#### Accepted Manuscript

Testing DNDC model for simulating soil respiration and assessing the effects of climate change on the  $CO_2$  gas flux from Irish agriculture

M. Abdalla, S. Kumar, M. Jones, J. Burke, M. Williams

PII: DOI: Reference: S0921-8181(11)00090-7 doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.05.011 GLOBAL 1693

To appear in: Global and Planetary Change

Received date:9 February 2011Revised date:23 May 2011Accepted date:25 May 2011

FLOBAL and CHANGE

Please cite this article as: Abdalla, M., Kumar, S., Jones, M., Burke, J., Williams, M., Testing DNDC model for simulating soil respiration and assessing the effects of climate change on the  $CO_2$  gas flux from Irish agriculture, *Global and Planetary Change* (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.05.011

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Testing DNDC model for simulating soil respiration and assessing the effects of climate change on the CO<sub>2</sub> gas flux from Irish agriculture

M. Abdalla<sup>1, \*</sup>, S. Kumar<sup>2</sup>, M. Jones<sup>1</sup>, J. Burke<sup>3</sup> and M. Williams<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland <sup>2</sup>Duchy College, Stoke Climsland, University of Plymouth Colleges, Callington, Cornwall, PL 17 8PB, (UK)

<sup>3</sup>Oak Park Crops Research Centre, Teagasc, Co. Carlow, Ireland

Key words: Soil respiration, Spring barley, Soil tillage, Pasture, DNDC

\* Corresponding author: Dr. Mohamed Abdalla

Address: Department of Botany School of Natural Sciences Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

Email address: abdallm@tcd.ie Phone no.: 0035318963740 or Mobile: 00353851496206

# Testing DNDC model for simulating soil respiration and assessing the effects of climate change on the CO<sub>2</sub> gas flux from Irish agriculture

M. Abdalla<sup>1, \*</sup>, S. Kumar<sup>2</sup>, M. Jones<sup>1</sup>, J. Burke<sup>3</sup> and M. Williams<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland <sup>2</sup>Duchy College, Stoke Climsland, University of Plymouth Colleges, Callington, Cornwall, PL 17 8PB, (UK)

<sup>3</sup>Oak Park Crops Research Centre, Teagasc, Co. Carlow, Ireland

Key words: Soil respiration, Spring barley, Soil tillage, Pasture, DNDC

#### Abstract

Simulation models can be valuable to investigate potential effects of climate change on greenhouse gas emissions from terrestrial ecosystems. DNDC (the DeNitrification-DeComposition model) was tested against observed soil respiration data from adjacent pasture and arable fields in the Irish midlands. The arable field was converted from grassland approximately 50 years ago and managed since 2003 under two different tillage systems; conventional and reduced tillage. Both fields were located on the same soil type, classified as a free draining sandy loam soil derived from fluvial glacial gravels with low soil moisture holding capacity. Soil respiration measurements were made from January 2003 to August 2005. Three climate scenarios were investigated, a baseline of measured climatic data from a weather station at the field site, and high and low temperature sensitivity scenarios predicted by the Community Climate Change Consortium for Ireland (C4I) based on the Hadley Centre Global Climate Model (HadCM<sub>3</sub>) and the Intergovernment Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) A1B emission scenario. The aims of this study were to use measured soil respiration rates to validate the DNDC model for estimating  $CO_2$  efflux from these key Irish soils, investigate the effects of future climate change on  $CO_2$  efflux and estimate the efflux uncertainties due to using different future climate projections. The results indicate that the DNDC model can reliably estimate soil respiration from the two fields examined. The model underestimated annual measured  $CO_2$  efflux from the pasture by only13% (model efficiency: ME = 0.6; root mean square error: RMSE =1.9 and mean absolute error: MAE = 6.3) and that from the arable conventional and

reduced tillage by 9% (ME = 0.6; RMSE = 1.6 and MAE = 2.4) and 8% (ME = 0.23; RMSE = 1.8 and MAE = 2.9), respectively. Short-term land use change had no significant effects on CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from soil. Using the high temperature sensitive scenario, future C effluxes would increase by 15% for the pasture and 14 and 16% for the arable conventional and reduced tillage systems, respectively. However, under the low temperature sensitive scenario, lower increases in the C efflux of 6% for the pasture and 5% for the arable field were predicted. The calculated annual CO<sub>2</sub> efflux uncertainties for using the high and low temperature sensitivity scenarios were 9% for the pasture and 8% for the arable field.

#### 1. Introduction

The atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration, since the start of the industrial revolution, has increased by approximately 35% and is predicted to reach 700 ppmv by the end of this century (IPCC, 2001; 2007). In most of European countries, including Ireland, croplands are assumed to lose organic carbon resulting in a net loss of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere (Janssens et al., 2005; Schulze et al., 2010). This loss may be enhanced by climate warming (Kirschbaum, 1995; Andrews et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2000) and the emitted CO<sub>2</sub> will in turn reinforce climate warming. In this context the most critical issue concerning long-term soil carbon decomposition is increasing temperature. Land use can also substantially alter soil organic carbon (SOC) dynamics (Guo and Gifford, 2002) and in general affect exchanges of greenhouse gases (GHGs) between the soil and atmosphere (Dobbie et al., 1996; Smith et al., 2000; Houghton, 2002).

Soil respiration normally refers to the total soil  $CO_2$  efflux at the soil surface and consists of autotrophic root respiration and heterotrophic respiration associated with decomposition of litter roots and soil organic matter (SOM) (Bernhardt et al., 2006). Soils are the largest carbon pool in terrestrial ecosystems, containing more than two thirds of the total carbon and soil respiration contributes an annual flux of  $CO_2$  to the atmosphere 10 times greater than fossil fuel combustion (Schlesinger, 1997; Folger, 2009). Due to the extent this flux, changes in the rate of soil respiration could have large effects on atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration. Previous studies have demonstrated that increased rates of soil respiration result from increases in soil temperature (Winkler et al., 1996; Christensen et al., 1997; Jabro et al., 2008) and

atmospheric  $CO_2$  (Johnson et al., 1994; Vose et al., 1995; Hungate et al., 1997; Ball and Drake, 1998; Deng et al., 2010). At elevated  $CO_2$ , the increase in belowground biomass would increase  $CO_2$  efflux from the soil (Edwards and Norby, 1999; Wang et al., 2007) and may enhance carbon release into the rhizosphere by root exudation (Van Ginkel et al., 2000; Allard et al., 2006). Similarly the increase in aboveground biomass would produce more litter-fall, all these factors contributing to higher soil respiration rates (Zak et al., 2000).

The DeNitrification DeComposition (DNDC) model was developed to simulate N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from agricultural soils (Li et al., 1992, 1994; 2000). The DNDC model was originally developed for USA conditions (Li et al., 1992). It has been used for simulation at a regional scale for the United States (Li et al., 1996), China (Li et al., 2001) and Europe (Dietiker et al., 2010). Advantages of DNDC are that it has been extensively tested and has shown reasonable agreement between measured and modelled results for many different ecosystems such as grassland (Levy et al., 2007; Giltrap et al., 2010), cropland (Cai et al., 2003, Tang et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007) and forest (Lu et al., 2008; Kurbatova et al., 2009). The model has reasonable data requirement and is suitable for simulation at appropriate temporal and spatial scales. The aims of this study were to validate the DNDC model for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from a representative midlands soil in Ireland, assess the effects of future climate change on CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and estimate the efflux uncertainties due to using different future climate projections.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Field experimental site

This study is part of an ongoing research to quantify and estimate soil respiration from Irish agriculture (Davis et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2010). The experimental site was located at the Oak Park Research Centre in Carlow 52°86′ N and 6°54′ W, Ireland. The site has an elevation of 56 m (a.s.l), a mean annual rainfall of 824 mm and a mean annual air temperature of 9.4° C. The adjacent pasture and arable fields are located on the same soil type classified as free draining sandy loam soil derived from fluvial glacial gravels with low soil moisture holding capacity. The arable field was seeded with spring barley at a density of 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and since 2003

has been managed under two different tillage regimes; conventional tillage where inversion ploughing to a depth of approximately 22 cm was carried out in March five weeks prior to planting, and reduced tillage to a depth of approximately15 cm which was carried out in September of the year before planting. Crop straw was cut and left on the ground following harvesting, for the conventional tillage, whilst left standing until ploughed into the soil when carrying the reduced tillage practices in September, for reduced tillage. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) was applied at an average rate of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> divided into two applications in April (106 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and May (54 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>)..

The pasture has been permanent grassland for at least the last 80 years, but was ploughed and reseeded in October 2001 with perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne L., cv Cashel*) at a density of 13.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and white clover (*Trifolium repens L., cv Aran*) at a density of 3.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Silage cutting took place once a year in early May and extensive cattle grazing with a stocking rate of 2 cattle ha<sup>-1</sup> from July to November CAN was applied at a rate of 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> in two applications of 128 and 72 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in April and May, respectively.

#### 2.2 Field measurements of soil respiration

Measurements of soil respiration were carried out from January 2003 to August 2005. Measurements were made using a CIRAS gas exchange system (PP systems, UK) fitted with the SRC-1 soil respiration chamber. The soil chamber is cylindrical (height = 150 mm; diameter = 100 mm). The method of measuring soil respiration is that described by Parkinson (1981). The chamber measurement range was 0-9.99 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. The patchy grass and spring barley crops were pushed a side before placing the chamber on bare ground and pushed in soil. In order to cover most of the year measurements were made every week from eighteen replicate locations. Previous studies of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes using CIRAS gas exchange system have sampled at frequencies ranging from weekly to monthly (Bahn et al., 2008). The soil respiration measurements made between 11:00 am and 13:00 pm which approximately represent daytime averages. Cumulative annual soil respiration for each treatment was estimated by summing the products of weekly mean soil respiration and the number of days between samples (Deng et al., 2010).

#### 2.3 Temperatures and water filled pore space (WFPS)

Soil temperature and volumetric soil moisture measurements were made adjacent to chamber placement at a depth of 0-10 cm using a portable WET sensor (Delta-T devices, UK). Water filled pore space (WFPS in %) was calculated from the equation:

$$WFPS = (SWC \times BD) / (1 - (BD/PD))$$
(1)

where, SWC is the volumetric soil water content  $(g g^{-1})$ , BD is the bulk density  $(mg m^{-3})$ , and PD is the particle density (2.65 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) Linn and Doran, (1984).

Daily minimum and maximum air temperature (° C) and rainfall (mm) were recorded at the adjacent Teagasc Research Centre Weather Station.

#### 2.4 DNDC model

In this study the DNDC model (version 8.9; http://www.dndc.sr.unh.edu/) was applied. DNDC contains four main sub-models (Li et al., 1992; 2000); the soil climate sub-model calculates hourly and daily soil temperature and moisture fluxes in one dimension, the crop growth sub-model simulates crop biomass accumulation and partitioning, the decomposition sub-model calculates decomposition, nitrification, NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization and CO<sub>2</sub> production, whilst the denitrification sub-model tracks the sequential biochemical reduction from nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) to NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> based on soil redox potential and dissolved organic carbon.

Daily measured values of meteorological parameters recorded at the site and land management records were used as input variables to the DNDC model. Details about this input data can be found in Abdalla et al. (2009). Field  $CO_2$  efflux data were used for DNDC models validations by comparing measured and predicted  $CO_2$  efflux. The model accuracies and performance were evaluated by calculating the Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Model Efficiency (ME; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970).

$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_i - O_i|}{n}.$$
(2)  

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - O_i)^2}{n}}.$$
(3)

$$ME = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P - O)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - \bar{O})^2}.$$
(4)

where  $O_i$  are the observed values,  $P_i$  are the simulated values, n are the total number of observations and i the current observation.

MAE assesses the size of prediction errors on an individual level. It does not allow for compensation of positive and negative prediction errors. RMSE measures absolute prediction errors, but in a quadratic sense, and is therefore more sensitive to outliers. ME compares the squared sum of the absolute error with the squared sum of the difference between the observations and their mean value. It compares the ability of the model to reproduce the daily data variability with a much simpler model that is based on the arithmetic mean of the measurements. Negative ME value shows a poor performance, a value of 0 indicates that the model does not perform better than using the mean of the observations, and values close to 1 indicate a 'near-perfect' fit (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970; Huang et al., 2003; Wattenbach et al., 2010).

Annual cumulative  $CO_2$  efflux for model outputs were calculated as the sum of simulated daily fluxes (Cai et al., 2003). The relative deviation (RD) between observed and DNDC out puts was calculated by:

$$RD = (P - O)/O \times 100$$

(5)

#### 2.5 Climate scenarios

The future climate data used in this research were statistically downscaled by the Irish National Meteorological Service Research Group (Met Eireaan) (C4I, 2008) based on the Hadley Centre Global Climate Model (HadCM<sub>3</sub>) and the emission scenario (A1B) published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000; IPCC, 2001). Two different temperature sensitivity scenarios (high and low) were investigated to estimate the uncertainty in future climate (Collins et al., 2006). A regional climate model, known as RCA<sub>3</sub>, was applied to the HadCM<sub>3</sub> data in a process which is known as dynamic downscaling. RCA<sub>3</sub> is based on a model initially developed by the Rossby Centre and further developed by the C4I project at Met Éireann. The resultant model data has a horizontal resolution of 25 km. A full description is given in the C4I (2008) report.

The baseline scenario was a measured daily climate data set (1961-1990) from a nearby weather station at Oak Park Research Centre. The two future climate scenarios (high and low temperature sensitivity) investigated in this study are of daily data and for a period of 30 years (2061-2090). Weather input data are maximum and minimum air temperature and precipitation.  $CO_2$  concentrations of 370 and 700 ppmv were suggested and used in the models for the baseline and future scenarios, respectively (IPCC, 1995).

#### 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using the PRISM (GraphPad, San Diego, USA) and Data Desk (Data Description Inc. New York, USA) software packages. Both 1-way and 2-way analysis of variance were applied to the CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux data.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Model validation and results under baseline climate

Seasonal patterns of CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux from soils for the observed and DNDC modelled outputs from the pasture and the arable conventional and reduced tillage systems were generally in agreement for most of the measured period (Figure 1). For the pasture, DNDC predicted a cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux of 6.9 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> compared with the observed efflux of 11 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>. Here, both the observed and DNDC predicted CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes showed significant decline in soil respiration following silage cut in May and animal grazing from July onwards (Figure 1). The DNDC model underestimated the cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux by 13%. The regressions between observed and modelled effluxes was y = 0.41x + 0.57 ( $r^2 = 0.6$ ; ME = 0.6; RMSE = 1.9 and MAE = 6.3). For the arable field, DNDC predicted cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux of 11.3 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> for both tillage systems, compared with the observed effluxes of 12.4 for conventional and 12.3 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> for reduced tillage. The DNDC model also underestimated the cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux from the arable field by 9% (conventional tillage) and 8% (reduced tillage). The regressions between observed and modelled effluxes were y = 0.52x + 15 ( $r^2 = 0.6$ ; ME = 0.58; RMSE = 1.6 and MAE = 2.37) and y = 0.58x + 12.8 (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.52; ME = 0.23; RMSE = 1.8 and MAE = 2.9) for the conventional and reduced tillage, respectively. No statistically significant differences (p>0.05) between the daily or cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes for the two fields or between modelled and observed effluxes were found.

The DNDC predicted values for soil temperature, from both fields agreed well with observed values (Figure 2). The regressions between observed and modelled effluxes were y = 0.79x + 1.5 ( $r^2 = 0.81$ ), y = 0.71x + 2 ( $r^2 = 0.83$ ) and y = 0.69x + 1.9 ( $r^2 = 0.81$ ) for the pasture and the arable conventional and reduced tillage systems, respectively. Calculated ME, RSME and MAE values of soil temperature for the pasture were 0.79 and 0.31 and 0.95 whilst for the arable field were 0.67 and 0.33 and 1.78 (conventional tillage) and 0.38 and 0.47 and 2.4 (reduced tillage), respectively. Although, the model poorly estimated the measured WFPS values (overestimated) for the pasture ( $r^2 = 0.32$ ; ME = -2; RMSE = 3 and MAE = 15.7) the predicted values for the arable conventional ( $r^2 = 0.35$ ; ME = 0.12; RMSE = 1.6 and MAE = 2.9) and reduced ( $r^2 = 0.53$ ; ME = 0.42; RSME = 1.3 and MAE = 0.73) tillage relatively agreed well with the observed values (Figure 3). Strong negative relationships were observed between soil moisture and soil temperature. High peaks of CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes, from both fields, coincided with the high rainfall events and air temperature as illustrated in Figure 4.

The DNDC model underestimated both the observed annual pasture biomass production by 23% (ME = -0.3; RMSE = 0.15 and MAE = 0.6) (Abdalla et al., 2010) and the observed annual crop biomass of spring barley by 11% for conventional tillage (ME = 0.31; RMSE = 0.77 and MAE = 0.56) and 14% for reduced tillage (ME = 0.23; RMSE = 0.81 and MAE = 0.73). At the baseline climate scenario, DNDC predicted CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux declined following silage cutting whilst for the arable field high CO<sub>2</sub>-C peaks, from both tillage systems, were predicted following ploughing (Figure 5). This post-ploughing efflux peak reached a maximum value of 36 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in February for the conventional tillage and up to 90 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, in September for reduced tillage (Figure 5). However, a smaller peak of 29 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in September was predicted for conventional tillage.

#### 3.2 Model results under climate change

Under both the low and high temperature sensitivity climate scenarios and for both grassland and arable fields, the pattern of  $CO_2$ -C efflux was similar to the baseline climate scenario although, peak heights and cumulative annual effluxes were different (Figure 5 and Table 1). Under climate change, the highest efflux peak under the high sensitivity scenario for pasture was approximately 57 kg  $CO_2$ -C ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>

observed from July to August whilst for the conventional arable field was approximately 55 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> observed in February and 121 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> for reduced tillage observed in September. For the high sensitivity scenario, cumulative effluxes were 12.4, 9.3 and 10 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> whilst for the low sensitivity scenario they were 11.4, 8.6 and 9.3 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> for the grass and arable conventional and reduced tillage, respectively. Future increases in CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes, under the high sensitivity scenario, were +15% for the pasture and +13% for the arable field (Figure 5 and Table 1). However, under the low temperature sensitivity scenario, reduced increases in CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux of +6% (pasture) and +5% for both arable fields were predicted (Table 1). Statistical analysis showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in annual CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes from the pasture and both tillage treatments, compared with the baseline effluxes (Table 1). The uncertainty between the low and high temperature sensitivity scenarios were 9% for the pasture and 8% for the arable field.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 Model validation and results under baseline climate

In this study, annual values of field measured soil respiration from the pasture agree with the range of values reported by Bahn et al. (2008) for a range of European grasslands (0.6 to 19.9 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>) whilst that from the arable lands agree with values measured and modelled from arable soils and range from 4 to 16 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>(Kutsch and Kappen, 1997; Rees et al., 2005). However, measured soil respiration may be overestimated as all measurements took place during the day light. For the pasture, both the observed and DNDC predicted CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes showed a significant decline in soil respiration following silage cut in May and animal grazing from July onwards. This negative effect on soil respiration is likely to result from a reduction in plant photosynthetic capability, plant growth and accumulation of litter, which all decrease carbon supply to soil decomposers (Johnson and Matchett, 2001; Sankaran and Augustine, 2004). Cutting and grazing can also reduce root biomass (Fagerness and Yelverton, 2001), a primary contributor to the soil  $CO_2$  pool in grasslands (Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000), and hence a major factor influencing soil respiration rates. The effect of cutting and grazing would be the dramatic decrease in assimilate delivered to plant roots. Autotrophic soil respiration in late spring and summer months accounted for approximately 50% of measured soil respiration of the grassland and arable soils

(data is not shown). Indeed, the dominance of the autotrophic component is apparent for short term (days) and long term (annual) determinations of soil respiration in grassland soils (Janssens et al., 2001; Reichstein et al., 2003; Hibbard et al., 2005 and Bahn et al., 2008; Ruehr et al., 2009). Although, the contribution of root to soil respiration varies widely among different studies, ranging from approximately 15% to 90% (Norman et al., 1992; Dugas et al., 1999; Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000; Wang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2007). In relation to this, the underestimation of  $CO_2$  efflux in the grassland soil by DNDC illustrated in Table 1 (-13%) may presumably be influenced significantly by an underestimation of predicted above ground biomass of the order of 23% (Abdalla et al., 2010).

For the arable field, no  $CO_2$  efflux peak during the ploughing period, was recorded as chambers had to be removed during this time. However, the baseline DNDC outputs showed a higher  $CO_2$ -C peak from both tillage systems following soil ploughing (Figure 5). Such  $CO_2$  peak following tillage has been reported previously in the literature (Alvaro-Fuentes et al., 2007; Morell et al., 2010). Soil ploughing increases soil disturbance, increases the distribution of crop residues (Grigera et al., 2007; Vinther and Dahlmann-hansen, 2005) increases microclimate (Muller et al., 2009) and therefore,  $CO_2$ -evolution (Franzluebbers et al., 1995; Reicosky and Archer, 2007).

Generally, the reduced tillage system increases soil organic carbon content of the surface layer as the results of different interacting factors like less soil disturbing, high soil moisture, increased residue return, reduced surface temperature, proliferation of root growth and biological activity and less soil erosion (Blevins and Frye, 1993). Reduced tillage has the advantage of sequestering C in the soils (Six et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Chatskikh and Olesen, 2007). Residue management also has an influence on the availability of organic matter, the quantity of micro-organisms and their activity (Doran et al., 1998; Frank et al., 2006). Although the depth and volume of soil disturbed by tillage usually leads to increased  $CO_2$  evolution rates (Franzluebbers et al., 1995; Reicosky and Archer, 2007), no significant difference was found in soil respiration between conventional and reduced tillage in this study. Furthermore, the model didn't predict any difference here when  $CO_2$  emissions under reduced tillage has been compared with conventional tillage (Kessavalou et al., 1998; Jakson et al., 2003; Chatskikh and Olesen, 2007; Sainju et al., 2008). Higher  $CO_2$  emissions have been observed following conventional tillage operations (Al-Kaisi and Yin, 2005;

Omonode et al., 2007; Reicosky and Archer, 2007). In contrast, Franzluebbers et al. (1995) found higher emissions under no-tillage than conventional tillage during overnight measurements using alkali traps. Ball et al. (1999) and Omonode et al. (2007) found no statistical difference in seasonal  $CO_2$  emissions between tillage systems; while different results, varying with year, were found by Mosier et al. (2006) and Fortin et al. (1996). In this study, the short duration reduced tillage applied (3 years) has not yet sequestered  $CO_2$  in soil.

Overall, the DNDC model effectively predicted soil respiration from both the pasture and arable fields although underestimated crop above ground biomass. This is in agreement with other previous studies using DNDC to simulate CO2 efflux from agriculture (e.g. Li et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2006; Levy et al., 2007; Li et al., 2010). However, the tillage options provided by DNDC do not allow the reduced tillage used in our study to be fully described and therefore, the model efficiency for simulating  $CO_2$  under reduced tillage (ME = 0.23) was poor compared with that under the conventional tillage (0.6). Both observed and predicted  $CO_2$ -C efflux values showed that the seasonality of soil respiration coincided with seasonal climate pattern with high respiration rates in the summer and low rates in the winter (Figures 2, 3 and 4). Soil temperature and soil moisture are also, a part from assimilate supply, the two most important factors that control soil respiration (Lloyd and Tylor, 1994; Maester and Cortina, 2003; Saiz and Green, 2006). For instance previous studies found temperature to be major factor explaining annual variations in  $CO_2$  flux (e.g. Buyanovsky et al., 1986; Duiker and Lal, 2000; Rayment and Jarvis, 2000; Tang et al., 2006; Jabro et al., 2008). Peaks of  $CO_2$  effluxes from both the grassland and arable fields coincided with high rainfall events (Figure 3). This is in agreement with previous studies reported by Fierer and Schimel (2003) and Morell et al. (2010). Higher daily observed CO<sub>2</sub> efflux compared with the DNDC output, for both the grass and arable fields, appeared during the crop vegetation period due to DNDC underestimating crop above ground biomass production. Differences in CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes between the pasture and arable fields, are not significant (p>0.05). The reason here may be the huge amounts of  $CO_2$  which might released to the atmosphere from the pasture following the ploughing and reseeding in 2003. The DNDC overestimation of measured WFPS values especially for the grasslands ( $r^2 = 0.32$ ; ME = -2; RMSE = 3 and MAE = 15.7) was mainly due to the model poor prediction of biomass production

(-23%) and therefore, producing a low transpiration. Both WFPS and crop biomass are important parameters affecting  $CO_2$  emissions from soils (Jabro et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2010). Other problems with the hydrological component in DNDC, especially regarding the simulation of water filled pore space in the soil were also reported (Tonitto et al., 2007a, b; Wattenbach et al., 2010). The model complexity for this part has a profound impact on the uncertainties associated with the  $CO_2$  simulations which also increases the chance of poorer model fit to filed measurements (Wattenbach et al., 2010).

#### 3.2 Model results under climate change

Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is expected to increase soil temperature, which may stimulate the flux of carbon dioxide from soils, causing a positive feedback effect (Ise and Moorcroft, 2006). However simulating future  $CO_2$  efflux using different future weather scenarios can give uncertain results. For both the grassland and arable fields, the higher predicted peaks of CO<sub>2</sub> efflux under the high temperature sensitivity scenario were attributed to increasing soil temperature, precipitation compared with the baseline climate scenario. Predicted higher future above ground biomass (Abdalla et al., 2010) will also lead to higher  $CO_2$  from soil. Previous studies indicate that simulation and prediction of soil respiration in response to climate change should consider changes in biotic factors i.e. plant growth and substrate supply and abiotic factors i.e. temperature and moisture (Wang et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2009). As discussed earlier, temperature is one of the main driving factors affecting CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux from soils (e.g. Buyanovsky et al., 1986; Duiker and Lal, 2000; Rayment and Jarvis, 2000; Tang et al., 2006; Jabro et al., 2008). In the case of the pasture and as a result of higher future above ground biomass production (Abdalla et al., 2010) the  $CO_2$  efflux will increase. The increase in above ground biomass would produce more litter-fall and contributing to higher soil respiration (Zak et al., 2000; Deng et al., 2010). Here, both soil organic matter decomposition and microbial response to other perturbations, such as fertilization, temperature and rainfall, can increase (Bramley and White 1990; Antonopoulos1999; Wennman and Katterer 2006). Future higher  $CO_2$  concentration also stimulates soil respiration (Craine et al., 2001; Wan et al., 2007). High  $CO_2$  concentration can increase plant photosynthesis, growth, below ground C input and substrate leading to greater root and microbial activities and respiration (Edward and Norby, 1999; Zak et al., 2000; Anderson et al.,

2001). In addition, higher soil moisture content resulting from reduced stomatal conductance and transpiration of plant under high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will enhance root and microbial activities and respiration (Morgan et al., 2004). However, contradicting findings about the effects of soil moisture are reported in the literature. Jabro et al. (2008) found strong correlation between moisture and soil respiration although many other researchers e.g. Bajracharya et al. (2000), Mielnick and Dugas (2000), Merino et al. (2004) and Ding et al. (2007) have reported weak correlations. In this study, predicted higher rainfall events during winter time (C4I, 2008), due to climate change, will positively influence CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from soils (Laporte et al., 2002). For the arable field, the future post-tillage  $CO_2$ -C efflux peak would increase and represent 11 and 50% of the annual efflux for conventional and reduced tillage, respectively. The faster maturation of crops, under climate change, may give farmers an opportunity to cultivate an additional crop, if other resources are not limited, during the main vegetation period (Dietiker et al., 2010) which will allow more  $CO_2$ uptake. However, if water availability is decreasing due to global warming, this could have an impact on crop productivity and reduced the ecosystem ability to store carbon.

#### **5.** Conclusions

Our results indicate that DNDC model can estimate effectively soil respiration from grass and arable lands as free draining soils typical of midlands of Ireland. The model underestimated annual measured CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from the pasture by only 13% (ME = 0.6; RMSE =1.9 and MAE = 6.3) and from the arable conventional and reduced tillage systems by 9% (ME = 0.58; RMSE = 1.6 and MAE = 2.4) and 8% (ME = 0.23; RMSE = 1.8 and MAE = 2.9), respectively. However, the model underestimated the annual above ground biomass production of the pasture by 23% (ME = -3; RMSE = 0.15 and 0.6) and that of spring barley by 11% (ME = 0.31; RMSE = 0.77 and MAE = 0.56) under conventional tillage and 14% (ME = 0.23; RMSE = 0.81 and MAE = 0.73) under reduced tillage. Predicted soil temperatures for both fields agreed well with the observed temperature values. Calculated RSME values of soil temperature were 0.31 for the pasture, 0.33 for conventional tillage and 0.47 for reduced tillage. Although, the model overestimated measured WFPS values for the pasture, it relatively predicted well the observed WFPS values for the arable conventional and reduced tillage systems. Short-term land use change had no

significant effects on CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from soil. Using the high temperature sensitive scenario, future CO<sub>2</sub>-C effluxes would increase by +15% for the pasture and +13% for the arable field. However, under the low temperature sensitive scenario, increases in the CO<sub>2</sub>-C efflux were +6% for the pasture and +5% for both arable tillage treatments. The calculated annual CO<sub>2</sub> efflux uncertainties for using the high and low temperature sensitive scenarios were 9% for the pasture and 8% for the arable field.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Irish EPA project No: 2001-CD-C1M1 and EU sixth framework program (contract EVK2-CT2001-00105). We are grateful to the Irish National Meteorological Service Research Group (Met Éireann) for providing us with the C4I Climate Projections and good collaboration. We are also grateful to the field staff of Teagasc in Carlow for facilitating our field work.

#### References

- Abdalla, M., Wattenbach, M., Smith, P., Ambus, P., Jones, M., Williams, M., 2009. Application of the DNDC model to predict emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from Irish agriculture. Geoderma 151, 327-337.
- Abdalla, M., Jones, M., Yeluripati, J., Smith, P., Burke, J., Williams, M., 2010.
  Testing DayCent and DNDC model simulations of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and assessing the impacts of climate change on the gas flux and biomass production from a humid pasture. Atmos. Environ. 44, 2961-2970.
- Al-Kaisi, M.M., Yin, X.H., 2005. Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and carbon dioxide emission in corn-soybean rotations. J. Environ. Qual. 34, 437-445.
- Allard, V., Robin, C., Newton, P. C. D., Lieffering, M., Soussana, J. F., 2006. Short and long-term effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on Lolium perenne rhizodeposition and its consequences on soil organic matter turnover and plant N yield. Soil Biol. Biochem. 38, 1178-1187.
- Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Loipez, M.V., J.I., 2007. Soil carbon dioxide fluxes following tillage in semi arid Mediterranean agro-ecosystems. Soil Till. Res. 96, 331-341.
- Anderson, L.J., Maherali, H., Johnson, H.B., Wayne, H., Jackson, R.B., 2001.Gas

exchange and photosynthetic acclimation over subambient to elevated  $CO_2$  in a C3-C4 grassland. Global Change Biol. 7, 693–707.

- Andrews, J.A., Harrison, K.G., Matamala, R., Schlesinger, W.H., 1999. Separation of Root Respiration from Total Soil Respiration Using Carbon-13 Labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 1429-1435.
- Antonopoulos, A.Z., 1999. Comparison of different models to simulate soil temperature and moisture–effects on nitrogen mineralisation in the soil. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 162,667-675.
- Bahn, M., Rodeghiero, M., Anderson-Dunn, M., Dore, S., Gimeno, C., Drösler, M.,
  Williams, M., Ammann, C., Berninger, F., Flechard, C., Jones, S., Balzarolo,
  M., Kumar, S., Newesely, C., Priwitzer, T., Raschi, A., Siegwolf, R.,
  Susiluoto, S., Tenhunen, J., Wohlfahrt, G., Cernusca, A., 2008. Soil
  Respiration in European Grasslands in Relation to Climate and Assimilate
  Supply. Ecosyst. 11, 1352-1367.
- Bajracharya, R.M., Lal, R., Kimble, J.M., 2000. Diurnal and seasonal CO<sub>2</sub>–C flux from soil as related to erosion phases in central Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64, 286-293.
- Ball, A.S., Drake, B.G., 1998. Stimulation of soil respiration by carbon dioxide enrichment of marsh vegetation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30, 1203-1205.
- Ball, B.C., Scott, A., Parker J.P., 1999. Field N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in relation to tillage, compaction and soil quality in Scotland. Soil Till. Res. 53, 29-39.
- Bernhardt, E. S., Barber, J. J., Pippen, J. S., Taneva, L., Andrews, J. A., Schlesinger,
  W. H., 2006. Long-term effects of Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) on soil respiration. Biogeochem. 77, 91-116.
- Blevins, R.L., Frye, W.W., 1993. Conservation tillage: an ecological approach to soil management. Adv. Agron. 51, 33-78.
- Bramley, R.G.V., White, R.E., 1990. The variability of nitrifying activity in field soils. Plant Soil 126, 203-208.
- Buyanovsky, G.A., Wagner, G.H., Gantzer, C.J., 1986. Soil respiration in a winter wheat ecosystem. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 338–344.
- Cai, Z., Swamoto, T., Li, C., Kang, G., Boonjawat, J., Mosier, A., Wassmann,

R., Tsuruta, H., 2003. Field validation of the DNDC-model for greenhouse gas emissions in East Asian cropping systems. Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 17, (4), 1107.

- Chatskikh, D., Olesen, J.E., 2007. Soil tillage enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from loamy sand soil under spring barley. Soil Till. Res. 97, 5-18.
- Christensen, T.R., Michelsen, A., Jonasson, S., Schmidt, I.K., 1997. Carbon dioxide and methane exchange of a subarctic heath in response to climate change related environmental manipulations. Oikos 79, 34-44.
- C4I, 2008. Community Climate Change Consortium For Ireland. Ireland in a Warmer World. Scientific Predictions of the Irish Climate in the Twenty-first century. Final Report. Access at: http://www.c4i.ie/docs/IrelandinaWarmerWorld.pdf
- Collins, W.D., V. Ramaswamy, M.D. Schwarzkopf, Y. Sun, R.W. Portmann, Q.
  Fu,S.E.B. Casanova, J.-L. Dufresne, D.W. Fillmore, P.M.D. Forster, V.Y.
  Galin, L.K. Gohar, W.J. Ingram, D.P. Kratz, M.-P. Lefebvre, J. Li, P. Marquet,
  V. Oinas, Y. Tsushima, T. Uchiyama, Zhong, W.Y., 2006: Radiative forcing
  by well-mixed greenhouse gases: Estimates from climate models in the
  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment
  Report (AR4). J. Geophys. Res.111, D14317.
- Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A., Totterdell, I. J., 2000. Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. Nature 408, 184-187.
- Craine, J.M., Wedin, D.A., Reich, P.B., 2001. The response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux to changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen supply and plant diversity. Global Change Biol., 7, 947–953.
- Davis, P.A., Clifton Brown, J., Saunders, M., Lanigan, G., Wright, E., Fortune, T., Burke, J., Connolly, J., Jones, M.B., Osborne, B., 2010. Assessing the effects of agricultural management practices on carbon fluxes: spatial variation and the need for replicated estimates of net ecosystems exchange. Agric. For. Meteorlo., 150, 564-574.
- Deng, Q., Zhou,G., Liu, J., Liu, S., Duan, H., Zhang, D., 2010. Responses of soil respiration to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in young subtropical forest ecosystems in China. Biogeosci. 7, 315-328.

- Dietiker, D., Buchmann, Eugster, W., 2010. Testing the ability of DNDC model to predict CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapour fluxes of a Swiss crop land site. Agric. Ecosyst Environ., 139, 396-401
- Ding, W., Cai, Y., Cai, Z., Yagi, K., Zheng, X., 2007. Soil Respiration under Maize Crops: Effects of Water, Temperature, and Nitrogen Fertilization. Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J. 71, 944-951.
- Dobbie, K.E., Smith, K.A., Priemé, A., Christensen, S., Degôrska, A. and Orlanski,P., 1996. Effect of land use on the rate of methane uptake by surface soils in Northern Europe. Atmos. Environ. 30, 1005-1011.
- Doran, J.W., Elliot, E.T., Paustian, K., 1998. Soil microbial activity, nitrogen cycling, and long-term changes in organic carbon pools as related to fallow tillage management. Soil Till. Res. 49, 3-18.
- Dugas, W.A., Heuer, M., Mayeux, H.S., 1999. Carbon dioxide fluxes over bermudagrass, native prairie, and sorghum. Agric. For. Meteorol. 93, 121-139
- Duiker, S.W. and Lal, R., 2000. Carbon budget study using CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements from a no till system in central Ohio. Soil Till. Res. 54, 21-30.
- Edwards, N. T. and Norby, R. J., 1999. Below-ground respiratory responses of sugar maple and red maple saplings to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and elevated air temperature. Plant Soil 206, 85-97.
- Fagerness, M.J., Yelverton, F.H., 2001. Plant growth regulator and mowing height

effects on seasonal root growth of penncross creeping bentgrass. Crop Sci. 41, 1901-1905.

- Fierer, N. And Schimel, J.P., 2003. A propose mechanism for the pulse in Carbon dioxide production commonly observed following the rapid rewetting of a dry soil. Soil Sci. Soci. A. J. 67, 798-805.
- Folger, P., 2009. The carbon cycle: Implications for climate change and congress. CRS report for congress. Access at: www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL34059.pdf
- Fortin, M.C., Rochette, P., Pattey, E., 1996. Soil carbon dioxide fluxes from conventional and no-tillage small-grain cropping systems. Soil Sci. Soci. A. J. 60,1541-1547.
- Frank, A.B., Liebig, M.A., Tanaka, D.L., 2006. Management effects on soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in northern semiarid grassland and cropland. Soil Till. Res. 89, 78-85.

- Franzluebbers, A.J., Hons, F.M., Zuberer, D.A., 1995. Tillage and crop effects on seasonal dynamics of soil CO<sub>2</sub> evolution, water content, temperature, and bulk density. Appl. Soil Ecol. 2, 95-109.
- Giltrap, D.L., Li, C., Saggar, S., 2010. DNDC: A process-based model of greenhouse gas fluxes from agricultural soils. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.136, 292-300.
- Grigera, M.S., Drijber, R.A., Wienhold, B.J., 2007. Redistribution of crop residues during row cultivation creates a biologically enhanced environment for soil microorganisms. Soil Till. Res. 94, 550-554.
- Guo, L.B., Gifford, R.M., 2002. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: A meta analysis. Global Change Biol. 8, 345-360
- Hibbard, K.A., Law, B.E., Reichstein, M., Sulzman, J., 2005. An analysis of soil respiration across northern hemisphere temperate ecosystems. Biogeochem. 73, 29-70.
- Houghton, R.A., 2002. Magnitude, distribution and causes of terrestrial carbon sinks and some implication for policy. Clim. Policy 2, 71-88.
- Hungate, B.A., Holland, E.A., Jackson, R.D., Chapin, F.S., Mooney, H.A., Field C.B.,

1997. The fate of carbon in grasslands under carbon dioxide enrichment. Nature 388, 576-579.

- Huang, S.M., Yang, Y.Q., Wang, Y.P., 2003. A critical look at procedures for validating growth and yield models. In: Modelling Forest Systems (*eds* AmaroA., ReedD., SoaresP.), pp. 271-294. CABI Publishing, Guildford.
- IPCC, 1995. Greenhouse gases and aerosols. Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 1-41.
- IPCC, 2001. Climate change 2001, Third Assessment Report of the IPCC, Cambridge University Press, UK.
- IPCC, 2007. Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. Cambridge University Press, UK and New York USA.
- Ise, T., Moorcroft, P.R., 2006. The global-scale temperature and moisture

dependencies of soil organic carbon decomposition: an analysis using a mechanistic decomposition model. Biogeochem. 80, 217–231.

- Jabro, J.D., Sainju, U., Stevens, W.B., Evans, R.G., 2008. Carbon dioxide flux as affected by tillage and irrigation in soil converted from perennial forages to annual crops. J. Environ. Manag. 88, 1478-1484.
- Jakson, L.E., Calderon, F.J., Steenwerth, K.I., Scow, K.M., Rolston, D.E., 2003. Responses of soil microbial processes and community structure to tillage events and implications for soil quality. Geoderma 114, 305-317.
- Janssens, I.A., Lankreijer, H., Matteucci, G., Kowalski, A.S., Buchmann, N., Epron, D., Pilegaard, K., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., Gru"nwald, T., Montagnani, L., Dore, S., Rebmann, C., Moors, E.J., Grelle, A., Rannik, U"., Morgenstern, K., Oltchev, S., Clement, R., Gudmundsson, J., Minerbi, S., Berbigier, P., Iborm, A., Moncrieff, J., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Jensen, N.O., Vesala, T., Granier, A., Schulze, E.D., Lindroth, A., Dolman, A.J., Jarvis, P.G., Ceulemans, R., Valentini, R., 2001. Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests. Glob Chang Biol 7, 269–78.
- Janssens, I. A., Freibauer, A., Schlamadinger, B., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman,
  - A. J., Heimann, M., Nabuurs, G.-J., Smith, P., Valentini, R., Schulze, E.-D., 2005. The carbon budget of terrestrial ecosystems at country-scale-a European case study. Biogeosci. 2, 15-26.
- Johnson, D., Geisinger, D., Walker, R., Newman, J., Vose, J., Elliot, K., Ball, T., 994. Soil CO<sub>2</sub>, soil respiration, and root activity in CO<sub>2</sub>-fumigated and nitrogenfertilized ponderosa pine. Plant Soil 165,129-138.
- Johnson, L.C., Matchett, J.R., 2001. Fire and grazing regulate belowground processes in tallgrass prairie. Ecology 82, 3377-3389.
- Jones, M., Osborne, B., Williams, M., Saunders, M., Lanigan, G., Burke, J., Davis, P., Abdalla, M., Clifton-Brown, J., Connolly, J., Kumar, S., Nagy, M., 2010.
  Climate Change – Accounting for Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Major Irish Land-Use Categories: Towards the Establishment of a Coordinating Centre for FLUX Measurements (CCFLUX). EPA STRIVE program 2007-2013, Final Report (2001-CC/CD-3/7). Access at: www.epa.ie

- Kessavalou, A., Doran, J.W., Mosier.A.R., Drijber, R.A., 1998. Greenhouse gas fluxes following tillage and wetting in a wheat-fallow cropping system. J. Environ. Qual. 27, 1105-1116.
- Kirschbaum, M. U. F., 1995. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. Soil Biol. Biochem. 27, 753-760.
- Kurbatova1, J., Li, C., Tatarinov, F., Varlagin, A., Shalukhina, N., Olchev, A.,2009. Modeling of the carbon dioxide fluxes in European Russia peat bogs.Environ. Res. Lett. 4, 045022 (5pp).
- Kutsch, W.L. and Kappen, L., 1997. Aspects of carbon and nitrogen cycling in soils of the Bornhöved Lake district. II. Modelling the influence of temperature increase on soil respiration and organic carbon content in arable soils under different managements. Biogeochem. 39, 207-224.
- Laporte, M.F., Duchesne, L.C., Wetzel, S., 2002. Effect of rainfall patterns on soil surface CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, soil moisture, soil temperature and plant growth in a grassland ecosystem of northern Ontario, Canada: implications for climate change. BMC Ecology 2, 10.
- Levy, P.E., Mobbs, D.C., Jones, S.K., Milne, R., Campbell C., Sutton, M.A., 2007. Simulation of fluxes of greenhouse gases from European grasslands using the DNDC model. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 121, 186-192.
- Li, C., Frolking, S., Frolking, T.A., 1992. A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events.1. Model structure and sensitivity. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 9759-9776.
- Li, C., Frolking, S., Harris, R., 1994. Modelling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 8, 237-254.
- Li, C., Narayanan, V., Harriss, R., 1996. Model estimate of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agricultural lands in the United States. Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 10, 297-306.
- Li, C., Aber, J., Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahal, K., Papen, H., 2000. A process-oriented model of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO emissions from forest soils: 1. Model development. J. Geophys. Res.105, 4369-4384.

Li, C., Zhuang, Y., Cao, M., Crill, P., Dai, Z., Frolking, S., Moore, B., Salas, W.,

Song, W., Wang, X., 2001. Comparing a process-based agro ecosystem model to the IPCC methodology for developing a national inventory of  $N_2O$  emissions from arable lands in China. Nut. Cycl.Agroecosyst 60, 1-3.

- Li, Y., Chen, D., Zhang, Y., Edis, R., Ding, H., 2005. Comparison of three modeling approaches for simulating denitrification and nitrous oxide emissions from loam-textured arable soils. Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 19, GB3002.
- Li, C., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B., Rose, S., 2006. Assessing Alternatives for Mitigating Net Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Increasing Yields from Rice Production in China over the next twenty years. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1554-1565.
- Li, H., Wang, L.G., Qiu, J.J., 2007. Estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from farmland soils in Hebei Province in Huang-Huai-Hai Plain. J. appl. Ecol.18, 1994-2000.
- Li, H., Qiu, J., Wang, L., Tang, H., Li, C., Van ranst, E., 2010. Modelling impacts of alternative farming management practices on greenhouse gas emissions from a winter wheat-maize rotation system in China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.135, 24-33.
- Linn, D.M. and Doran, J.W., 1984. Effect of water filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide production in tilled and non-tilled soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 48, 1276-1272.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J.A., 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. Funct. Ecol. 8, 315-323.
- Lu, X., Cheng, G., Xiao, F., Huo, C., 2008. Simulating carbon sequestration and GHGs emissions in Abies fabric forest on the Gongga Mountains using a biogeochemical process model Forest-DNDC. J. Mount. Sci. 5, 249-256
- Maestre, F.T. and Cortina, J., 2003. Small-scale spatial variation in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a Mediterranean semiarid steppe. Appl. Soil Ecol. 23,199-209.
- Merino, A., Perez-batallon, P., Macias, F., 2004. Responses of soil organic matter and greenhouse gas fluxes to soil management and land use changes in humid temperate region of southern Europe. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36, 917-925.
- Mielnick, P.C., and Dugas, W.A., 2000. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a tallgrass prairie. Soil

Biol. Biochem. 32, 221-228.

- Moell, F.J., Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Lampurlanes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., 2010. Soil
   CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes following tillage and rainfall events in a semiarid Mediterranean ago-ecosystem: Effects of tillage systems and nitrogen fertilization. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 139, 167-173.
- Morgan, J.A., Pataki, D.E., Ko<sup>°</sup>rner, C., et al., 2004. Water relations in grassland and desert ecosystems exposed to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Oecologia, 140, 11-25.
- Mosier, A.R., Halvorson, A.D., Reule, C.A., Liu, X.J.J., 2006. Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1584-1598.
- Muller, E., Wildhagen, H., Quintern, M., Heß, J., Wichern, F., Joergensen, R.G.,
  2009. CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from a ridge tilled and a mouldboard ploughed Luvisol in the field. Appl. Soil Ecol. 43, 89-94.
- Nakicenovic, N., Swart, R., 2000. Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (eds).A
  Special Report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on
  Climate Change. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York.
  570 p.
- Nash, J.E. and Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models– Part 1: A discussion of principles. J. Hydrol.10, 282-290.
- Norman, J.M., Garica, R., Verma, S.B., 1992. Soil surface CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and the carbon budget of a grassland. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 18845-18853.
- Omonode, R.A., Vyn, T.J., Smith, D.R., Hegymegi, P., Gal, A., 2007. Soil carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from long-term tillage systems in continuous corn and corn-soybean rotations. Soil Till. Res. 95,182-195.
- Parkinson, K.J., 1981. An improved method for measuring soil respiration in the field, J. Appl. Ecol.18, 221-228.
- Raich, J.W., Tufekcioglu, A., 2000. Vegetation and soil respiration: Correlations and controls. Biogeochem. 48, 71-90.
- Rayment, M.B. and Jarvis, P.G., 2000. Temporal and spatial variation of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a Canadian boreal forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 35-45.
- Rees, R.M., Bingham, I.J., Baddeley, J.A., Watson, C.A., 2005. The role of plants and land management in sequestering soil carbon in temperate arable and grassland ecosystems. Geoderma 128, 130-154

- Reichstein, M., Rey, A., Freibauer, A., Tenhungen, J., Valentini, R., Banza, J., Casals,
  P., Cheng, Y., Gru nzweig, J.M., Irvine, J., Joffre, R., Law, B.E., Loustau, D.,
  Miglietta, F., Oechel W, Ourcival JM, Pereira JS, Peressotti A, Ponti F, Qi Y,
  Rambal S, Rayment M, Romanya, J., Rossi, F., Tedeschi, V., Tirone, G., Xu,
  M., Yakir, D., 2003. Modeling temporal and large-scale spatial variability of
  soil respiration from soil water availability, temperature and vegetation
  productivity indices. Global Biogeochem Cycl., 17, 1104.
- Reicosky, D.C., Archer, D.W., 2007. Moldboard plow tillage depth and short-term carbon dioxide release. Soil Till. Res. 94, 109-121.
- Ruehr, N.K., Offermann, C.A., Gessler, A., Winkler, J.B., Ferrio, J.P., Buchmann, N., Barnard, R.L., 2009. Drought effects on allocation of recent carbon: from beech leaves to soil CO2 efflux. New Phytolog. 184, 950-961.
- Sainju, U.M., J.D. Jabro, Stevens, W.B., 2008. Soil carbon dioxide emission and carbon content as aff ected by irrigation, tillage, cropping system, and nitrogen fertilization. J. Environ. Qual. 37, 98-106.
- Saiz, G. and Green, C., 2006. Seasonal and spatial variability of soil respiration in four Sitka spruce stands. Plant Soil 287,161-176.
- Sankaran, M., Augustine, D.J., 2004. Large herbivores suppress decomposer

abundance in a semiarid grazing ecosystem. Ecology 85, 1052-1061.

Schlesinger, W.H., 1997. Biogeochemistry: An analysis of global change, 2<sup>nd</sup> (ed).

San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Schulze, E.D., Ciais, P.D., Luyssaert, S., Schrumpf, M., Janssens, I.A.,
Thiruchittampalam, B., Theloke, J., Saurat, M., Bringezu, S., Lelieveld,
J., Lohila, A., Rebmann, C., Jung, M., Bastviken, D., Abril, G., Grassi,
G., Liep, A., Freibauer, A., Kutsch, W., Don, A., Nieschulze, J., Borner,
A., Gash, J.H., Dolman, A.J., 2010. The European carbon balance. Part 4:
integration of carbon and other trace-gas fluxes. Global Change Biol. 16,
1451-1469.

Six, J., Ogle, S.M., breidt, F.J., Conant, R.T., Mosier, A.R., Paustian, K., 2004. The

potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practiced in the long term. Global Change Biol. 10, 155-160.

Smith, S.D., Huxman, T.E., Zitzer, S.E., Charlet, T.N., Housman, D.C., Coleman, .S.,

Fenstermaker, L.K., Seeman, J.R. and Nowak, R.S., 2000. Elevated  $CO_2$  increases productivity and invasive species success in an arid ecosystem. Nature 408, 79-82.

- Tang, X.L., Zhou, G.Y., Liu, S.G., Zhang, D.Q., Liu, S.Z., Li, J., Zhou, C.Y., 2006. Dependence of soil respiration on soil temperature and soil moisture in successional forest in southern China. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 48, 654-663.
- Tonitto, C., David, M.B., Drinkwater, L.E., Li, C., 2007a. Application of the DNDC model to tile-drained Illinois agroecosystems: model calibration, validation, and uncertainty analysis. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 78, 51-63.
- Tonitto, C., David, M.B., Li, C., Drinkwater, L.E., 2007b. Application of the DNDC model to tile-drained Illinois agroecosystems: model comparison of conventional and diversified rotations. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst 78, 65-81.
- Van Ginkel, J. H., Gorissen, A., and Polci, D., 2000. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration: effects of increased carbon input in a Lolium perenne soil on micro-organisms and decomposition. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 449-456.
- Vinther, F.P., Dahlmann-Hansen, L., 2005. Effects of ridging on crop performance and symbiotic N2 fixation of fababean (Vicia faba L.). Soil Use Manag. 21, 205-211.

Vose, J.M., Elliott, K.J., Johnson, D.W., Walker, R.F., Johnson, M.G., Tingey, D.T.,

1995. Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and N fertilization on soil respiration from ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) in open top chambers. Can. J. For. Res. 25, 1243-1251.

- Wan, S., Norby, R.J., Ledford, J., Weltzin, J.F., 2007. Responses of soil respiration to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, air warming, and changing soil water availability in a model old-field grassland. Global Change Biol.13, 2411-2424.
- Wang, W., Ohse, K., Liu, J.J., Mo, W.H., Oikawa, T., 2005. Contribution of root

respiration to soil respiration in a  $C_3/C_4$  mixed grassland. J. Biosci. 30, 507-514.

- Wang, W., Guo, J., Oikawa, A. T., 2007. Contribution of root to soil respiration and carbon balance in disturbed and undisturbed grassland communities, northeast China. J. Biosci. 32, 375-384.
- Wattenbach, M., Sus, O., Vuichard, N., Lehuger, S., Gottschalk, P., Li, L., Leip, A.,
  Williams, M., Tomelleri, E., Kutsch, W., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., Dietiker,
  D., Aubinet, M., Ceschia, E., Béziat, P., Grünwald, T., Hastings, A., Osborne,
  B., Ciais, P., Cellier, P., Smith, P., 2010. The carbon balance of European
  croplands: A cross-site comparison of simulation models. Agric. Ecosyst.
  Environ. 139, 419-453.
- Wennman P, Katterer T (2006) Effects of moisture and temperature on carbon and nitrogen mineralisation in mine tailing mixed with sewage sludge. J. Environ. Qual. 35,1135-1141.
- Winkler, J.P., Cherry, R.S., Schlesinger, W.H., 1996. The Q<sub>10</sub> relationship of microbial respiration in a temperate forest soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 28, 1067-1072.
- Xia, J., Han, Y., Zhang, Z., Wan, S., 2009. Non-additive effect of day and night warming on soil respiration in a temperate steppe. Biogeosci. Discuss. 6, 4385-4411.
- Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S., King, J. S., Holmes, W. E., 2000. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, fine roots and the response of soil microorganisms: a review and hypothesis. New Phytol.147, 201-222.

#### Table:

Table 1: DNDC modelled  $CO_2$  efflux at baseline and low and high temperature sensitivity scenarios from the pasture and arable conventional and reduced tillage and predicted future percentage change. Differences between different climate scenarios are not significantly different (p>0.05)

Cropping system	baseline (t CO <sub>2</sub> -	low scenario (t	High scenario (t	% change	
	$C ha^{-1}y^{-1}$ )	$CO_2$ -C ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	$CO_2$ -C ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	low	high
Pasture	10.8	11.4	12.4	6	15
Arable conventional	8.2	8.6	9.3	5	14
Arable reduced	8.9	9.3	10	5	16

#### **Figure captions**

Figure 1: Comparisons of DNDC model-simulated (lines) and field measured (•) CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from the pasture (a;  $r^2 = 0.60$ ; ME = 0.6; RSME = 1.9 and MAE = 6.3) and the arable conventional (b;  $r^2 = 0.60$ ; ME = 0.58; RSME = 1.6; MAE = 2.37) and reduced (c;  $r^2 = 0.52$ ; ME = 0.23; RSME = 1.8 and MAE = 2.9) tillage. (Error bars for measured values are ± standard error). Long solid arrows show the times of silage cutting, short sick arrows show times of ploughing and dotted arrows show times of fertilizer application.

Figure 2: Comparison between the DNDC simulated (lines) and field measured soil (•) temperature (0-10 cm depth) from the pasture (a;  $r^2 = 0.81$ ; ME = 0.79; RMSE = 0.31 and MAE = 0.95) and arable conventional (b;  $r^2 = 0.83$ ; ME = 0.67; RSME = 0.33 and MAE = 1.78) and reduced tillage (c;  $r^2 = 0.81$ ; ME = 0.38; RSME = 0.47 and MAE = 2.4). (Error bars for measured values are ± standard error).

Figure 3: Comparisons between the DNDC simulated (lines) and field measured (•) WFPS from the pasture (a;  $r^2 = 0.32$ ; ME = -2; RMSE = 3 and 15.7) and arable conventional (b;  $r^2 = 0.35$ ; ME = 0.12; RMSE = 1.6 and 2.9) and reduced (c;  $r^2 = 0.53$ ; ME = 0.42; RSME = 1.3 and 0.73).

Figure 4: Precipitation (a) and maximum air temperature (b) during the experimental period (2003-2005).

Figure 5: Effects of climate change on soil respiration from the grass (a) and arable conventional (b) and reduced tillage (c) for the high (light lines) and low (dotted lines) temperature sensitive climate data compared with measured baseline climate (thick lines).

ι<sub>ε</sub> . with n. Room -5





Figure 1: Comparisons of DNDC model-simulated (lines) and field measured ( $\bullet$ ) CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from the pasture (a) and arable conventional (b) and reduced tillage (c).



Figure 2: Comparison between the DNDC simulated (lines) and field measured soil ( $\bullet$ ) temperature (0-10 cm depth) from the pasture (a) and arable conventional (b) and reduced tillage (c).



Figure 3: Comparisons between the DNDC simulated (lines) and field measured  $(\bullet)$  WFPS from the pasture (a) and arable conventional (b) and reduced tillage (c).



Figure 4: Precipitation (a) and maximum air temperature (b) during the experimental period (2003-2005).



Figure 5: Effects of climate change on soil respiration from the pasture (a) and arable conventional (b) and reduced tillage (c).

#### **Research Highlight**

1. Our results clearly indicate that DNDC model can estimate, effectively,  $CO_2$  effluxes from this free draining soil.

2. Short-term land use change had no significant effects on CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from soils.

3. Future climate change would increase  $CO_2$  effluxes from this soil by 14 - 16%.

4. The uncertainty in future CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes, due to using different climate scenarios, is 8

- 9%.

A CER ANNA