Structural brain changes following peripheral vestibulo-cochlear lesion may indicate multisensory compensation
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Do central mechanisms account for the variability of clinical recovery following peripheral vestibulo-cochlear lesions?

**Objective:** To investigate structural (morphological) plasticity in the human brain following unilateral vestibulo-cochlear lesions which might contribute to central vestibular compensation.

**Methods:** We compared regional grey matter volume (GMV) changes in patients after surgical removal of unilateral acoustic neuroma with age-matched control subjects. We hypothesized morphometric changes in the vestibular and auditory cortices which may be related to functional disability scores. Patients were examined with a battery of neuro-otological tests and clinical scores to assess vestibular and auditory disability.

**Results:** Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) was used for categorical comparison between patients and age- and gender-matched controls. GMV increase was found bilaterally in primary somatosensory cortices and motion sensitive areas in the medial temporal gyrus (MT). Simple regression analysis revealed GMV increase (i) in the contralesional superior temporal gyrus / posterior insula to be correlated with decreasing clinically assessed vestibular deficits; (ii) in the contralesional inferior parietal lobe with decreasing functional impairment of daily living activities; and (iii) in the contralesional auditory cortex (Heschl gyrus) with decreasing hearing impairment.

**Conclusions:** These data may suggest structural cortical plasticity in multisensory vestibular cortex areas of patients with unilateral peripheral vestibulo-cochlear lesion after surgical removal of acoustic neuroma. As changes of GMV were related to vestibular function structural brain changes may reflect central mechanisms of vestibular compensation.
INTRODUCTION

Central processing of vestibular function is crucially determined by bilateral peripheral input. Vestibular tone imbalance reflects a pathological asymmetry of bilateral vestibular activity in the brain. In the acute stage vestibular tone imbalance causes severe direction-specific oculomotor (spontaneous nystagmus), perceptual (vertigo) and postural deficits (ipsilesional falls). It remains a matter of debate why patients with the same persistent peripheral vestibular deficit show quite different vestibular recovery. The peripheral vestibular deficit alone does not seem to determine functional recovery.\(^1\) Despite only partial or incomplete restoration of neural activity in the peripheral vestibular afferents many patients with vestibular neuritis or unilateral surgical vestibular lesions recover probably due to mechanisms of central compensation.\(^1\), \(^2\) Vestibular rehabilitation may not only involve restoration of peripheral vestibular function but also compensation by central recalibration\(^3\), \(^4\), or substitution, i.e. by the somatosensory\(^5\) or visual system.\(^6\)

While there is some physiological, clinical\(^1\), \(^3\) and functional imaging\(^7\) evidence for vestibular compensation following unilateral peripheral vestibular lesions\(^2\), little is known about structural cerebral changes that might also account for the great variability in vestibular recovery. First evidence for structural brain changes following chronic vestibular deafferentation was found in patients with bilateral vestibulopathy.\(^8\) Based on a very specific \textit{a priori} hypothesis of spatial memory and navigation deficits in patients with peripheral vestibular failure, patients with bilateral\(^8\) but not unilateral\(^9\) vestibulopathy showed hippocampal atrophy. In a recent study, we could identify grey matter changes in multisensory vestibular cortex areas of patients with vestibular neuritis which correlated with the clinical vestibular function and vertigo-related disability.\(^10\)

Therefore the aim of this study in patients with a unilateral, irreversible peripheral vestibulo-cochlear lesion was to identify structural brain changes which may be related to auditory deficits and / or functional vestibular impairment and disability. We examined patients after unilateral vestibular lesion due to acoustic neuroma (AN) and hypothesized that putative structural brain changes may be related to functional disability possibly indicating vestibular and auditory compensation. We focussed our analysis on cortical structures involved in vestibular and auditory processing: Heschl gyrus, the superior temporal gyrus (STG), the inferior parietal lobule (IPL), posterior insula, anterior cingulate cortex, primary (S1) and secondary somatosensory cortex.
(S2), middle temporal area (MT/V5) and hippocampus, and cerebellum, most of which belong to a multisensory vestibular cortical network in man. They correspond closely to tempo-insular and tempo-parietal multisensory areas in the monkey responding to vestibular, visual and somatosensory stimuli. These areas have been identified in previous activation studies using PET or fMRI following galvanic, caloric or click-evoked vestibular stimulation in healthy subjects as well as in patients with peripheral vestibular lesions.

**METHODS**

We compared structural magnetic resonance images (T1-weighted three-dimensional gradient echos acquired with a fast low angle shot sequence [FLASH]) of patients following unilateral acoustic neuroma (AN) removal with individually age- and gender-matched healthy control subjects. To relate relative GMV changes of VBM to the clinical disability we used scores of objective and subjective vestibular impairment (see below).

**Subjects**

We studied 15 right-handed patients (4 male, 11 female) who suffered from a unilateral (left n=10; right n=5) acoustic neuroma (AN). Apart from AN, patients had no history of neurological or neuro-otological disease. All of them had a long-standing history (6.25 +/- 3.9 years) of hearing impairment, tinnitus or dizziness (Table 1). Tumors were surgically removed in all of them leaving most of the patients with severe unilateral peripheral vestibular lesions postoperatively. Patients were examined on average 37 ± 26 months (range: 3-81 months) after the operation using a battery of clinical neurological and neuro-otological tests, electrophysiological investigations, clinical disability scores and VBM (T1 GE 3D FLASH). Most patients had pronounced hypacusis or anacusis on the operated side following surgery (Table 1). We did not include patients with absent peak I wave in the auditory evoked potentials. Patients showed a variable degree of facial palsy on the operated side (Table 1). Functional parameters (i.e. caloric paresis, vestibular disability score) were correlated with changes in grey matter volume. Fifteen age- and gender-matched healthy subjects (4 male, 11 female, all right-handed) served as pair wise controls (individual matching between patient and control with ± 2 years maximal difference). Healthy control subjects were recorded in the same interval and with the same MRI
protocol as the patients. They had no history of neurological or vestibulo-cochlear disease and were normal on neuro-otological clinical examination. The age range of both groups was 35 – 67 years (mean age of AN patients: 56.6 ± 8.9 years; healthy control subjects 55.7 ± 9.1 years). Except for the antivertiginous medication (e.g. dimenhydrinate) in the first four days after surgery, patients did not receive any additional medication. In the hospital, patients had physiotherapy (modified version of Tjernstrom\textsuperscript{21}) but they did not have standardized professional physiotherapy after their discharge from the hospital. All subjects gave written informed consent for participation in the study which was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics committee of the University of Luebeck.

**Clinical examinations**

All subjects underwent a detailed neurological and neuro-otological examination by two independent, clinically experienced specialists of the University’s vertigo unit. Except for partial ipsilesional facial palsy none of the patients had neurological signs beyond those resulting from the AN (hypacusis, signs of peripheral vestibulopathy), particularly there were no clinical signs of brainstem disease.

Neuro-otological signs of vestibular imbalance were assessed in a standardized fashion using a clinical vestibular score (CVS)\textsuperscript{10}. This score is based on clinical examination covering (1) spontaneous nystagmus, (2) head-shaking nystagmus, (3) gaze-evoked nystagmus, (4) positional nystagmus, (5) head impulse test\textsuperscript{22}, (6) ataxia of stance, (7) lateropulsion (8) lateropulsion during gait without horizontal head movements and (9) ataxia of gait with horizontal head movements, (10) tandem gait ataxia, (11) ataxia on body rotation (180 deg), and (12) the Fukuda stepping test. Each subtest was scored with “0” for absent, “1” for moderate and “2” for severe manifestation of the clinical sign. Accordingly, CVS could range from zero (normal) to 24 (maximum). Values above 3 were taken as pathological (range in healthy control subjects: 0-3).

Self-assessment of vestibular disability was performed using the Cohen and Kimball score (using 28 items consisting of instrumental, ambulation and functional tasks which were evaluated on a 0-10 scale with 0=normal).\textsuperscript{23}
**Recordings of vestibular and hearing function**

In addition to the head impulse test (Halmagyi-Curthoys test)\textsuperscript{22} peripheral vestibular excitability was tested by bithermal caloric irrigation and horizontal (yaw) rotation in the dark using DC electro-oculography. As a measure of unilateral vestibular loss, the mean peak slow-phase velocity during caloric irrigation with water at 30°C and 44°C was measured. Caloric hyporesponsiveness was calculated with the Jongkees’s vestibular paresis formula.\textsuperscript{24} Only patients with at least a 25 % canal paresis were included. Combined semicircular canal and otolithic function in the frontal (roll) plane was assessed by the subjective visual vertical (SVV) as described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{25} Hearing function was assessed by pure tone audiogram and auditory evoked potentials.\textsuperscript{25} Hearing impairment at the time of VBM recordings was classified according to the hearing score by Gardner and Robertson\textsuperscript{26}, with “5” indicating deafness, “4” referring to >90 dB threshold in pure tone audiometry, “3” covering 51-90 dB, “2” 31-50 dB, and “1” with 0-30 dB reflecting normal hearing.

**MRI scanning and preprocessing**

All high-resolution anatomical 3D images were acquired, preprocessed and analysed as described elsewhere\textsuperscript{10}. T1-weighted three-dimensional gradient echos acquired with a fast low angle shot sequence [FLASH], TR = 15 ms, TE = 5 ms, flip angle 30°, acquisition matrix 256 x 256, isotropic voxel = 1 mm\textsuperscript{3}) were acquired on a 1.5 T scanner (Siemens Symphony, Erlangen, Germany) with a standard head coil to reduce possible inhomogeneities and geometric variations due to magnetic field and gradient differences. Images were then analyzed using the optimized VBM protocol\textsuperscript{27} with the VBM toolbox for SPM2 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm, Welcome Dept. of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK), a fully automated technique for computational analysis of relative differences in local grey matter volume. Preprocessing of data involved coregistration, customized study template creation, spatial normalization, segmentation and spatial smoothing.\textsuperscript{28} First, anatomical images of patients with acoustic neurinoma (AN) on the right side were mirrored on the left side to guarantee a homogenous group. Accordingly, images of corresponding (age- / gender-matched) control subjects for these patients were also mirrored. All data are calculated for left-sided AN, with left-sided GMV changes being ipsilesional due to surgically acquired deficits of vestibular and cochlear function. Images were coregistered to the standard MNI template (Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, Canada) of SPM2. All
anatomical images were then used to create a customized grey matter anatomical study template to determine the anatomy and reduce scanner- and population-specific bias. This template was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm full-width-a-half-maximum (FWHM). Spatial normalization of all images to this customized study template was performed using a nonlinear spatial transformation to remove differences in overall size, position, global space and to transfer images to the MNI space, which approximates the Talairach space. This normalization improved the overlap of corresponding anatomical structures. Finally, using a modified mixture model cluster analysis, normalized images were corrected for non-uniformities in signal intensity and partitioned in grey (GM), white matter, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and background. To remove unconnected non-brain voxels, we applied a series of morphological erosions and dilatations to the segmented images. No additional modulations were applied. The resulting grey images were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 12 mm FWHM. To account for age-related GMV changes, age of all subjects was introduced as a covariate of interest in the analysis.

**Statistical analysis and correlation**

Data of grey matter was analyzed using voxel-by-voxel multiple regression model (AnCova, VBM with SPM2) in a cross-sectional data analysis revealing differences in relative local GMV changes across the whole brain between AN patients and controls. To account for age-related GMV changes, age of all subjects was introduced as a covariate of interest in the analysis. We excluded all voxels of GMV of < 0.2 (of a maximum value of 1) to obtain fairly homogenous voxels. Because of our strong *a priori* hypothesis we focused our analysis of regional relative grey matter volume (GMV) changes in the regions of interest (ROI): thalamus, hippocampus, primary (S1) and secondary somatosensory cortex (S2), cerebellum, auditory cortex (Heschl gyrus), posterior insula, inferior parietal lobe (IPL), superior temporal gyrus, visual cortex, middle temporal area (MT/V5) most of which belong to a multisensory vestibular cortical network in man. They correspond closely to temporo-insular and temporo-parietal multisensory areas in the monkey responding to vestibular, visual and somatosensory stimuli. They have been identified in previous activation studies using PET or fMRI following galvanic, caloric or click-evoked vestibular stimulation in healthy human subjects as well as in patients with peripheral and central vestibular lesions. Regions of interest (ROI) were created by using the ROI-tool of the WFU-Pick-Atlas in SPM. Regions were defined by appropriate
spheres (r between 15 and 30 mm) centered in the middle of the corresponding brain region. Twenty-three ROIs were used including 21.6 % (47585 / 221379 voxel) of the total brain volume. We generated a mask including those regions to identify relative GMV alterations between AN patients and controls according to our hypothesis. This mask was applied to the multiple regression model (AnCova) in a second step for a priori ROI based statistical analysis for significant relative GMV differences between AN patients and controls. Absolute GMV changes were not calculated. Statistics inside this ROI analysis were considered significant with p < 0.005 (uncorrected) and spatial extent > 200 voxel (ROI-analysis). Reported changes in grey matter volume did not survive a more conservative statistical threshold using corrections for multiple comparisons (FWE or FDR correction) best explained by the small study population (n = 15). High voxel threshold was determined to reduce false positive minor clusters\(^{31}\). In a third step we performed correlations (simple regression, SPM2) among the grey matter volume data (ROI) of the AN patients with their scores of vestibular and cochlear function (Table 2). Values of scores were mean corrected before entering the correlation analyses. These correlations were analyzed to elucidate the functional significance of the GMV changes. Correlations are considered significant for p < 0.005 unless otherwise stated. Thus, due to the limited population size, GMV data were used uncorrected for multiple comparisons, but their significance is underlined by the correlations. The Wake Forest University (WFU)-Pick Atlas\(^{32}\) was used to determine anatomical structures of GMV changes.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Clinical data of patients following surgical removal of AN are listed in Table 1. All patients had clinical signs of unilateral peripheral vestibular deficit, i.e. all patients showed a pathological head impulse test to the side of the operation. All patients showed severe caloric hyporesponsiveness [91.2 ± 16.27 %; 100 % = no caloric response] and severe hearing impairment (on average 4 ± 1.5 using the tonaudiometry of the Gardner-Score) on the lesion side (operation). Contralesional auditory and vestibular function was normal (Halmagyi-Curtoys test, caloric irrigation). Auditory evoked potentials yielded a normal peak I (amplitude and latency) and delayed or absent peaks II-V on the side of neurectomy while the contralesional side was normal. Subjective visual vertical (SVV) was on average within normal limits
(< 2°)²⁵. The clinical vestibular impairment score (CVS) was on average 8.0 ± 4.9 (0=normal, 24=complete vestibular deficit). Vestibular disability²³ was scored on average 3.0 +/- 1.5. Clinical scores of vestibular deficits and disability (CVS and Cohen Score) did not correlate with caloric hyporesponsiveness. CVS was neither correlated with the duration of symptoms nor the interval (months) since neurectomy.

**Imaging data**

The categorical comparisons revealed relative GMV-changes in brain regions of patients as compared to age-matched control subjects (Table 2). Apart from the inferior parietal and inferior and middle temporal lobe, there were bilateral GMV increases in bilateral primary somatosensory cortex (S1) and visual motion processing areas, i.e. middle temporal area (MT / V5) (Fig.1) and the cerebellar vermis (lobule VII). Relative decreases were found in frontal, temporal lobe and in the cerebellum (Table 2). There were no significant changes in hippocampus but the absence of a statistically significant effect in a particular region does not prove that the region is unaffected³³. No age-related effects were found (last two bars on the right in Fig.1 indicate age as a covariate of interest).

Clinical correlations with the clinical assessment (CVS, hearing impairment) or the vestibular disability score were calculated using linear regression analyses. Significant correlations with clinical scores were only found for relative GMV increases but not for decreases. Details of the morphometric analysis are given in Table 2.

There was a contralesional GMV increase in the superior temporal gyrus (STG) and adjacent posterior insula which correlated negatively with CVS (Fig. 2A): patients with only subtle signs of vestibular impairment showed the largest relative increase in GMV. Conversely, relative GMV increase was smallest or absent with the largest scores of vestibular impairment resulting from the peripheral vestibular lesions.

Functional disability²³ was negatively correlated with relative GMV increase in the inferior parietal lobe (IPL) (Fig. 2B), i.e., GMV increase became smaller with increasing functional disability or, conversely, relative GMV increase was strongest with the least disability.

Relative GMV increases correlating with hearing impairment (Gardner Score) were found in the contralesional auditory cortex (Heschl gyrus) and adjacent posterior insula (Fig. 2C). Relative GMV increase was largest with the least hearing impairment and there was no or little GMV increase with hearing loss. This increase
was found on the contralesional side but there was a trend towards bilateral increase which just failed significance on the ipsilesional side. Correlations between relative GMV changes and the SVV were not performed as SVV was within normal limits. With respect to the major effects of relative GMV increases there were no correlations of GMV changes in MT/V5 or somatosensory cortex with clinical or subjective parameters. There were no correlations of GMV changes with the Brackmann Score of facial palsy, the size of the resected tumor or the duration lapsed since the operation.

DISCUSSION
Unilateral vestibulo-cochlear lesion elicited an increase of GMV in visual motion-sensitive areas (MT/V5) and in the primary somatosensory cortex as well as additional increases of GMV in regions of the multisensory vestibular cortex areas which correlated with clinical and electrophysiological parameters of vestibulo-cochlear impairment. This provides some first evidence that peripheral vestibular lesions elicit structural brain changes which might be related to substitution and compensation.

Acute vs. chronic vestibular lesions
Unilateral peripheral vestibular lesions elicit a vestibular tone imbalance not only at the level of the vestibular nuclei but also at the level of the thalamus and the “primary” (e.g. posterior insula) and “secondary” (e.g. STG, IPL) vestibular cortex. In the acute stage this tone imbalance can be detected at cortical levels: using positron emission tomography (PET), patients with vestibular neuritis (VN) showed increased regional cerebral glucose metabolism (rCGM) in the contralesional multisensory vestibular cortex. This activation resembles vestibular stimulation in healthy subjects which is stronger in the hemisphere ipsilateral to vestibular stimulation. This reflects an inherent physiological dominance of ipsilateral vestibular projections in bilateral cortical representation. Accordingly, ipsilateral vestibular projection is deficient in acute vestibular neuritis giving rise to a vestibular tone imbalance with contralesional rCGM increase due to persisting contralesional vestibular resting discharge.
Clinical signs of vestibular imbalance improve gradually within the first two months probably due to central compensation\(^2\) and, accordingly, rCGM normalizes after 3 months in vestibular neuritis.\(^7\) At this time, 3 months after vestibular neuritis, morphological changes of GMV can be identified in the multisensory vestibular cortex of VN patients.\(^10\) The contralesional increase in GMV in retroinsular and posterior insula cortex and STG of VN patients might be the consequence of continuous contralesional increase of rCGM during the acute phase of vestibular neuritis.\(^7\) The asymmetry of neural activity is probably a driving force for vestibular compensation. Notably, this may be functionally significant as VN patients with large GMV increases showed least vestibular impairment. As peripheral regeneration (caloric responsiveness) is a rather poor indicator for vestibular compensation\(^35\) GMV changes may be more valuable signs and possibly even contribute to functional recovery.

Here, we demonstrate that AN patients with unilateral peripheral vestibular lesion showed two changes contributing to the morphological substrate of a vestibular imbalance at cortical levels. First, GMV decreases were found in parts of the ipsilesional “secondary” vestibular cortex, e.g. STG and fusiform gyrus. This is also in accord with a deficient dominance of ipsilateral vestibular projections to the cortex.\(^15\) Second, there was a contralesional increase of GMV in the posterior insula. This is in accord with the failure of the ipsilateral dominance of vestibular projections\(^15\) using PET\(^7\) and VBM recordings\(^10\): the contralesional increase in rCGM is associated with an increase in GMV which may contribute to vestibular compensation as the increase was larger the better patients recovered from vestibular tone imbalance. As the vestibular system has a dominant representation in the non-dominant hemisphere\(^15\) one might suspect this to be the reason for the right-hemispheric GMV changes being correlated with clinical impairment (scores) in our patients. However, as GMV changes became stronger the less patients were clinically affected, we suspect compensation rather than hemispheric dominance as the responsible mechanism.

Unlike VN patients, our AN patients had substantial reduction of peripheral vestibular function which may be related to the surgical tumor resection or the preceding tumor growth. This probably accounts for the lack of GMV changes being correlated with caloric hyporesponsiveness. Additional evidence for vestibular compensation comes from structural changes in the inferior parietal lobe (IPL, BA40) which contains vestibular related neural activity\(^17,\)\(^36\) and showed bilateral, predominantly
contralesional increase in GMV; the larger the increase the less patients were functionally disabled.

**Auditory lesions**

Ascending projections of the auditory system to the cortex are generally thought to be bilateral. Using fMRI\(^{17, 37}\), unilateral acoustic stimulation elicited bilateral but preferentially contralateral\(^{17}\) activation of the primary auditory cortex, i.e., the transverse temporal gyrus of Heschl in the depth of the Sylvian fissure. Little is known about the cortical and subcortical auditory tone imbalance following AN surgery. Here we demonstrate GMV increase in the contralesional auditory cortex (medial aspects of the Heschl gyrus) which was larger the less patients had hearing impairments. At present we cannot conclude whether this is related to an improvement of central hearing processing (e.g. in the attempt to improve signal detection\(^ {38}\)) or reflects the morphological response to an innervation tone imbalance in the auditory system elicited by the unilateral partial deafferentation.

**Changes in non-vestibular sensory systems**

*Somatosensory substitution*

GMV increases in motion-sensitive areas (MT/V5) and primary somatosensory cortices may reflect upregulation of sensory interactions since patients with irreversible unilateral peripheral vestibulo-cochlear lesions rely stronger on other sensory afferents.\(^ {2}\) Upregulation of the sensitivity of other sensory systems, particularly the somatosensory system has been described before\(^ {39}\). There is a gradual buildup over weeks of an asymmetrical (ipsilesional) increase in muscle spindle input following unilateral vestibular lesion which demonstrates a unilateral increase in somatosensory weight, which may substitute for the missing vestibular input.\(^ {40}\) Our AN patients showed bilateral increase of GMV in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) which might reflect an increase in the somatosensory weight following chronic peripheral vestibular deafferentation as patients have to rely more on somatosensory cues to stabilize balance, i.e. a substitution of the vestibular deficit by other non-vestibular systems.
**Visual-vestibular interaction**

Reciprocal inhibition between different sensory systems has been proposed as a fundamental working principle of the central nervous system in health and disease\(^2\) and there is accumulating evidence for multisensory, e.g. visual-auditory, somatosensory-visual and visual-vestibular reciprocal interaction. For example, suppression of visual cortex activity may be important to reduce oscillopsia caused by vestibular nystagmus resulting from acute vestibular tone imbalance.\(^41\)

We found a bilateral increase of GMV in middle temporal visual area (MT/V5). MT/V5 is involved in reciprocal visual-vestibular interaction.\(^34, 41\) Unlike MST and somatosensory cortex, MT does not contain vestibular neurons (Dora Angelaki, personal communication). Accordingly, the increase in GMV in MT may be related to non-vestibular substitution of the peripheral vestibular deficit. It might be a morphological consequence of the altered visual sensitivity and visual motion perception in patients with peripheral vestibular failure.\(^6, 42\)

**Limitations of the study**

It was the aim of this first study to investigate putative GMV changes which correlate with parameters of vestibular impairment. We are aware that specificity of compensation is additionally provided by longitudinal studies covering pre- and postoperative investigations at different intervals. Moreover, vestibular afferents were partially preserved in the tumor operation (incomplete loss of caloric excitability) which could have contributed to compensation, in particular if one takes the fairly large range of intervals between surgery and fMRI recordings into account. However, there was neither a correlation of GMV with caloric responsiveness nor with the duration since the operation. Finally, for ethical reasons, all patients received physiotherapy in the acute postoperative stage but based on our results future studies should investigate the effect of (different forms of) physiotherapy on these GMV changes.

Importantly, all variables listed above could have been confounds if we had not found any correlation. However, as we did, our interesting correlations now deserve further investigations.
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Figures

Fig. 1:
Regions of significant changes in relative grey matter volume (GMV) (patients vs. controls) are shown on representative axial, sagittal or coronal slices of the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template. The color-bar represents the T statistics for plotted results (A to D). A relative increase in GMV is indicated by the hot-iron color map. The coordinates of the local maxima for each cluster of significant relative GMV-change revealed by categorical comparison of patients vs. controls are given on the ordinate. The barplots (right) illustrate the relative change in GMV of patients compared to controls in arbitrary units ± standard error of mean (SEM) for patients (first bar), controls (second bar) and corresponding correlation with the age of patients (third bar) and controls (fourth bar) indicating that age does not account for the GMV changes. GMV increase is shown in the ipsi- (A) and contralesional (B) primary somatosensory cortex (S1), (C) bilaterally in motion sensitive areas (MT/V5) and (D) in lobule VII of the cerebellar vermis. Left side in slices is indicated by “L” (ipsilesional), “R” indicates right side (contralesional).

Fig. 2:
Significant correlations of grey matter volume (GMV) increase in the superior temporal gyrus (STG) / posterior insula (A) with the clinical vestibular score (CVS), in the inferior parietal lobule (IPL) (B) with the vestibular disability (Cohen and Kimball score), and in the contralesional Heschl gyrus / posterior insula (C) with the hearing loss (Gardner score) are shown on representative axial and coronal slices of the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template and indicated by arrows (x-, z-coordinates below the slices). T-scores of the correlation are indicated by the hot-iron color map. On the right side, the linear regressions are plotted. Left side in slices is indicated by “L” (ipsilesional), “R” indicates right side (contralesional). $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.
Table 1:
Clinical data of patients with unilateral vestibular-cochlear failure following operative removal of acoustic neuroma. F= female; M = male, L = left; R = right; VBM = voxel based morphometry; for scores see Methods (caloric hyporesponsiveness: 0-100%; 100% = no caloric response; vestibular disability score: range 0-10, 10 = most severely affected; CVS = Clinical score of vestibular signs: range 0-24, 24 = most severely affected; House Brackmann Score of facial palsy: range 0-6). Head impulse test (Halmagyi Curthoys test): right or left indicates the pathological side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code (pat)</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>Lesion side</th>
<th>Interval (months) since neur-ectomy</th>
<th>Duration of symptoms before recording (months)</th>
<th>Dizziness / vertigo</th>
<th>Tinnitus</th>
<th>Hearing impairment</th>
<th>Head impulse test</th>
<th>Clinical vestibular score, CVS</th>
<th>Caloric hyporesponsiveness (%)</th>
<th>Vestibular disability (Cohen-Score)</th>
<th>Size of tumor (Koos-Grading)</th>
<th>Hearing-loss (Gardner score)</th>
<th>Facial-Score (House-Brackmann)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2:
Significant relative grey matter (I) changes are shown for the left (ipsilesional, il) and the right (contralesional, cl) hemisphere. The \( x, y, z \) coordinates are given in standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and the anatomical regions according to the Wake Forest University (WFU) Pick Atlas. Each region is defined by the local maximum within a cluster. Simple regression analyses revealed significant correlations of grey matter volume (GMV) changes in patients (II) with (a) clinical vestibular score (CVS), (b) vestibular disability (Cohen and Kimball Score), and (c) the hearing loss (Gardner Score).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobes</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Left hemisphere</th>
<th>Right hemisphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinates (mm)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( y )</td>
<td>( z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Relative grey matter increases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orbital gyrus</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>primary somatosensory cortex</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital lobe</td>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lingual gyrus</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic lobe</td>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>cerebellar crus II</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar lobule VIIIb (tonsil)</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerebellar crus II</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerebellar vermis (lobule VI)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. Relative grey matter decreases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Zc</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td>Anterolateral prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orbitofrontal gyrus</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe</td>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>cerebellar lobule VI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cerebellar lobule VIIb</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cerebellar lobule IX</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Clinical correlations

#### a) Clinical Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Zc</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe</td>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus / post. insula</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### b) Cohen Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Zc</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### c) Gardner Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lobe</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Zc</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sublobar</td>
<td>Posterior insula / Heschl</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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