Dynamic patterns of mechanical stimulation co-localise with growth and cell proliferation during morphogenesis in the avian embryonic knee joint
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ABSTRACT

Muscle contractions begin in early embryonic life, generating forces that regulate the correct formation of the skeleton. In this paper we test the hypothesis that the biophysical stimulation generated by muscle forces may be a causative factor for the changes in shape of the knee joint as it grows. We do this by predicting the spatial and temporal patterns of biophysical stimuli, where cell proliferation and rudiment shape changes occur within the emerging tissues of the joint over time. We used optical projection tomography (OPT) to create anatomically accurate finite element models of the embryonic knee at three time points (stages) of development. OPT was also used to locate muscle attachment sites and AFM was used to determine material properties. An association was found between the emergence of joint shape, cell proliferation and the pattern of biophysical stimuli generated by embryonic muscle contractions. Elevated rates of growth and cell proliferation in the medial condyle were found to co-localise with elevated patterns of biophysical stimuli including maximum principal stresses and fluid flow, throughout the time period studied, indicating that cartilage growth and chondrocyte proliferation in the epiphysis is potentially related to local patterns of biophysical stimuli. The development of the patella and articular cartilages, which is known to be affected by in ovo immobilisation, could be contributed to by specific patterns of fluid flow, pore pressure and stress in the joint interzone. This suggests that both cartilage growth and tissue differentiation in the embryonic joint is regulated by specific patterns of biophysical stimuli and that these stimuli are needed for the correct development of the joint.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Synovial joints have intricate 3D shapes, which are highly adapted for their biomechanical function. They emerge through the division of mesenchymal condensations, forming cartilage templates interrupted by an interzone, a dense avascular region from which all the tissues of the joint are derived (Koyama et al., 2008). Shape emerges within the cartilage template as the chondrocytes proliferate and secrete matrix, causing them to grow until they undergo hypertrophy leading to ossification. Within this system a variety of joint shapes are created through some unknown modulation of regulatory mechanisms (Wilsman et al., 1996).

Mechanical forces have been implicated in the process of joint morphogenesis. Paralysis was reported to cause flattening and distortion of the articular surfaces (Drachman and Sokoloff, 1966), reduction in the volume of epiphyseal cartilage (Osborne et al., 2002), the loss of functional outgrowths (Murray and Drachman, 1969; Persson, 1983) and reduction or loss of joint-associated structures such as the patella and articular cartilage (Mikic et al., 2000; Osborne et al., 2002). Cell proliferation rates in the developing growth plate and chondroepiphysis were also down-regulated by the removal of embryonic muscle contraction (Germiller and Goldstein, 1997).

Previous studies used computational simulations to predict mechanical forces in simplified representations of the developing skeleton. Heegaard et al. (1999) simulated the emergence of a basic interlocking joint morphology in response to mechanically stimulated differential growth. Other models have been implemented to investigate various aspects of limb development including enchondral ossification and the development of secondary sites of ossification (Carter and Wong, 1988; Stevens et al., 1999), the emergence of the femoral bicondylar angle, and the common childhood orthopaedic problem, developmental dysplasia of the hip (Shefelbine and Carter, 2004; Shefelbine et al., 2002). Nowlan et al. (2008a) created a Finite Element (FE) model from morphologically accurate 3D data captured from the developing chick
tibiotarsus that was used to investigate the mechanoregulation of ossification by the dynamic patterns of stimuli generated during muscle contraction. A correlation between the patterns of stimuli and the expression patterns of a number of genes involved in bone formation was also found (Nowlan et al., 2008b).

Tanck et al. (2004) analysed late gestation mouse rib rudiments using four point bending tests while Mikic et al. (2004) performed stress-relaxation tests on cores of late stage chick embryonic cartilage, in order to characterise Young’s modulus of the cartilage. No studies of the mechanical properties of the interzone were found in the literature by these authors. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) has previously been used as a type of noninocent in order to analyse the mechanical properties of biological specimens including growth plate cartilage (Allen and Mao, 2004; Radhakrishnan et al., 2004), articular cartilage (Stolz et al., 2004) and single cells (Rotsch et al., 1997).

In this paper we seek to test the hypothesis that during joint development local changes in cartilage growth and cell proliferation are related to distinct patterns of biophysical stimuli generated by embryonic muscle contraction. To test this hypothesis we used Optical Projection Tomography (OPT) to create an FE simulation, to determine whether dynamic patterns of biophysical stimuli co-localise with cartilage growth and cell proliferation. Embryonic stages from (Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) (1951) stage HH30 to HH34 were chosen as they represent the time period covering the emergence of complex joint shape and the initiation of joint cavity formation (Roddy et al., 2009). New data on the mechanical properties of the embryonic cartilage and interzone tissue were needed, and these were obtained using AFM. Correspondence between patterns of biophysical stimuli, tissue growth and cell proliferation were explored to establish whether dynamic biophysical stimuli co-localise with morphogenetic events. Such a finding would suggest that the patterns of biophysical stimuli distributed in the skeleton act as a type of positional information differentially up- or down-regulating growth, leading to the emergence of specific skeletal shapes.

2. Method

2.1. Finite element analysis

2.1.1. Geometry

The FE model was built from a 3D dataset described in Roddy et al. (2009) consisting of 3D representations of the developing cartilage, tendon, muscle and joint capsule, captured using a range of markers and scanned using Optical Projection Tomography (OPT). 3D images in VTK (Visualisation Toolkit) format, representing the cartilaginous elements of the knee, were extracted from OPT scans of alcian blue stained limbs at (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1951) stages HH30 to HH34 (Fig. 1a), and converted into a format suitable for Abaqus FE software, as per Nowlan et al. (2008a). A four mesh was generated that encapsulated the interzone and represented the area separating the three skeletal elements, bounded dorsally by the joint capsule and ventrally by muscle masses. Two models representative of each stage were built, as in example Fig. 1b.

2.1.2. Loading and boundary conditions

The 3D tendon and muscle data of the developing chick knee joint were examined, and the major dorsal (D1, D2) and ventral (V1, V2) muscles of the thigh were identified (Fig. 1c). The transverse cross-sectional area of each muscle was taken at the longitudinal midpoint of the muscle mass (Fig. 1d), and the resultant forces were calculated using a force per unit area value for embryonic chick muscle of 1.11 mN/mm, derived from Landmesser and Morris (1975) (n=2, Table 1). The attachment points for each muscle were located on the rudiment using a combination of visual comparison of external views and virtual sectioning through the 3D representations of the cartilage, muscle and tendon data at corresponding stages.

As per Tanck et al. (2000) and Nowlan et al. (2008a), a loading cycle consisted of two muscle twitches, a flexion (Fig. 1e) followed by an extension (Fig. 1f) contraction lasting for 1.4 s and including a ramp-up, hold and ramp-down. A poroelastic analysis was performed using the published values for cartilage permeability (6.7 × 10⁻¹⁰ m/Ns) and Poisson’s ratio (0.25) (Tanck et al., 2000).

A porosity of 0.8 was used (Kelly and Prendergast, 2005). The permeability of the interzone was considered as equivalent to cartilage (a parametric study of permeability in the interzone ranging from ± 25% demonstrated that altering permeability did not alter the resulting pattern of stimuli significantly).

The four mesh elements were connected using tie constraints to represent the connections between skeletal elements and the interzone. Three nodes on the proximal surface of the femoral mesh were fully restrained, while the rest of the nodes on this surface were restrained in the vertical direction only (Fig. 1g). Two rigid plates, attached to the distal surfaces of the tibiotarsus and fibula meshes (Fig. 1f), were connected by a spring to simulate the presence of tissue separating the two cartilaginous elements (Fig. 1f). The spring stiffness is given in Table 2. A zero pore pressure boundary condition was specified on all the external nodes and on the various master surfaces. For each simulation of a flexion/extension cycle the following parameters were determined: maximum and minimum principal stress and strain, the Von Mises stress, relative fluid-solid movement, and the expression patterns of a number of genes involved in bone ossification by the dynamic patterns of stimuli generated during joint development.

2.2. Analysis of developing joint shape

2.2.1. Analysis of developing joint shape

To capture one aspect of the growth profile of the distal femur, virtual sections captured in a consistent plane through the femoral condyles were prepared from alcian blue stained, OPT scanned limbs presented by Roddy et al. (2009) at stages HH30, HH32 and HH34 (n=4). Since OPT reconstructions produce 3D digital representations, section planes can be varied in all orientations and assessed from multiple views (Summerhurst et al., 2008) allowing distinct morphological features to be identified as either increasing or decreasing in size.
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3. Results

3.1. Biophysical simulation of the distal femur during development

FE analysis predicted the biophysical stimuli throughout the developing knee joint. Focusing on the distal femur, a prominent feature of the pattern was a peak in stimuli located adjacent to the intercondylar fossa (Fig. 3, arrowhead in A). This peak was present in both patterns of the Von Mises stress and maximum principal stress in both mid-flexion and mid-extension (Figs. 3A, B and 4C, D) as well as patterns of maximum principal strain, fluid velocity and hydrostatic pressure (not shown). Of the stimuli analysed here patterns of minimum principal stress varied the most between the flexion and extension loading steps (Figs. 3C and 4E, F). In the first step the magnitude of stimuli varied little between the five locations examined (Fig. 4E). A peak of compression adjacent to the intercondylar fossa appears in the second loading step (Fig. 3C, iv).

Within the femoral condyles the predicted loading was consistently higher on the dorsal surface compared to the ventral surfaces in duplicate models. In the flexion phase the stimuli within the lateral and medial condyle appeared to be larger than the rest of the developing knee joint. Focusing on the patterns of biophysical stimuli in the emerging tissues of the interzone (Fig. 4D, F). The same pattern was predicted for both maximum and minimum principal strains and fluid flow across all three stages.

3.2. Biophysical stimuli in emerging tissues of the interzone

Focusing on the patterns of biophysical stimuli in the emerging tissues of the interzone, stress and strain were found to be similar, so only patterns of the Von Mises stress are shown, together with fluid velocity and hydrostatic pressure (Fig. 5). The region of the future patella, which develops from the capsular condensation and the interzone, appeared to experience significantly greater stress, fluid velocity and hydrostatic pressure than the rest of the interzone across the three stages (Fig. 5). The region of the future patella was predicted to be approximately four times that predicted for the future articular cartilage and cavity (Fig. 5C, E). However, in the extension step the magnitude of loading on the medial surface of the knee was higher (Fig. 4D, F). The same pattern was predicted for both maximum and minimum principal strains and fluid flow across all three stages.

Table 1
Mean values (2 independent specimens) for cross-sectional area of dorsal and ventral muscle masses and estimation of the resulting forces exerted by these muscle masses during contraction across developmental stages HH30–34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>HH30</th>
<th>HH32</th>
<th>HH34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area (mm²)</td>
<td>Force (mN)</td>
<td>Area (mm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal 1</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal 2</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral 1</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral 2</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Force per unit area: 1.11mN/mm², derived from Landmesser and Morris (1975)

Table 2
Average length of tissue (l), average area separating tibiotarsus and fibula (A) and stiffness (k) of springs, used to represent intervening tissue at HH30, HH32 and HH34. Young’s modulus of cartilage (E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Average length of tissue (l)</th>
<th>Average area of tissue (A) (mm²)</th>
<th>Stiffness (k=E/A) (kN/mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH32</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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within the medial side of the femur was also reflected in elevated stress and strain in the medial aspect of the interzone (not shown).

3.3. Growth of the femoral condyles between HH30 and HH34

Growth of the femoral condyles was assessed by comparing outlines of cartilage tissue from virtual sections of OPT representations at stages HH30, HH32 and HH34 (Fig. 6a). Relative growth of the condyles was compared by dividing each section in two down the midline of the intercondylar fossa and calculating the area of each side (Fig. 6b). This was repeated for four specimens per stage. At HH30, the distal femur was a relatively simple structure featuring a larger lateral condyle (Fig. 6c); the cross-sectional area of the medial condyle was 44.6 ± 3% of the lateral condyle in this plane. At HH32, the medial side had grown to 51.1 ± 5% of the lateral side, an increase of approximately 6.5% with respect to HH30. The most dramatic growth occurred between stages HH32 and HH34 (Fig. 6f) with the emergence of a more complex shape including several protrusions on the ventral aspect of the lateral condyle (Fig. 6g). The area of the medial condyle was now 65.4 ± 8% of the lateral condyle, an increase of approximately 14.3% on stage HH32 and 20.8% on stage HH30. Therefore the medial condyle grows at an accelerated rate relative to the lateral condyle.

3.4. Proliferation, growth and the emergence of shape

Analysis of the relative number of proliferating cells in 5 locations across the distal femur; the dorsal and ventral aspects of the medial and lateral condyles and the intercondylar fossa (exact locations outlined in Fig. 2) revealed a dramatic increase at HH32 relative to HH30 (s.e. = 0.1, p < 0.01) and HH34 (s.e. = 0.1, p < 0.01) (Fig. 7). Comparing individual regions it became apparent that the
number of proliferating cells varied depending on location within the femur. At HH32, the increase in proliferating cells on the medial side of the knee was more pronounced, with a significantly greater number of proliferating cells on the medial side at HH32 (s.e. = 0.1, p = 0.01). This was investigated using a generalised linear mixed effects model where multiple sections were nested within individuals (R) in order to take account of the nested nature of the data and verified using a sequential Bonferroni test. Proliferation at HH34 was lower than that recorded at HH32. At this stage proliferation still appeared elevated on the medial side relative to the lateral side of the femur, although significance was not reached at HH30 or HH34 (R).

4. Discussion

In this paper we hypothesised that both joint shape and cell proliferation co-localise with the pattern of biophysical stimuli generated by embryonic muscle contraction. Anatomically accurate FE models of embryonic knee, across three stages of development, revealed the complex dynamic pattern of biophysical stimuli in the distal femur, including a consistent increase in the loading of the medial condyle. Analysis of the femoral condyles showed that the medial condyle grows more than the lateral during this developmental period. Differential rates of cell proliferation revealed a possible mechanism behind
this growth, whereby the medial condyle appeared to have a higher proportion of proliferating cells than the other regions. This trend was statistically significant at HH32 but not at HH30 or HH34, possibly due to the small sample number (n = 3). Thus a relationship was found between elevated cartilage growth and cell proliferation in the medial condyle of the knee; this, in turn, associated with an increase in the magnitude of the biophysical stimuli experienced within this condyle. It was also noted that the proportion of proliferating cells in the region adjacent to the intercondylar fossa remained constant over time and this region, in turn, is highly loaded in both mid-flexion and mid-extension.

Thus the consistently high mechanical loading in the region adjacent to the intercondylar fossa is associated with a constant rate of cell proliferation. Therefore the variable pattern of loading experienced by the condyles may have a stimulatory effect on outgrowth, while the consistently elevated loading near the intercondylar fossa maintains a consistent rate of cell proliferation. Growth would then be encouraged by dynamic loading in the condyles, while the higher less dynamic loads near the intercondylar fossa, predicted by the model, maintained a consistent rate of proliferation.

The mechanoregulation of chondrocyte proliferation and biosynthesis has been extensively studied in a varied range of culture systems and stimuli (reviewed in McMahon et al., 2008b). It is difficult to assess the individual effects of each of these potential stimuli due to the differences between experiments; however some trends do emerge from the data. Continuous loads or high frequency, high magnitude loads inhibits cell processes such as matrix synthesis and growth (Buschmann et al., 1995; Davisson et al., 2002; Fukuda et al., 1997; Sironen et al., 2002; Wu and Chen, 2000), while low magnitude dynamic loading stimulates matrix synthesis (Buschmann et al., 1995; Davisson et al., 2002; Fukuda et al., 1997; Wu and Chen, 2000). Reduced chondrocyte proliferation was also recorded in DMB immobilised chick growth plates (Germiller and Goldstein, 1997). Mechanical forces have also been shown to regulate cell differentiation; particularly adult MSCs have demonstrated an ability to differentiate into chondrocytes in response to intermittent hydrostatic pressure (Angele et al., 2003) and cyclic compressive loading (Angele et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2004) and cyclic strain (McMahon et al., 2008a).

Some simplifications included in the models should be mentioned. The choice to focus only on the loads applied to the knee by the thigh musculature overlooks what influence the shank muscles may play in the emergence of shape. However, while it is likely that the shank muscles exert forces on the knee joint, such forces would be predominately applied to the lower portions of the tibiotarsus, as represented in Nowlan et al. (2008a). Since this work focused on the patterns of loading rather than the magnitude of the individual loads, a single measurement for muscle force (derived from Landmesser and Morris, 1975) was deemed to be sufficient, particularly as muscle size is already accounted for. Another limitation is the shape of the mesh model representing the interzone, particularly its ventral side, which was defined only by adjacent muscles masses. However patterns of stimuli at or close to the ventral boundary are not interpreted here, with focus on the deeper tissues of the interzone and regions under the capsule.

The material properties of both embryonic cartilage and interzone tissues were measured using AFM and analysed using the Hertz model. A proportion (20–40%) of the force curves were removed during analysis because the height variation across the section being scanned was larger than the piezo range, rendering the curves unusable. Other curves did not fit the Hertz model (approximately 20%) and had to be eliminated from the analysis. The Hertz model makes a number of assumptions including that the material being tested is both homogenous and isotropic. Failure to meet these conditions due to local changes in the extracellular matrix or cell density could explain why certain curves do not always fit the Hertz model. The cartilage material properties (0.535 ± 0.072 kPa) measured by AFM were softer than those previously published for other cartilage tissues using different methods and older cartilage. Tanck et al. (2004) derived a Young’s modulus of 1.11 ± 0.62 MPa, while Mikic et al. (2004) recorded 0.08 MPa. However our results were in the range of values previously recorded for cartilage extracellular matrix determined using AFM (Allen and Mao, 2004). For the purposes of the FE model, the relative stiffness of the cartilage and the interzone (0.535 ± 0.072 and 0.287 ± 0.066 kPa, respectively) was of particular importance providing important information on the relative stiffness of embryonic tissues for the first time. It would be expected that the material properties of embryonic cartilage and the interzone would change over time. We did not include this in the model because of the technical difficulties and time constraints associated with using AFM to derive material properties.

A limited number of studies have aimed to investigate the mechanobiology of shape emergence in joints (Heegaard et al., 1999; Shefelbine and Carter, 2004; Shefelbine et al., 2002). While these models cannot be compared directly to the 3D model presented in this paper, they do support the role of mechanoregulation in joint morphogenesis. Nowlan et al. (2008a) created an FE model based on realistic tibiotarsal morphologies, revealing the importance of dynamic patterns of biophysical stimuli in bone development in the embryo. This paper indicates a regulatory link between cell proliferation and tissue growth in the embryo with the pattern of biophysical stimuli generated by muscle contraction; however further analysis of how these patterns influence cell behaviour is required.

Previous studies of joint development in the chick have indicated that preventing muscle contraction can prevent articular cartilage and patella formation (Drachman and Sokoloff, 1966; Mikic et al., 2000). FE analysis of the interzone indicated that the articular cartilages and patella develop under the influence of very specific patterns of biophysical stimuli. The chondrogenous layers, which ultimately form the articular cartilages (Mitrovic, 1977), emerge from locations, which experience a very distinct pattern of elevated fluid flow of between 0.4 and 0.8 μm/s depending on the stage. In contrast the patella develops under much higher magnitudes of stress, fluid velocity and pore pressure. Prendergast et al. (1997) proposed that a combination of shear strain and fluid flow regulates cell differentiation in the skeleton, in a model
corroborated by experimental results (Isaksson et al., 2006; Khayyeri et al., 2009). The boundaries for chondrogenic differentiation were also corroborated by analysing the strain and fluid experienced by MSCs undergoing chondrogenesis in a 3D scaffold (McMahon et al., 2008a). The biophysical stimuli to which the developing articular cartilage are exposed are well within the range proposed by Prendergast et al. (1997). The specific patterns of biophysical stimulation in the joint interzone could indicate that mechanoregulation is vital not only for the regulation of shape in the embryonic skeleton, but also vital for the definition of cell fate and resulting tissue boundaries.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors (K.A. Roddy, G.M. Kelly, M.H. van Es, P. Murphy and P.J. Prendergast) declare that they have no conflict of interest. We confirm that all authors were fully involved in the study and preparation of this manuscript, and that the material within has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially funded by a studentship from Trinity College Dublin (SFI AOIP interdisciplinary programme) and by Science Foundation Ireland (Programme Award 02/IN1/B267 and 07/IN1/B931). The authors thank Dr. Jason Kilpatrick and Dr. Niamh Nowlan for their contribution to this work.

References


Please cite this paper as: Roddy, K.A., et al., Dynamic patterns of mechanical stimulation co-localise with growth and cell proliferation during morphogenesis in the avian embryonic knee joint. Journal of Biomechanics (2010), doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.08.039