Improving the mechanical properties of single-walled carbon nanotube sheets by intercalation of polymeric adhesives
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Organic polymers, such as poly(vinyl alcohol), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), and poly(styrene), were intercalated into single-walled carbon nanotube sheets by soaking the sheets in polymer solutions. Even for short soak times, significant polymer intercalation into existing free volume was observed. Tensile tests on intercalated sheets showed that the Young’s modulus, strength, and toughness increased by factors of 3, 9, and 28, respectively, indicating that the intercalated polymer enhances load transmission between nanotubes. © 2003 American Institute of Physics.

Realization of the applications potential of carbon single-walled nanotubes (SWNT) has been hindered by the many difficulties associated with their processing. Fabricating low-density carbon nanotube powder into functional macroscale structures has been a major challenge. Some progress has been made recently with the fabrication of one-, two-, and three-dimensional bulk nanotube material in the form of fibers, sheets, and Bucky Pearls pellets produced by Carbon Nanotechnologies Incorporated (CNI). However, while individual SWNTs display impressive properties, the mechanical properties of the bulk materials remain disappointing. These low-bulk mechanical properties are in part because the individual SWNT usually forms 10–50-nm-diameter bundles that are only weakly bound by van der Waals interactions at junction points.

Both carbon multiwalled nanotubes (MWNTs) and SWNTs have been used as reinforcing agents in polymer and epoxy composites. Ideally, any load applied to the polymer matrix is transferred to the nanotubes. This load transfer relies on effective interfacial stress transfer at the polymer–nanotube interface, which tends to be polymer dependent. This reinforcement technique has met with some success, providing increases in Young’s modulus by a factor of 1.8 for 1 wt % loading of MWNTs in poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and increases in hardness by a factor of 3.5 for 2 wt % loading of SWNTs in epoxy.

In this letter, we show that the reverse procedure of polymer intercalation can be used to reinforce bulk nanotube materials. Binding agents such as organic polymers can be intercalated into the porous internal structure of nanotube materials such as SWNT sheets (Buckypaper). This intercalation can be obtained by simply soaking the nanotube sheets in polymer solutions. The resulting polymer-intercalated sheets display improvements in Young’s modulus and tensile strength by factors of ~3 and ~9, respectively.

The nanotube sheets (Buckypaper) used in this work were prepared by filtration of SWNTs dispersed in water and Triton X-100, as previously described. The SWNTs were made by the HiPco process and supplied by CNI. This material is known to contain ~20 wt % iron (~2 vol % for sheets). The carbon nanotube sheets were annealed under flowing argon at up to 1000 °C before use, in order to remove residual surfactant, solvents, and contaminants. These sheets were then cut into rectangular strips (~40 μm×2 mm×≈0 cm) for intercalation with polymer and mechanical testing. Polymer solutions of 5 wt % PVA in deionized water, 5 wt % poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) in deionized water, and 5 wt % poly(styrene) (PS) in toluene were prepared by stirring and subsequent sonication. Buckypaper strips were placed in each solution for various times, washed by soaking in deionized water for one hour, and then dried in ambient conditions for ~8 hours.

Density measurements were made for each sheet using a Perkin Elmer AD6 microbalance for weight measurements, and digital calipers and micrometer for dimensional measurements. Mechanical properties measurements were made using a Perkin Elmer DMA7e. Raman spectroscopy was performed using a JY Horiba HR Labram spectrometer using 632.8 nm excitation. Both differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and a Perkin Elmer Diamond DSC (scan rate 10 K/min) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with a Leo 1530VP field-emission SEM were used to carry out on sheets subjected to long soak times. In all cases, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a Perkin Elmer Pyris TGA1 under an oxygen atmosphere (scan rate 20 K/min). Table I was measured for all sheets by determining the residual weight after burning the infiltrated nanotube sheets in a TGA, and from the weight increase resulting from soaking the polymer sheets in the polymer solutions.
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TABLE I. Summary of the data obtained in this study. Column headings are self-explanatory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Soak time [hours]</th>
<th>Density [g/cm$^3$]</th>
<th>Polymer weight [%]</th>
<th>Free volume [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWNT sheet</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV P1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV P2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV P3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown in Fig. 1 are SEM images of the surface and a cross section for the original sheet and a PS intercalated (42 h) sheet. For the pristine sheet, a porous mat of SWNT ropes is seen on both the surface [Fig. 1(a)] and in the interior (cross-section) [Fig. 1(c)]. For the intercalated sheet, however, it is clear [Fig. 1(b)], that the surface has been coated with polymer, although this coating is relatively thin as pores can still be seen. More importantly, Fig. 1(d) shows that not just the outer region, but the entire internal surface of the nanotube sheet, has been coated with a thin coating of polymer suggesting extensive intercalation.

After soaking and solvent evaporation, the weight and spatial dimensions of each sheet were measured and the density calculated. While the nanotube sheets dimensions do not significantly increase, the density (Table I) increased from about 0.54 g/cm$^3$ for the original annealed sheet to up to 0.74 g/cm$^3$ (PVA, 64 h), indicating intercalation into existing free volume. To investigate this, the percentage free volume (Table I) was calculated for each sheet using the equation

$$\frac{V_f}{V} = 1 - \rho_{\text{sheet}} \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{NT}}}{\rho_{\text{NT}}} + \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_p} + \frac{\rho_F}{\rho_F} \right),$$

where $\rho_{\text{sheet}}$ is the sheet density, $\rho$ is a component density, $p$ is a component concentration (by mass) and the subscripts NT, P, and Fe refer to SWNT, polymer, and iron components, respectively. The free volume decreased in all cases, from 73% for the original sheet to 58% for the PVA (64 h) sheet, showing that polymer strands tend to intercalate into existing free volume between ropes. However, it is clear that much of the sheet void remains unfilled. Experiments are presently underway to determine the maximum possible level of polymer intercalation.

In order to further study the nature of the intercalation, Raman spectroscopy and DSC (both not shown) were carried out. In the low-frequency region of the Raman spectrum, a number of features associated with the radial breathing modes of the SWNT are observed for all sheets. These features are slightly downshifted by approximately 2 cm$^{-1}$ for all polymer intercalated sheets compared to the pristine sheets. The small size of the shift suggests the environment of the SWNT has not dramatically changed. However, the presence of a downshift may suggest a weakening of the intertube van der Waals interaction, indicating that polymer chains are beginning to diffuse into the ropes, hence debulking the nanotubes. The DSC data shows alterations to the melt transition consistent with crystallization of the polymer chains onto the nanotube ropes on intercalation. This is in good agreement with previous studies showing nanotubes acting as nucleation sites for polymer crystal growth.

Static mechanical properties were measured by DMA for all sheets. Shown in Fig. 2(a) is a typical set of stress-strain curves for the sheets soaked in PVP. In all cases, the Young’s modulus $Y$, tensile strength $S$ (stress at break), and toughness $T$ (energy absorbed per unit volume up to break) were calculated from these curves. While the mechanical properties of the pristine sheet were poor ($Y = 2.3$ GPa, $S = 6.29$ MPa, $T = 1.41 \times 10^4$ J/m$^3$), the properties of the polymer intercalated sheets were significantly increased in all cases. These increases are shown in Figs. 2(b), 2(c), and 2(d) for various loading levels of all polymers. The modulus was virtually polymer independent and increased monotonically with loading level up to 6.9 GPa for the PVA 64-h sample. Similar increases were observed for the strength and toughness with the former increasing by a factor of $\sim 9$ to 57 MPa (PVA 64 h) and the latter increasing by $\sim 30$ to $39 \times 10^4$ J/m$^3$ (PS 42 h). The strength and toughness data, however displayed a definite trend in relation to the polymer used. In both cases, PS was clearly the best reinforcing agent, followed by PVP, with PVA as a close third. This result is reasonable in terms of the relative hydrophobicities of these polymers. A very hydrophobic polymer like PS is expected to interact more strongly with SWNT than such amphiphilic materials as PVP or PVA, resulting in good stress transfer. Additionally, PVP should interact more readily than the more (locally) polar PVA.
In conclusion, polymer chains can be intercalated into porous nanotube sheets in near equilibrium conditions by soaking in polymer solutions. Significant intercalation has been observed for soak times as short as 2 h. Density and SEM measurements show that the intercalated polymer adsorbs onto the internal surface of existing free volume within the sheets. In all cases, Raman spectroscopy shows that polymer strands have partially diffused between individual nanotubes within the ropes. Overall, intercalation results in the enhancement of inter-rope stress transfer. This strongly modifies the mechanical properties, providing increases in Young’s modulus, strength, and toughness by factors of 3, 9, and 28, respectively.
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10. The density of SWNT was calculated by considering an (n,0) SWNT hexagonally close packed in a rope, separated from its neighbors by 0.34 nm. The volume of a unit cell containing 2n atoms was calculated and the resulting density transformed into a function of diameter: \( \rho_{\text{SWNT}} = 8 \pi M_C \left[ 3 a_{\text{CC}} (D + d) \right] \), where \( D \) is the SWNT diameter, \( M_C \) is the mass of a carbon atom, \( a_{\text{CC}} \) is the C–C bond spacing (0.142 nm) and \( d \) is the van der Waals distance (0.34 nm). Taking the average Hipco SWNT diameter as 0.8 nm, this gives \( \rho_{\text{SWNT}} = 1.72 \text{ g/cm}^3 \). This number is expected to be a slight overestimate, since HiPco nanotubes are known not to pack in perfectly ordered bundles. Other densities were taken as \( \rho_{\text{POA}} = 1.30 \text{ g/cm}^3, \rho_{\text{PS}} = 0.55 \text{ g/cm}^3, \) and \( \rho_{\text{Fe}} = 7.8 \text{ g/cm}^3 \).