetic APBs is responsible for the double switching hysteresis. Our findings could help advance the studies of anomalous properties of magnetic materials originating from growth defects. This effect can be utilized for the tunability of exchange bias in devices.
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Anomalous magnetic properties of ferromagnets originating due to defect driven structural modifications have received much attention in application oriented research.1–4 Antiphase boundaries (APB) are natural growth defects occurring due to the symmetry difference between the thin film and the substrate crystal structures.5–7 Studies of epitaxial thin films and heterostructures containing APBs have attracted considerable attention during the last decade as APBs can significantly alter the physical properties of thin films, which is advantageous for the development of spintronic devices.8–11 One of the important epitaxial heterostructures for these studies is Fe3O4 thin films grown on MgO substrates. Since the Fe3O4 (Fd$\bar{3}$m) crystal structure is lower in symmetry than MgO (Fm$\bar{3}$m) there are several equivalent nucleation sites on the MgO surface, which enforce the formation of APBs at the junctions of neighboring grains. The APBs can be considered as the disruption of cation chains in a continuous oxygen lattice. In Fe3O4/MgO hetero-epitaxy there exist many new exchange interactions across APBs which are not present in the bulk. The observation of magnetoresistance in Fe3O4 films is explained on the basis of spin polarized conduction across the antiferromagnetically (AF) coupled APBs.12–15 Our previous analysis showed that in Fe3O4 (110)/MgO (110) films, APBs can be formed with three different shift vectors (i.e., $\frac{1}{4}a(001)$ along (001) and $\frac{3}{4}a(\bar{1}1\bar{1})$ or $\frac{3}{4}a(\bar{1}1\bar{1})$ along the (110) direction16). The islands separated by these shift vectors form APBs when they coalesce. Figure 1(a) shows one such possible APB formation with the boundary along the (110) direction. We can identify two important superexchanges across these APBs which are 180° Fe–O–Fe and Fe–O–O–Fe, both AF in nature.17,18 It is well known that the size of the domains (D) enclosed by APBs shrinks with a decrease in film thickness (t), following the scaling relation $D = C \sqrt{t}$. In this Brief Report, we report the observation of strong film thickness dependent double switching behaviour in Fe3O4 (110)/MgO (110) films. We demonstrate that the double switching behavior in these films is a result of the disruption of the exchange interaction in proximity to APBs, so that some areas in the film become antiferromagnetically exchange coupled with each other.

Fe3O4 films with thicknesses 20, 30, and 60 nm were grown on (110) oriented MgO single crystal substrates (cut along the [110] direction within 0.5°) using oxygen plasma assisted molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system (DCA MBE M600) with a base pressure $5 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr. For growth conditions see Ref. 5. The structural characterization of the films was performed using a multicrystal high-resolution x-ray diffractometer (HRXRD, Bede-D1, Bede, UK). The $a_\perp$ values for the films, calculated from the separation of (220) and (440) symmetric Bragg reflections of MgO and Fe3O4 film, respectively, shows 8.3943Å ($\pm$10Å) for 20 and 30 nm films and 8.3952Å ($\pm$10Å) for a 60-nm film. From the analysis of the grazing exit and grazing incidence asymmetric scans we obtained the in-plane lattice parameter $a_\parallel$ of the films. The detailed structural characterization shows that the 60-nm film undergoes a 0.7% strain relaxation and 20- and 30-nm films are fully strained. No iron oxide phases were observed other than Fe3O4. In previous reports we have shown that the Fe3O4 growth procedure, which we follow in this work, does not form any unreacted Fe clusters or other impurities such as Mg in the bulk or interface of the film.20,21 Resistivity was measured as a function of temperature for various thicknesses and all the films underwent Verwey transition at temperatures (Tv) around 108 K. Since Tv is very sensitive to the Fe3O4 film stoichiometry, the very presence of the Verwey transition confirms that the films are of high quality.22,23 Figure 2 shows hysteresis loops (HL) obtained at room temperature with the magnetic field aligned along [110] ($M$ is normalized with saturation magnetization $M_s$). The HLs show a double switching behavior with two switching fields $H_1$ and $H_2$ being strongly thickness dependent, while with the field along [001] they show a hard axis behavior. We would like to stress that the double switching HL presented here is representative and reproducible. All our (110) films with thickness less than 60 nm showed similar behavior. Although bulk magnetite has cubic anisotropy with (111) easy axis, reports on magnetization studies in Fe3O4(110) epitaxial films grown on MgO (110) substrates, show a square hysteresis loop with an in-plane (110) easy axis and hard axis along (001), which are broadly consistent with our measurements.24

Before discussing the double switching behavior of the HL, we will discuss the details of the spin rotation in a magnetic domain confined by two AF-APBs [shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)] under external field (H). At a sufficient field all the spins from the AF-APBs follow the direction of H and spins near to the AF-APBs gradually rotate toward 90° out
of plane with respect to the field to facilitate AF coupling across the boundary. The Zeeman energy has to compete with the anisotropy energy and ferromagnetic exchange energy to align the spins toward the field direction. By considering the spin coherent rotation inside the domain, the angle between the spins $\theta$ is inversely proportional to $D$, the domain size. As $D$ decreases with $t$, $\theta$ increases and a greater field is needed to compensate the anisotropy and exchange energy. Therefore, the critical field increases with decreasing film thickness.

To estimate the critical field we consider a model of a spin chain on one side of the AF-APB which is widely used for the analysis of magnetoresistance in Fe$_3$O$_4$ films. In the present case the field is applied along the [110] direction and the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy density is also considered. This model is then modified to position a second AF-APB at a certain distance away from the first AF-APB. In this case we can write the energy per unit area of a chain as

$$γ_{001} = \int_{-λ}^{0} \left[ M_f H (1 - \cosφ) + K \left( \frac{1}{4} \cos^2 2φ \right) \right] dφ + A_F \left( \frac{dφ}{dx} \right)^2 dλ, \quad (1)$$

where $φ$ is the angle between local saturation magnetization $M_S$ at distance $x$ from the boundary and field $H$. $λ$ is the distance from the APB at which the spins are approximately aligned along the field. The first term in the integral is the Zeeman energy density and the second term is the anisotropy density. The third term is the exchange energy density. $K$ is the magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant and $A_F$ is the exchange stiffness constant. With variational calculus the condition for minimum energy can be derived as

$$M_f H \sinφ - K \cos2φ \sin2φ - 2A_F \left( \frac{dφ}{dx} \right)^2 = 0. \quad (2)$$

Multiplying by $\frac{dφ}{dx}$ and integrating from 0 to $λ$ with boundary conditions at $x = 0$, $φ = φ_0$, and at $x = -λ$, $φ = 0$ we get

$$M_f H (1 - \cos φ_0) - K \left( \frac{1}{8} \cos4φ_0 \right) = A_F \left( \frac{dφ}{dx} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Considering smaller domains, where the diameter $D \leq 2λ$, the factor $\frac{dφ}{dx}$ will reduce by half due to the proximity effects of the adjacent APB. Therefore, dividing the right-hand side of Eq. (3) by a factor of 4 and further integrating, using the boundary conditions at $x = 0$, $φ = φ_0 = \frac{π}{2}$, and at $x = -λ$, $φ = 0$, we can show that the critical field is given by

$$H_c = \frac{π^2 A_F}{8 M_f λ^2}. \quad (4)$$

By using the value of $H_{c32}$, $D$ (for which $D \approx 2λ$, the limiting distance at which the interaction of APBs starts) was calculated from Eq. (4) and was found to follow the well-known scaling relation $D = C \sqrt{T}$ (see Fig. 3). The slope $C = 2.35 \times 10^{-4} \text{nm}^{0.5}$ obtained from Fig. 3 is similar to the one reported for (100) oriented films which is $C = 2.23 \times 10^{-4} \text{nm}^{0.5}$ (Ref. 19). The domain sizes calculated for our (110) oriented films are found to be on average 9% smaller than the corresponding domain sizes reported in the case of (100) oriented films for the same film thickness. By considering that around 20–30% of APBs are AF-APBs and the mean domain size of our (110) oriented films is significantly smaller than in the case of (100) oriented films, we can consider the possibility of exchange coupling of neighboring magnetic domians through AF-APBs. For a greater understanding let us consider a simplified situation, where two magnetic domains of different size, large domain ($L$), and small domain ($S$)
are exchange coupled through the in-plane AF-APBs. It is clear that the AF-APBs induce an AF interfacial exchange coupling\textsuperscript{26} which increases the critical field of small size domains and decreases the critical field of the large size domains causing the double switching in HLs. Please note that the AF exchange coupling in the referred paper is through an MgO barrier layer,\textsuperscript{26} while, in our case, the AF exchange coupling is through AF-APBs. The spin configurations at different stages of the hysteresis loop are shown schematically in the inserts of Fig. 2. Our simple two-domain model can explain results for the low thickness sample well. However, when the film thickness is above 20 nm, the step in the magnetization decreases to a positive value. A possible reason could be the complexity of the domain distribution within the film, in particular the ratio between the number of small domains and number of large domains due to the random distribution of AF-APB. Indeed, the APB density and their distributions are thickness dependent.\textsuperscript{19} We did not observe similar double HL in (100) oriented films grown on MgO (100) substrates. The reason could be the difference in the way Fe-O-Fe ions meet at the APBs in these two cases due to symmetry differences. For example, one such difference is depicted in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Here the APB formation along the [110] direction on a (110) and (100) orientated MgO surface are compared. Figure 1(a) shows a perfect 180° AF superexchange while Fig. 1(b) shows the superposition of 180° AF as well as 90° ferromagnetic super exchanges. This superposition of two opposite types of exchange interaction in the latter case could reduce the AF coupling strength. We have not considered the energy density of AF coupling at the boundary $\gamma_{AF-APB} = \frac{1}{d}(1 - \cos \phi_{AF})$ (where $A_{AF}$ is the negative exchange stiffness constant for the AF exchange interaction at the boundary, $d$ is the distance between the neighboring chains along the boundary, and $\phi_{AF}$ is the angle between the spins across boundary), in our analytical model. But the value of $A_{AF}$ should have a prominent effect in the rotation of short spin chains since it dictates the rigidity of the spin chain near the boundary.

In conclusion we have shown that unusual hysteresis loops and large coercivity observed in Fe$_3$O$_4$ (110) films originate from the proximity effects of adjacent AF-APBs on shorter spin chains, which are confined within small APB domains. Our analytical model calculates the approximate APB domain size which follows the well-known scaling relation. Interfacial exchange coupling between magnetic domains across AF-APBs is also discussed. By changing the density of the APBs using suitable annealing conditions or using stepped substrate surfaces the effect can be tuned for device applications.
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